

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

4 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING )  
5 CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION )  
6 DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF )  
7 CONSIDERING: )  
8 ASSIGNING A DISCOVERY ALLOWABLE ) CASE NOS. 10277  
AND EXTENDING CERTAIN POOLS IN ) and 10278  
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ) (Consolidated)

9  
10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

11 EXAMINER HEARING

12 BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner  
13 March 21, 1991  
14 3:45 p.m.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

15 This matter came on for hearing before the Oil  
16 Conservation Division on March 21, 1991, at 3:45 p.m.  
17 at Oil Conservation Division Conference Room, State Land  
18 Office Building, 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New  
19 Mexico, before Paula Wegeforth, Certified Court Reporter  
20 No. 264, for the State of New Mexico.

21

22

23 FOR: OIL CONSERVATION BY: PAULA WEGEFORTH  
24 DIVISION Certified Court Reporter  
CSR No. 264

25

## I N D E X

March 21, 1991  
Examiner Hearing

CASE NOS. 10277 and 10278

APPEARANCES

PAGE  
3

DIVISION WITNESS:

ROY JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Stovall

4

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

7

\* \* \*

E X H I B I T S

DIVISION EXHIBIT  
1 and 1

ADMTD

6

## A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE DIVISION: ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.  
General Counsel  
Oil Conservation Commission  
State Land Office Building  
310 Old Santa Fe Trail  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

\* \* \*

1           EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next Case No. 10277, and I  
2 will consolidate that with Case No. 10278, which is in the  
3 matter -- both of them are in the matter of the hearing  
4 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion  
5 for an order creating, assigning a discovery allowable and  
6 extending certain pools in Lea, Chavez and Eddy Counties,  
7 New Mexico.

8           At this time I'll call for appearances.

9           MR. STOVALL: Robert G. Stovall of Santa Fe on behalf  
10 of the Division. I have one witness.

11          EXAMINER STOGNER: Will the witness please stand and  
12 be sworn?

13          (Whereupon the witness was duly sworn.)

14          Mr. Stovall.

15                               ROY JOHNSON,  
16 the Witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was  
17 examined and testified as follows:

18                               DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. STOVALL:

20           Q.     Please state your name.

21           A.     Roy Johnson.

22           Q.     What do you do, Mr. Johnson?

23           A.     Good question.

24           Q.     In connection with your work. What do you do  
25 for work, Mr. Johnson?

1           A.     I'm a senior petroleum oil geologist for the  
2 Conservation Division.

3           Q.     And have you previously testified before the  
4 division and had whatever qualifications you've got  
5 accepted as a matter of record?

6           A.     They have.

7           Q.     And are you prepared to make recommendations  
8 today with respect to the nomenclature in Lea County,  
9 New Mexico, in Case 10277 and with respect to nomenclature  
10 in Chavez and Eddy Counties, New Mexico, in Case 10278?

11          A.     I am.

12          Q.     And how are your recommendations denominated or  
13 about to be denominated?

14          A.     Exhibit 1.

15          Q.     In each case?

16          A.     In both cases.

17          Q.     Have you had the opportunity to thoroughly and  
18 completely review Exhibit 1 in each case and compare it  
19 with the docket that's been prepared for today's hearing  
20 and see if there are any differences between the two?

21          A.     I have, and there are differences.

22          Q.     Would you describe those, please?

23          A.     In Case 10277, we would like to dismiss  
24 paragraph C.

25                 In Case 10278 on the hearing docket,

1 paragraph A should read "Upper Pennsylvanian Gas" -- I'm  
2 sorry -- "West Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Gas Pool."

3 Q. In other words, add the words "West Dagger Draw"  
4 to the pool description?

5 A. Precisely.

6 Q. Other than that, are the exhibits in the docket  
7 listing correct?

8 A. They are.

9 Q. And have you, in the course of this thorough  
10 review you've made of these exhibits, assured yourself of  
11 their accuracy?

12 A. You bet.

13 MR. STOVALL: I move the admission of Exhibits 1 in  
14 each case and have nothing further.

15 EXAMINER STOGNER: Both Exhibits 1 will be admitted  
16 into evidence at this time, and this witness may be  
17 excused.

18 (Whereupon Exhibits 1 were admitted into evidence.)

19 Anything further in either Case 10277 or 10278  
20 at this time?

21 Then, these two cases will be under advisement,  
22 and the hearing is adjourned.

23  
24 (The foregoing hearing was concluded at the  
25 approximate hour of 3:45 p.m.)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
 ) ss.  
 COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, PAULA WEGEFORTH, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I stenographically reported these proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division; and that the foregoing is a true, complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings of said hearing as appears from my stenographic notes so taken and transcribed under my personal supervision.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto, and have no interest in the outcome hereof.

DATED at Santa Fe, New Mexico, this 22nd day of April, 1991.

My Commission Expires:  
 September 27, 1993

*Paula Wegeforth*  
 PAULA WEGEFORTH  
 Certified Court Reporter  
 CSR No. 264, Notary Public

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete and true transcript of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10277 & 10278 heard by me on 21 March 1991.

*[Signature]*  
 Examiner