State of New Mexico ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505



 \equiv DRUG FREE \equiv 15's a State of Hand

ANITA LOCKWOOD CABINET SECRETARY

MATTHEW BACA DEPUTY SECRETARY

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

December 31, 1991

Mr. Larry Van Ryan Cole Oil & Gas, Inc. P. O. Drawer 3337 Farmington, New Mexico 87499

RE: CASE NO. 10370 ORDER NO. R-9621

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

ATTIM & and son

Florene Davidson OC Staff Specialist

FD/sl

cc: BLM Farmington Office Van Goebel OCD Aztec Office

VILLAGRA BUILDING - 408 Galisteo Forestry and Resources Conservation Division P O Box 1948 87504-1948 827-5830 Park and Recreation Division P O Box 1147 87504-1147 827-7405 2040 South Pacheco Office of the Secretary 827-5950

Administrative Services 827-5925 LAND OFFICE BUILDING - 310 Old Santa Fe Trail

Oil Conseivation Division P.O. Box 2088 - 87504-0088 - 927-5800

827-5925 Energy Conservation & Management

827 5900 Mining and Minerals

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



BRUCE KING

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

MEMORANDUM

۰.

TO: ALL PRODUCERS, PURCHASERS AND TRANSPORTERS OF GAS FROM ALL PRORATED GAS POOLS IN NEW MEXICO

FROM: WILLIAM J. LEMAY, DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: COMMISSION HEARING ON AUGUST 29, 1991, CONCERNING PRORATED GAS ALLOWABLES FOR OCTOBER 1991 - MARCH 1992

DATE: AUGUST 5, 1991

A Commission Hearing will be scheduled for August 29, 1991 for the purpose of receiving nominations and other testimony and information to assist the Commission in determining prorated gas allowables for the Oct. '91 through Mar. '92 allocation period. The assistance of all segments of the natural gas industry is needed in this process so you are urged to attend the hearing and participate.

The following information is being distributed along with this memo:

- PRELIMINARY ALLOWABLE ESTIMATES FOR EACH POOL.
- EXPLANATIONS FOR POOL ALLOWABLE ADJUSTMENTS
- COMPARISON OF MONTHLY AVERAGE POOL ALLOWABLES, SALES, F1 AND F2 FACTORS.
- COMPARISON OF APRIL AND MAY, 1991 SALES VOLUMES TO ALLOWABLES.
- REQUESTED FORMAT FOR NOMINATIONS FORM C-121-A.

Nominations may be submitted prior to or at the August 29 Hearing. Nominations and other information presented at the hearing will be used to revise the preliminary allowables and assign final allowables for the allocation period.

