1	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	CASE NOS. 10547 AND 10548
4	CONSOLIDATED
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF:
7	
8	The Applications of Benson-Montin-Greer
9	Drilling Corporation for a high angle/horizontal directional drilling
10	pilot project, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
1 4	
15	BEFORE:
16	
17	MICHAEL E. STOGNER
18	Hearing Examiner
19	September 3, 1992
20	
2 1	
2 2	REPORTED BY:
23	DEBBIE VESTAL Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 4	for the State of New Mexico
25	



1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:	
4	ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ. General Counsel	
5	State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
6		
7	FOR BENSON-MONTIN-GREER DRILLING CORPORATION:	
8	KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN	
9	Post Office Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265	
10	BY: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN, ESQ.	
11		
1 2	FOR AMERICAN HUNTER EXPLORATION, LIMITED:	
13	CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A. Post Office Box 2208	
14	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ .	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
2 2		
23		
24		
2 5		

1	INDEX	
2	Page	Number
3		
4	Appearances	2
5		
6	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	
7		
8	1. JOHN D. ROE	
9	Examination by Mr. Kellahin	7
10	Examination by Examiner Stogner	2 4
11		
12	Certificate of Reporter	47
13		
1 4		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

EXHIBITS Page Identified Exhibit No. 1 Exhibit No. 2 Exhibit No. 3 Exhibit No. 4

EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will 1 2 come to order. I'll call at this time both Cases 10547 and 10548. 3 MR. STOVALL: Each of which, both of 5 which --6 EXAMINER STOGNER: Go ahead, Mr. 7 Stovall. MR. STOVALL: -- is the application of 8 Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for a 9 10 high angle/horizontal directional drilling pilot 11 project in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for 12 13 appearances. 14 MR. KELLAHIN: May the Examiner, 15 please, I'm Tom Kellahin, of the Santa Fe law 16 firm of Kellahin & Kellahin, appearing on behalf of the applicant, and I have one witness to be 17 18 sworn. May it please the Examiner, 19 MR. CARR: 20 my name is William F. Carr, with the Santa Fe law 21 firm, Campbell, Carr, Berge and Sheridan. represent American Hunter Exploration, Limited. 22 23 I do not intend to call a witness. 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Both you gentlemen have agreed that these two cases can be 25

1	consolidated?
2	MR. CARR: We have.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: So, Mr. Kellahin,
4	you may proceed.
5	MR. STOVALL: Can I swear in Mr. Roe
6	here? I would really like to do that.
7	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner,
8	I would like the record to reflect I've been
9	given two files that contain no exhibits.
10	MR. STOVALL: Kellahin tries to pull
11	something every time he does this.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: So what's your
13	point, Mr. Carr?
14	MR. CARR: I'm at least not confused
15	yet.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: Let the record show
17	that Mr. Kellahin has given Mr. Carr exhibits to
18	stuff in the files.
19	Mr. Kellahin.
20	MR. STOVALL: I'd still like to swear
21	Mr. Roe.
2 2	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, I believe so.
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: In that case do so.
24	JOHN D. ROE
25	Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was

1	examined and testified as follows:
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
4	Q. Mr. Roe, would you, please, state your
5	name and occupation.
6	A. My name is John Dale Roe, Jr. I'm an
7	engineering manager for Dugan Production
8	Corporation in Farmington, New Mexico.
9	Q. On prior occasions have you testified
10	as an expert petroleum engineer before the Oil
11	Conservation Division and the Oil Conservation
12	Commission in New Mexico?
13	A. Yes, I have.
14	Q. With regards to these two applications
15	by Benson, Montin, and Greer, have you been
16	retained as a consultant by him, Mr. Greer, to
17	present his case with regards to these two
18	matters?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. As part of your engineering duties,
21	have you made a study of the details regarding
22	this particular application?
23	A. Yes, I have.
24	MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Roe as an

