STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

			CAS			1034	
RECEIVED			-			(1034	6)
			(De	Nov	D)		
JAN	10	1992	,				

-

APPLICATION OF BHP PETROLEUM (AMERICAS) INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

This Prehearing Statement is submitted by William F. Carr, as required by the Oil Conservation Commission.

APPEARANCES OF PARTIES

APPLICANT

BHP Petroleum (Americas) Inc.

 $\overline{()}$

name, address, phone and contact person

OPPOSITION OR OTHER PARTY

Louise Y. Locke______ d/b/a Locke-Taylor Drilling Co.____ 139-1/2 East 2nd Street_____ Rifle, CO 81650_____

()

name, address, phone and contact person

ATTORNEY

James G. Bruce_____ Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley 500 Marquette, NW, #800_____ Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102_____ (505) 768-1500_____

ATTORNEY

William F. Carr_____ Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan, P.A. Post Office Box 2208_____ Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504_____ (505) 988-4421______ Pre-hearing Statement NMOCD Case Nos. 10345 and 10346 (De Novo) Page 2

STATEMENT OF CASE

<u>APPLICANT</u>

OPPOSITION OR OTHER PARTY

(Please make a concise statement of the basis for opposing this application or otherwise state the position of the party filing this statement.)

Louise Y. Locke, d/b/a Locke-Taylor Drilling Company opposes the compulsory pooling applications of BHP Petroleum (Americas) Inc. which affect her interest in the S/2 of Section 23, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico. BHP failed to seek or obtain voluntary agreement with Mrs. Locke for the development of the W/2 of Section 23 and drilled its Gallegos Canyon Unit Well No. 390 at its own risk. BHP has no right to drill the Gallegos Canyon Unit Well No. 391 in the NE/4 of Section 23 and in so doing, illegally trespassed on Mrs. Locke's lands and has and/or will damage her property interests in the N/2 of Section 23.

Pre-hearing Statement NMOCD Case Nos. 10345 and 10346 (De Novo) Page 3

PROPOSED EVIDENCE

<u>APPLICANT</u>

· - ·

WITNESSES EST. TIME EXHIBITS (Name and expertise)

OPPOSITION

WITNESSES (Name and expertise)	EST. TIME	EXHIBITS
Ewell N. Walsh, (Petroleum Engineer)	20 Min.	Approximately 5

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

rail & Sar Signature