dr/

| M 89 00 | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | (7) NUP ACR | (6) MON POQ (1) | (5) <i>y</i> ion Poo DCT | (1) MON) (1) MON | (3) ADJU | (Z) IQIG Aver | (1) AVER 0(.1 ALL | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------|--|---|--|
| DCJ 91-MAR 92 F1 FACTORS FOR DIHER POOLS BURTON FLAT STRANN F1=10,000 MONUMENT MCKEE ELLEN F1=25,000 | NONTHLY ACREAGE ALLOCATION FACIO? OCT 91-MAR 92 F1 (LINE & DIVIDED BY LINE 7) | (7) MUMBER OF NON-MARGINAL ACREAGE FACTORS | MONTHLY MON-MARGINAL POOL ALLOWABLE OCI 91-MAR 92 (LINE 4 MIMUS LINE 5) | MONTHLY MARIGINAL POOL ALLOWABLE FOR OCT 91-MAR 92 | MONTHLY POOL ALLOWABLE OCT 91-MAR 92 (LINE 1 + LINE 3) | (3) ADJUSTNENTS | (2) TOTAL MOMINATIONS AVERAGE MONTHLY VOLUMES | (1) AVERAGE MONTHLY POOL SALES OLI 90-MAR 91 ALL VOL.S ARE IN MCF | |
| OTHER POOLS | . 32,099 | 3.42 | 109,780 | 30,672 | 140,402 | 27,000 | | 113,402 | ATOKA PENN BLINEBRY BUFFALO VALLEY I |
| | 29,985 | 10.75 | 322,321 | 160,459 | 482, 780 | 73,000 | | 409,780 | BL INEBRY |
| | 42,219 | 2.00 | 84,437 | 118,747 | 203, 184 | | | 203, 184 | PENN |
| | 34,938 | 6.99 | 240, 376 | 183, 646 | 424,022 | | | 424,022 | FLAT |
| | 8 20,015 | 3.97 | , 79,459 | 213,958 | 293,417 | 72,000 | | 221,417 | |
| | 29, 106 | 2.00 | 58,211 | 92, 289 | 150,500 | 14,000 | | 136,500 | CATCLAN DRAW MORRON |
| | 18,300 | 56.72 | 1,037,976 | 1, 373, 502 | 2,411,478 | 96,753 | | 2, 315, 225 | EUNDAT |
| | 181,565 | 0.86 | 156,146 | 75,247 | 231,393 | 27,000 | | 204,393 | INDIAN BASIN MORRON |
| | 5 185,431 | 5.49 | 1,018,015 | 2,542,065 | 3,560,080 | 188,000 | | 3, 372, 080 | INDIAN BASIN INDIAN BASIN Norron upper penn |
| | 18,309 | 22.00 | 402 , 4 00 | 842,890 | 1,245,490 | 340, 391 | | 905,099 | JALMAT |
| | 3, 486 | 9.00 | 31,372 | 14,065 | 45, 437 | 6,000 | | 39,437 | JUSTIS BL |
| | 10,087 | 11.00 | 110,962 | 162,954 | 273,916 | 60,000 | | 213,916 | Tues |

PRELIMINARY ALLOWABLE ESTIMATES MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE DETERMINATION SCHEDULE FOR OCT 91 THRU MAR 92 PRORATED GAS POOLS - SOUTHEAST MEN METICO

• •

MARKET DEMAND AND ALLOWADLE DETERNINATION SCHEDULE 207,577 207,577 82,453 125, 124 91.74 9,277 10.12 R - 2 . IAPACI 10 PROPATED GAS POOLS - NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO BLANCO IA P.C. SOUTH PREL INIMARY ALLOMABLE ESTIMATES 8,039,435 14,122,492 1,204,658 8,039,435 14,168,492 1,204,658 725, 197 454.41 27, 555 19.88 4,487,524 515,461 **(6**5 FOR DCT 91 THRU MAR 92 11,000 7,400,948 59.93 12.92 434,101 3,117 BLANCO Nesaverde 433.65 205,993 5,273 7.40 4,228,404 3,811,031 BASIN Dalota (9) MONINLY ACREAGE ALIDCATION FACTOR FOR OCT 91-MAR 92, F1 (1) AVERAGE NONTHLY POOL SALES (10) NONTHLY ACREAGE I BELLY ACREAGE 1 DELIVERABILITY AVERAGE NONIALY VOLUMES (4) NONTHLY POOL ALLOHABLE (7) NUMBER OF NON-MARGINAL (B) NUMBER OF MON-NAREINAL ALLOCATION FACTOR FOR ILINE 4 NUMUS LINE 5) ALL VOL.S ARE IN NCF (6) NONTHLY NON-MARGINAL POOL ALLOHABLE FOR (2) TOTAL NONIMATIONS (LINE 1 + LINE 3) DET 91-NAR 92, F2 (5) NONTHLY MARGINAL ACREAGE FACTORS DCI 90-MAR 91 DCT 91-HAR 92 POOL ALLOHABLE OCT 91-MAR 92 DCT 91-MAR 92 (3) ADJUSTNENTS FACTORS

•

۰.

÷ .

EXPLANATION FOR POOL ALLOWABLE ADJUSTMENTS

۰.