expert petroleum engineer.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any 1 2 objections? 3 MR. CARR: No objections. MR. STOVALL: No. 5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you. 6 Mr. Kellahin. 7 Mr. Roe, let me have you turn to the 0. 8 package of information that is in the exhibit package for case 10547. If you'll take the first 10 display, help us orient ourselves as to the information shown on that exhibit and to each of 11 12 the two proposed special high angle/directionally 13 drilled projects that Benson-Montin-Greer seeks 14 approval for. Yes. On Exhibit No. 1 it's basically 15 16 intended to just provide a picture of the pertinent things regarding the two wells that are 17 18 the subject of these two cases, along with the 19 existing well development status in this general 20 area. 21 The boundary outlined in pink identifies what is the current northern boundary 22 23 of the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Oil Pool. 24 I've outlined in yellow what is the lease

boundary for the lease that the two wells that

we're proposing are located on. It's the Jicarilla 404 lease. And both wells that we're proposing in these two cases are located on that same lease. And again that boundary is outlined in yellow.

I've also identified the two wells that we're looking at, the Jicarilla 404 No. 3, which is in the northwest quarter of Section 9, and also identified the BMG's proposed Jicarilla 404 well No. 2, which is in Section 10.

- Q. The display shows the proposed spacing unit for each of those wells?
- A. Actually I meant to outline the spacing unit. The spacing unit for each well would be the 640 acres comprised in the respective sections that the well's located in.
- Q. We look at Section 9 then for the well 404 No. 3, which is Case 10547, and the proposal would be to dedicate that approximately 640 acres to that well?
- A. Yes, sir. There is 640 acres in that section. That would be the spacing unit.
- Q. When you move over to Section 10 for the 404 No. 2 well, which is Case 10548, what will be the spacing unit for that well?

A. The spacing unit for that well would be all of Section 10, which also contains 640 acres.

- Q. Within each of those sections, there is a smaller square enclosing the number that identifies the section. What does that intend to represent?
- A. The square that's inscribed basically around the center of the section represents a square that is 1650 feet from all section lines. The 1650 feet represents two things. The purpose of putting it on this map is that would be our projected bottomhole target area.

At the current time we're not able to feel comfortable in spending a lot of time preparing a detailed wellbore profile.

The 1650 foot square also represents the setback. This is stipulated in the West Puerto Chiquito Pool Rules.

- Q. If you honor the 1650 setback for the pool, that would apply to any production with this well from the entire vertical limits of the pool?
- A. Yes. Mr. Greer's proposal would be to locate the producing interval from the top

perforation, which he's hoping will be in the Niobrara A, to either the total depth of the well or the base of the Greenhorn, if he elects to drill to the Greenhorn.

But that total interval, from the top of the Niobrara A to the base of the Greenhorn or TD in the Mancos, whichever occurs, will be located within that square, and no point of the producing interval of the wellbore would be closer than 1650 feet to the outer boundaries of the spacing unit.

- Q. Is that drilling window both horizontally and vertically limited consistent with the types of approvals the Division has entered for other high angle/directional drilled projects?
- A. Mr. Kellahin, I'm not sure about all of the -- I haven't followed a lot of the high angle projects, so I'm not sure. But what we're proposing in these two applications is that the producing interval would be basically within the proposed setbacks, as stipulated in West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Pool Rules. And basically that's the same set of rules that all other wells within West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos are operating under.

- Q. Other than having a special project created and applied to this drilling production window within the spacing unit and exceptions from the appropriate rules of the pool that would allow the high angle well to be an exception to the well location requirements, if you will, are you seeking any special oil allowable rates for either of these wells?
 - A. No. Mr. Greer is comfortable with the 800 barrel of oil per day top allowable that's stipulated in the special pool rules.
 - Q. Are you seeking any special gas-oil ratio limitations other than what exists for the pool?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Are you seeking any special project allowable for these particular wells?
 - A. No.

- Q. Describe for us whether or not Mr. Greer and BMG have undertaken to drill any other high angle/horizontal wells in the San Juan Basin.
- A. Mr. Greer personally has been involved with the drilling of -- reentry of an old well that resulted in a drilling of a horizontal well

within the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Pool. And more specifically it was in the Canada Ojitos
Unit. It's the old well No. 8.

And he successfully designed and drilled that well, and it was engineering success. It was not necessarily an economic success. But irregardless the well was drilled very comfortably.

- Q. Mr. Greer is seeking the flexability of the Commission to make operational decisions in the field concerning choices as to angle, orientation of the azimuth, distance of the lateral, things that he may determine he needs to do in the field with regards to drilling this project?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.