Prorated Gas Pools - Oct '91-Mar '92

| POOL | ADJUSTMENT MCF PER MONTH | REASON FOR ADJUSTMENT |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Ato ka Penn | 27,000 | Overproduction |
| Blinebry | 73,000 | 7 |
| Carlsbad Morrow | 72,000 | Overproduction and Recent Production Volumes |
| Catclaw Draw | 14,000 | Overproduction |
| Eumont | 96,253 | Minimum Allowable |
| Indian Basin Morrow | 27,000 | Overproduction |
| Indian Basin Upper Penn | 188,000 | T |
| Jalmat | 340,391 | Minimum Allowable |
| Justis | 6,000 | Recent Production |
| Tubb | 60,000 | n n |
| Blanco Mesaverde | 46,000 | Overproduction |

Monthly Marginal Pool Allowables for Oct '91-Mar '92 are estimated based on Apr and May, 1991 Pool Sales Volumes.

• •

| | | 341 | 19.88 19. | 3,117 2,117 | 5,273 | FOOL SALES; MUT PER INJ F1 60 |
|---------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | 207,577 | 1,204,658 | 14, 168, 492 | 8,039,435 | ALLUWABLE MCF |
| | | 332,221 207, 5 77 546 17,07 | 1,508,811 1,204,658 483 23.18 | 15,731,709 14,122,492 3,690 15,78 | 9,416,739 8,039,435 5,676 7.83 | UCT 90-MAR 91 FOUL ALLOWABLEJMCF FER MO FOUL SALESIMCF FER MJ F1 F2 · |
| | | 449,567 318,270 488 19,14 | 1,797,464 1,115,864 375 17.16 | 16,894,172 14,990,087 2,629 13.44 | 12,242,569 10,302,401 4,528 8.14 | PUOL ALLOWABLEYMCF PER MO FUOL SALESYMCF PER MO F1 F2 |
| | | TAPACITU | BLANCD P.C. SOUTH | BLANCO MESAVERDE | BAS IN DAKUTA | FERIOD OCT 89 MAR 90 |
| 10,087 | 3,486 | 18,300 | 185,431 | 181,565 | 18,300 | |
| 273,916 | 45,437 | 1,245,490 | 3,560,080 | 231, 393 | 2,411,178 | OUT 91-MAR 92 POOL ALLOWABLE;MCF FER MO FORM SALES:MCF FER MO |
| 213,916 | | 405,099 13,912 | 3,372,080 173,285 | 204,393 159,687 | 2,315,225 16,991 | POOL SALES; MCF PER MO F1 |
| | | | | 209,172 | 2,234,375 | 90-MAR 91 ALLONABLE; MCF |
| 8,383 | 3,575 | | | 4/, 191 | 13,965 | |
| | | 775,942 | 3, 187, 359 | 90,717 78.348 | 2,279,715 | |
| Тикв | JUSTIS | JALMAT | IND. BASIN UPPER FENN | IND. BASIN MURKUW | EUMUNT | PERIOD OCI 89-MAR 90 |
| 29,106 | 20,015 | 34,938 | 42,219 | 29,983 | 32,099 | ļ |
| 150,500 | 293,417 | 424,022 | 203,184 | 482,780 | 140,402 | DOT 91-MAR 92 POOL ALLUWABLE;MUE PER MO PINN COLECTIVE DEB MO |
| | 10,517 | | 33, 489 | 21,879 | 17,180 | F-1 |
| 146,514 | 200,077 221,417 | 544,365 424,022 | | 441,816 409,780 | 100,273 | DCT 90-MAR 91 PODL ALLUWABLE;MCF FER MD PUDL SALES;MCF FER MD |
| | | | | 23,4/8 | 10, 193 | Ξ |
| 231,865 | 333,609 2 5 6,256 | 507,581 392,388 | 226,422 207,301 | 584, 153 445, 221 | 90,502 88,4/3 | FUCL ALLOWABLE; MCF PER MU FUCL SALES; MCF PER MU |
| CALC | Carlsead Murruw | | HUFFALD VALLY PENN | HL INE BRY | Alûka Fenn | DC1 89-MAR 90 |

. •

.

٠

COMPARISON OF MONIHLY AVERAGE POUL ALLUMABLES, SALES, FI AND F2 FACTURS

. ·

COMPARISON OF APRIL AND MAY 1991 SALES VOLUMES AND ALLOWABLES; MCF PER MONTH

. •

- -

NEW MEXICO PRORATED GAS SALES

| POOL | APR-SEP 1991 MONTHLY ALLOWABLE | AVG. MONTHLY SALES <u>APRMAY, 1991</u> |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Atoka Penn | 107,414 | 101,987 |
| Blinebry | 368,926 | 423,780 |
| Buffalo Valley Penn | 221,054 | 151,296 |
| Burton Flat Morrow | 481,903 | 303,122 |
| Carlsbad Morrow | 252,148 | 252,452 |
| Catclaw Draw Morrow | 161,064 | 102,195 |
| Eumont | 2,278,783 | 2,112,369 |
| Indian Basin Morrow | 144,974 | 167,651 |
| Indian Basin Upper Penn | 3,168,408 | 3,231,461 |
| Jalmat | 1,148,663 | 1,091,336 |
| Justis Glorieta | 43,021 | 44,499 |
| Tubb | 266,658 | 245,611 |
| Basin Dakota | 8,552,554 | 6,359,286 |
| Blanco Mesaverde | 13,548,300 | 13,862,223 |
| Blanco P.C. South | 1,242,564 | 991,59 6 |
| Tapacito | 234,518 | 177,580 |

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Form C-121-A Revised 4-1-91

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

P.O. Box 2088

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

PURCHASER'S GAS NOMINATIONS

| COMPANY | ADDRESS |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name of Purchaser or Transporter | Address of Purchaser or Transporter |
| - | |

Pool Name*

In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Oil Conservation Division of the State of New Mexico, the above

named company herewith submits its nominations for the purchase of gas from the_

Pool for the month shown below: (insert in appropriate month)

| MONTH | YEAR | NOMINATION, MCF |
|-----------|------|-----------------|
| APRIL | | |
| MAY | | |
| JUNE | | |
| JULY | | |
| AUGUST | | |
| SEPTEMBER | | |
| OCTOBER | 1991 | 30,000 |
| NOVEMBER | 1991 | 30,000 |
| DECEMBER | 1991 | 40,000 |
| JANUARY | 1992 | 40,000 |
| FEBRUARY | 1992 | 30,000 |
| MARCH | 1992 | 30,000 |

NOTE: All Volumes are to be MCF at 15.025 psia and 60° F.

| Signature | Printed Name & Title | Date | Tsiephone No. |
|-----------|----------------------|------|---------------|
| | | | |

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: One copy of this form must be filed with the Santa Fe Office of the Division by the first day of the month during which the nominations are to be considered at public hearing.

*Please file a separate form for each pool for which a nomination is made.



MERIDIAN OIL

July 18, 1991

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Attention: Mr. David R. Catanach P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

> RE: Application to Drill Disposal Well Coleman 011 & Gas, Inc. NW/4 Section 2, T29N-R12W San Juan County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Meridian has received the referenced application from Coleman Oil & Gas, Inc. Meridian, at this time, objects to said application in that we have concerns that the proposed amount of fluids to be injected may interfere with our production of hydrocarbons in the area. We request a hearing be scheduled to resolve these issues.

If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

Very truly yours Van L. Goebel

Senior Landman

VLG:11 ID#413+41 020254-T

cc: Jim Hornbeck Kay Stewart-Hicks

> Farmington Pumping Service Attn: Mr. L. O. Van Ryan 207 W. 38th Street Farmington, NM 87401

N.M. Oil Conservation Division Attn: Frank Chavez 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, NM 87410