- Q. Give us a general sense, from your perspective as an engineer, about how those decisions are going to be made when you plan the actual location of the well and select a particular orientation.
- A. Well, if we knew just a little bit more about where the top of the Niobrara would be, we would be in a better shape to design the wellbore at this time. This is a particular area of the

Niobrara where it goes from a fairly gentle slope to the west, and it starts developing a very steep dipping as it approaches its outcrop to the east.

What Mr. Greer hopes to do is have the flexibility of monitoring the formation tops as the well is drilled. He has plans to log the well with conventional openhole electric logs at the base of the Mesaverde to confirm the formation tops.

And with the geology that he has now and hopes to supplement between now and whenever he gets to a drilling depth in the first well that would be the top of the Mesaverde -- I mean, the base of the Mesaverde, he will use that information to then determine a point in the Mancos that will most likely exhibit the fracturing that's necessary for the Mancos to be commercial.

That depth, because of the uncertainty as to how the beds are dipping right at this area, we don't have a lot of well control in the area. The well control we have says that the beds are really beginning to start dipping right in these Sections 9 and 10.

And we could be looking at a depth difference -- well, to the west the beds dip 100 to 200 feet per mile. And right in this area they could be as much as 1,500 to 2,000 feet per mile.

So if he gets through the Mesaverde, he'll have quite a bit better handle on where the bottom of the well needs to be. And he would design the angle and the direction to encounter the Mancos within the target area that we've outlined on Exhibit 1.

And whether that would require a horizontal well or just a high angle well, that would be a decision he'd make when he has a better handle on where the top of the Niobrara is.

- Q. What in your opinion is the method to be used to determine where the wellbore is at any given time during the drilling process?
- A. Well, in the last high angle well that Mr. Greer drilled, or horizontal well, he used the MWD equipment, and he had real good control of the wellbore during the drilling process. Mr. Greer isn't committed to using MWD at this point, although that is one possibility.

If he doesn't use that, he will run the necessary electrical surveys to establish the location of the wellbore while he's drilling and also at the end of the completion.

- Q. Give us your opinions about the appropriateness of the use of this type of technology over conventional vertical wells in maximizing the opportunity to produce oil out of the reservoir.
- A. Well, basically this is the trend that a lot of the operators -- American Hunter to the north has been using the deviated wellbores. When you're dealing with a fractured Mancos shale, basically in the absence of the fractures, you're dealing with just a very low, permeable, tight reservoir.

And so it's very important that you encounter as much of the natural fracturing as possible with your wellbore during the drilling process. If you don't, you can hope to encounter some of it with artificial stimulation -- or stimulation after completion, although typically if you don't encounter the fractures during the drilling process, it's not common to fracture into the fracture system.

Q. Within the horizontal limits of this particular pool, do you show on Exhibit No. 1 any of the high angle/horizontal wells drilled by other operators?

A. Yes. There's three wells that have previously been drilled. They're all three drilled by American Hunter. They are indicated at the very top of the map very close to the northern township line of 27 North.

In two of the wells they have a very significant deviation from the surface location to the bottomhole location, and that's what I'm attempting to demonstrate.

For instance, American Hunter's 2-A No. 1 located in Section 2 of 27 North, Range 1 West, the surface location is indicated with the solid dot, which is at approximately 442 feet from the north line and 1177 feet from the east line. It is within the boundaries of the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Pool.

And the bottomhole location is indicated with the small "x," and that's at a point about 620 feet from the north line and 3277 feet from the east line. And additionally there's another proposed directional well in

Section 5.

And Mr. Greer's drilling of a horizontal well is off the map to the south.

It's actually located in Section 8 of 25 North, 1 West.

- Q. Within the display on Exhibit No. 1 and looking at Section 9, there is a red dot just outside of the drilling window --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- within Section 9. What does that represent?
- A. Okay. The red dot -- since we've consolidated these cases, this exact same map will also occur in the exhibit package for Case 10548. The map in Case 10548, the circle in Section 10 would be red. So that's the only difference between those two exhibits. On both maps the red dot is to highlight the proposed surface location of both wells.
- Q. Let's turn now to Exhibit No. 2 and specifically discuss the surface topography that affects the location of the well at which you commence drilling.
- A. Okay. On Exhibit No. 2, for Case 10547, we've outlined the 1650-foot setback

square in yellow. And again the proposed surface location of the well is also identified with the red dot.