Meridian Oil Inc., 3535 East 30th St., P.O. Box 4289, Farmington, New Mexico 87499-4289, Telephone 505-326-9700

| ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL OIL CONSERVATION AZTEC DISTRICT | N DIVISION OFFICE AZTEC, NEW MEXICO E (505) 334-6178 |
|---|--|
| Date: 7-17-91 | N DIVISION OFFICE 1000 RIO BRAZOS F AZTEC, NEW MEXICO E (505) 334-6178 |
| AZTEC DISTRICT | OFFICE 1000 RIO BRAZOS R AZTEC, NEW MEXICO 8 (505) 334-6178 |
| | |
| \sim | |
| Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2088 | ise / 03 the CURISERVATION DEPOS |
| RE: Proposed MC Proposed NSL Proposed WFX Proposed NSP | Proposed DHC Proposed SWD Proposed PMX Proposed DD |
| Gentlemen: | |
| I have examined the application rec | ceived on June 28, 1991 |
| for the <u>COLEMAN DIL+ GRS</u> | |
| $\frac{E-2-19N-12W}{UL-S-T-R}$ and my r ADD rove | ecommendations are as follows: |
| /// | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Yours truly, | • |
| Junie Busch | |

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

DIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

MEMORANDUM

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FEINEW MEXICO 97504 ISOSI 827-5800

TO: GAS PRODUCERS (OPERATORS), PURCHASERS, AND TRANSPORTERS OF GAS FROM PRORATED GAS POOLS

FROM: WILLIAM J. LEMAY, DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: CENTRAL POINT DELIVERY (CPD) VOLUMES

DATE: AUGUST 12, 1991

We recently compared sales volumes from operators' production reports (Form C-115) to volumes reported by transporters on Form C-111. Several errors were found in situations where the transporters are metering gas from more than one gas well through a single meter (CPD). For example, we found that transporters sometimes erroneously reported that all the gas metered through the CPD meter was produced from only one of the wells. The operators who meter each well separately ahead of the CPD meter apparently report production and disposition correctly on Form C-115.

Since we currently obtain sales volumes from the Form C-111 for gas proration purposes, the reporting procedures described above are introducing errors and inequities into the system. To eliminate these errors, transporters and operators are instructed to begin immediately to use the following reporting procedures when gas from more than one gas well is metered into the pipeline through a single meter.

Transporter's Form C-111

When the transporter has or can obtain sufficient information to allocate the metered gas volume to the individual wells, the volume for each well must be reported separately on Form C-111.

If the transporter is unable to allocate the total volume to the individual wells, the volume must be reported on the C-111 report as "Gas From Other Sources" identifying the source on Sheet 2 as required.

Operator Requirements for Reporting on Form C-111.

Operators who deliver gas from more than one well to a transporter through a single meter are responsible for ensuring that the gas delivered from each well is properly reported on Form C-111. If the transporter is unable to properly allocate the inetered gas volume and report the volume delivered from each well on Form C-111, then the operator must file Form C-111 to report the individual well volumes.

Volumes reported on Forms C-111 and C-115 must agree. Operators delivering gas into a transporter's system through a CPD meter as described above, must contact the transporter and determine who will file the C-111 and advise the Gas Proration Section in Santa Fe. Accurate C-111 filings are essential for the proper assignment of allowables and the production of gas from prorated gas pools.

Please comply with the above instructions beginning with the reports you file next month. Your cooperation will be appreciated.

dr/

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



BAUCE KING

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FEINEW MEXICO 87504 S05) 327-5800

MEMORANDUM

TO: ALL PRODUCERS, PURCHASERS AND TRANSPORTERS OF GAS WELL GAS IN NEW MEXICO /

FROM: WILLIAM J. LEMAY, DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: PROPOSAL OF LOW VOLUME GAS COMMITTEE FOR REVISION OF OCD GENERAL RULE NO. 403.

DATE: AUGUST 23, 1991

A Committee organized by OCD has reviewed metering costs for low volume gas wells. The Committee includes representatives from Industry, BLM, SLO, and OCD. Information developed by the Committee indicates that current metering costs exceed revenues for wells producing at rates of 15 MCF per day, or less. Unless alternate measurement methods are approved, many low volume wells may be shut-in or plugged causing reserves and revenues to be lost.