The primary reason, also I've indicated in the upper northwest quarter of that --

Q. Drilling window.

A. -- drilling window, that is an additional square that identifies the area that the West Puerto Chiquito Pool Rules would identify as being an orthodox location. That's a 10-acre plot of land that is the only location in that northwest quarter of the drilling window that would be orthodox. As you can see, we're outside that drilling window.

And this map is just basically a reproduction of topographic map, USGS topo map, which indicates surface topography. And, as you can see, basically that's a very, very, very rough area of the -- well, this part of the country. It's right in the forest. And getting an orthodox location would be very difficult and costly.

Q. When you look at Rule 4 for the West

Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Pool Rules, it describes

in that rule in certain subsections various well

location requirements and limitations on total deviation of wellbores within the context of those special rules, does it not?

A. Yes, it does.

- Q. And, in order to execute this particular pilot project, you're going to need exceptions to Rule 4 and its various subparts?
- A. Yes, that is correct. Because beyond any doubt, the wellbore will deviate more than the 330 feet that is the maximum allowed in the West Puerto Chiquito Pool Rules.
- Q. And the well location limitations for surface location are not not going to fit for either one of these wells?
- A. Well, the No. 2 well will be at an orthodox location on the surface. As I've indicated -- again if we look at Exhibit 2 for Case 10548 -- the little dot would be highlighted in red.

But even in absence of the red, you can see on this exhibit the surface location on the No. 2 is orthodox, and the topography allows that. It's still rough, but it's about as good as exists in Section 10.

Q. Do we know whether or not the proposed

location in 10, as well as the proposed location in 9, have met all the final approvals of the various regulatory agencies that have jurisdiction over the surface?

- A. It's my understanding that Mr. Greer -our next exhibit will be a copy of the APD. He
 has submitted the APD, and the locations are such
 that they're satisfactory to Mr. Greer. But, as
 far as approval by the various parties that are
 claiming authority to have -- give us approval,
 we haven't received any approval.
- Q. In order to accommodate the potential that another agency may require the surface location to be adjusted, would you request from this Examiner the flexibility in the order to establish an administrative procedure by which the surface location might be adjusted provided that the producing interval within the reservoir honors the 1650 setback?
- A. Yes. That would be a great help if we could get the flexability. In the event -- now, the locations, as we've represented them here, is where the well will be drilled unless we have objection by some party.

In the event we receive that objection,

it would be very helpful if we had an administrative procedure to move the surface location simply to accommodate that objection with the stipulation that we keep the bottomhole producing interval within the 1650 foot -- in other words, that would not change. We would keep the bottomhole producing interval as proposed.

- Q. Do you see a change or modification in the surface location as having any possible effect on the impairment of correlative rights of anyone that has entitlement to the hydrocarbons?
- A. I see absolutely no way that that should be a problem.
- Q. Let's turn now to Exhibit No. 3 in Case 10547 and have you identify and describe that exhibit.
- A. Okay. The purpose of Exhibit 3, these are simply two pages that I have extracted from the APD package that was submitted. The purpose of providing this information is to demonstrate that on July 31 BMG did submit the APD package. It's my understanding that the package is considered to be complete and meeting all of the needs of the Bureau of Land Management.

On this you can see the location, the surface location of the well. And basically that was the only purpose of this APD, is to -- Mr. Greer's feeling, the pressure of getting these wells -- the drilling commenced prior to winter, and he's in high gear attempting to get going before winter prohibits the operations -- or it won't prohibit operations, but it will make it very costly. And if we can avoid that, it would really be something that is important to him.