Data submitted by the Committee shows that 2150 San Juan Basin gas wells produced at rates of 15 MCF per day or less in 1990. Total production for these wells was over 5 BCF in 1990 (see attached tabulation). El Paso Natural Gas has submitted a proposal for alternate measurement methods for low volume gas wells which has support from other members of the Committee. A change in OCD General Rule No. 403, (attached) based on the proposal is being circulated for review and comment. A Commission hearing will be scheduled for October 10, 1991 to consider adoption of the rule changes. Comments may be submitted prior to the hearing or in the form of testimony at the hearing.

Procedures for lease commingling are also being reviewed to determine if modification of those requirements could provide additional relief in this area.

dr/

Of the wells that produced in the San Juan Basin in 1990, 776 wells averaged 5 MCFD or less for the months produced for a total of 446,613 MCF.

1,374 wells produced from 5 to 15 MCFD for the months produced for a total of 4,725,853 MCF for the year.

The land type breakdown of these wells is as follows:

- .

| | <51 | MCFD | 5 to | 15MCFD |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| State Jicarilla Navajo Ute Federal Private | 38 131 30 8 492 77 | 27,781 MCF 90,251 MCF 22,060 MCF 2,606 MCF 267,313 MCF 36,602 MCF | 83 295 23 1 853 119 | 29,149,MCF 1,011,602 MCF 72,380 MCF 4,121 MCF 2,931,203 MCF 415,128 MCF |
| | | | | , ===== |

Proposed changes are contained in 403 B and C.

RULE 403. - NATURAL GAS FROM GAS WELLS TO BE MEASURED

A. All natural gas produced shall be accounted for by metering or other method approved by the Division and reported to the Division by the transporter of the gas. Gas produced from a gas well and delivered to a gas transportation facility shall be reported by the owner or operator of the gas transportation facility. Gas produced from a gas well and required to be reported under this rule, which is not delivered to and reported by a gas transportation facility shall be reported by the operator of the well.

B. An operator may apply to the OCD District Supervisor, using form C-110, for approval of one of the following procedures for measuring gas:

(1) In the event a well is not capable of producing more than 15 MCFD, a measurement method agreed upon by the operator and pipeline whereby the parties establish by annual test the producing rate of said well under normal operating conditions and apply that rate to the period of time the well is in a producing status. In the event such well is capable of producing greater than 5 MCFD, a device shall be attached to the line which will determine the actual time period that the well is flowing.

(2) Any well which has a producing capacity of 100 MCFD or less and which is on a multi-well lease may be produced without being separately metered when the gas is measured using a lease meter at a Central Point Delivery. The ownership of the lease must be common throughout including working interest, royalty and overriding royalty ownership.

C. Operators and transporters shall report the well volumes on Forms C-115 and C-111 based upon the approved method of measurement and, in the case of a CPD, upon the method of allocation of production to individual wells approved by the District Supervisor.

| DISTRICT 1 | State of | New Mexico | Form C-11 | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| P.O. Box 1980, Hobbs, NM 88341-1980 | | & Natural Resources | Originated 3/23/91 | |
| DISTRICT II P.O. Draver DD, Artenn, NM 88211-0719 | Der | partment | | |
| PO. Diene DO, Mine Pier | OUL CONSER | TON DERION | Submit four copies in the appropriate district office. | |
| DISTRICT III 1000 Rio Brizzos Rosd, Aztec. NM 87410 | | VATION DIVISION | ······································ | |
| P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088 DRAFT | | | | |
| | Santa FC, New | MEXICO 8/304-2008 | | |
| APPLICATION FOR AP | Rule 403(1 | LTERNATE GAS MEASU B)(1) or (2) | REMENT METHOD - | |
| Operator Name: | | County: | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| Pool: | | | | |
| City/State/Zip Code: | | <u> </u> | | |
| Picase | | URE: RULE 403.B.(1) ch well to the appropriate district of | Affice. | |
| 1) Location of well: Unit Letter | , Section | , Township | , Range | |
| 2) One year production history of | f the well - show annual a | nd daily volumes; attach sepa | arate sheet. | |
| 3) Established or agreed-upon da | ily producing rate for the | well for next year. | | |
| 4) Will well be equipped with a f | low device (required for w | ells capable of producing 5 1 | MCF per day or more)? | |
| 6) Gas transporter(s) connected t | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | APPROVAL PROCED | URE: RULE 403.B.(2) | | |
| | | separate sheet. | | |
| Working interes | t, royalty and overriding royalty | ownership must be common throug | hout the lease. | |
| Lease plat showing all wells to be produced through this CPD. Show Section, Township and Range on plat. a) List wells producing over 100 mcf/d. b) List wells producing less than 100 mcf/d. | | | | |
| 2) Proposed method of allocating production from non-metered wells. | | | | |
| 3) One year production history of wells producing less than 100 mcf/d. Show annual and daily volumes. | | | | |
| 4) Gas transporter(s) connected to this lease. | | | | |
| | | to use a measurement meth et the district office for instru | | |
| OPERATOR | | OIL CONSERVATION D | | |
| I hereby certify that the rules and regulations | of the Oil Conservation | | ATTIGION AFTROVAL | |
| Division have been complied with and that it true and complete to the best of my knowledg | he information given above is re and helief | | | |
| | , _ | Approved Date: | | |
| Signature: | | Ву: | | |
| Printed Name & Title: | | | | |
| Title: | | | | |

.

State of New Mexico

Form C-HU

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



BRUCE KING

GOVERNOR

September 3, 1991

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

Mr. L. O. Van Ryan Farmington Pumping Service 207 W. 38th St. Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Dear Mr. Van Ryan:

We have received your letter requesting a continuance of Case 10370, the application of Coleman Oil and Gas, Inc. for Salt Water Disposal in the NW/4 of Section 2, Township 29 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico. Said case will be continued to the examiner hearing to be held on September 19, 1991.

Sincerely,

:

orene hlavidson

Florene Davidson OC Staff Specialist

FARMINGTON PUMPING SERVICE OIL AND GAS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT • CONTRACT PUMPING · OIL CONSLETING ENGINEERING N RECHIED 207 W. 38th St. Farmington, NM 87401 (505) 325-8900 '91 SEP 3 AM 9 28 Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Case 10370 Santa Fe, NM 87501 Re: Coleman Oil and Gas, Inc. Disposal Well NW/4 Section 2, T29N, R12W San Juan County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Coleman Oil and Gas requests a continuance for the hearing regarding the subject well. We are requesting a 2 week continuance from the hearing scheduled for the week of 9-1-91.

Would you please notify us of the hearing date and the case number.

Thank you,

L.O. Van Ryan

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING

SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO 87504

(505) 827-5800

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

MEMORANDUM

| TO: | All Gas Producers |
|----------|--|
| FROM: | All Gas Froducers Michael E. Stogner M. S, |
| SUBJECT: | Proposed changes to the Special Rules and Procedures for the Tight |
| | Formation Designations Under Section 107 of the Natural Gas Policy |
| | Act of 1978. |
| DATE: | November 25, 1991 |

A case has been tentatively set for the January 9, 1992 Examiner Hearing to consider eliminating the required hearing of Tight Formation Designation applications by the NMOCD and adopt a procedure whereby such matters can be reviewed administratively.

After a couple of recent applications for tight formation designations, it was called to our attention that the FERC had experienced a few court challenges and had changed their policies about final authorization on areas where two or more jurisdictional agencies are involved and of expanded jurisdiction of the BLM on Federal and Indian lands. Hopefully an administrative process by the OCD can amend this procedure broad enough to allow each application to be properly filed and reviewed without the redundant questioning and scrutiny of more than one authorizing agency. It would allow the OCD and BLM to work more efficiently with each other on a case by case basis. I would also foresee in those instances where approval by both the BLM and OCD is necessary for the FERC to act on an application, a joint approval might be sent on to Washington instead of possible conflicting recommendations. This would resolve the chance of the FERC returning the filings back to both agencies for conflict resolution, further delaying the process.

Also, such amendment would incorporate any change to this procedure adopted by the FERC in the last ten years.