- Q. In looking at the APD, is there attached to the APD a proposed drilling program for the deviated well that is consistent to the description of that program as you've conveyed it to this Examiner?
- A. That is correct. Page No. 2 basically says in words what I've repeated -- basically I repeated those words.
- Q. And when we turn to the next exhibit package, the corresponding Exhibit 3 then is going to be the APD for that well?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And finally in each exhibit package is a Notice and a Certificate of Mailing to parties that may be affected by the approval of this

1	application?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. That certification is Exhibit 4 in each
4	package, and it shows a list of parties that were
5	notified. Based upon your information and
6	knowledge, Mr. Roe, are those the appropriate
7	parties that should receive notice in this kind
8	of case?
9	A. Yes. Yes, they are.
10	MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes my
11	examination of Mr. Roe. We move the introduction
12	of Exhibits 1 through 4 in each of the two cases.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any
14	objections?
15	MR. CARR: No objections.
16	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 4
17	will be admitted into evidence.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr, your
19	witness.
20	EXAMINATION
2 1	BY MR. CARR:
22	Q. Mr. Roe, you indicated that you had
23	been retained by Benson-Montin-Greer to present
2 4	these cases; is that correct?
25	A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Were you involved in the selection of the actual surface location for the wells or the 2 3 particular drilling blocks? No, I wasn't. Α. 5 Q. When did you actually become involved with this matter? 6 7 Α. Mr. Greer actually contacted me, I think, on August 14. 8 9 Okay. The applications in each of these cases that were filed, dated August 10, 10 11 reference a special gas-oil ratio and a special 12 project allowable. If I understand your testimony, Benson-Montin-Greer is not proceeding 13 with either of those? 14 15 That is correct. Again, I wasn't involved right up front, but it's my 16 17 understanding that Mr. Greer was concerned. Producing of the Mancos in this area, 18 particularly the fractured Niobrara, is something 19 that he's basically made a life-time project out 20 21 of. And he's following very closely what's 22 happening in the American Hunter wells to the

And there is what appears to be a very good well in Section 3, which is the primary

23

24

25

north.

purpose for proposing both of these wells, is to meet the development, the protective well necessary to offset the American Hunter well in Section 3.

Mr. Greer, in his following of this, there are some problems in having a very good well and no pipeline connection to sell your gas. So initially he was wanting to address the issue of how to handle the gas during the period that precedes the pipeline connection. It's a rough area to lay lines, and it will be probably be some time before he gets lines.

He decided -- I don't think that even American Hunter has figured out what to do with their produced gas, but at the time I think Mr. Greer was hoping to just be treated in a similar manner to what would be occurring in offset wells.

- Q. But there's no request now at this time for special gas-oil ratio?
- A. That is correct. We plan to operate under that stipulated in the West Puerto Chiquito Pool.
- Q. That would mean that both American

 Hunter's well north of you and these wells would

all be operated under the West Puerto Chiquito
Rules as they now stand, so we'd all have the
same rules?

- A. Yes. In fact, Mr. Carr, the reason that it shows up in the application is it was Mr. Greer's effort to simply ask for the same treatment that American Hunter was getting if there was some deviation from the special pool rules.
- Q. You'd hope to get wells here that are comparable to the well in Section 3, would you not?
- A. Actually Mr. Greer hopes to get better wells. No. This is a very complex area of the Mancos. There's some pretty decent wells just to the south and there's some pretty poor wells just to south. My guess is American Hunter would admit that this is a very difficult place to drill wells. You get some good wells, and you get some bad wells.
- Q. If you get even better wells than the well in Section 3, you'd be producing some gas.

 And you talked about waiting for a pipeline. Are there any plans right now in place that you're aware of to extend the pipeline into the area?

A. Well, the plans that I am aware of, Mr. Greer has proposed as part of a project in conjunction with American Hunter -- and it's my understanding that that may not materialize -- but Mr. Greer has proposed \$1.3 million pipeline up into the area.

Knowing Mr. Greer, if he gets gas production from his production, he well could proceed with that. Mr. Greer has long been an advocate of unitization. He's had numerous discussions, not only with the Jicarilla Apaches, but also the American Hunter people about unitization. And I know he would still prefer to do that. That would be another option to do with the gas.

But, as it stands right now, not knowing what kind of gas he's going to have, it's difficult to know what you would do.

- Q. You've worked with Mr. Greer in the past, have you not?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And you understand that the kind of reservoir we're dealing with is a highly fractured reservoir where gravity drainage is one of the fundamental concepts in producing the

1 | wells?

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you have been involved in cases -I don't know if it's with Mr. Greer or just on
 the same side -- involving the Gavilan where
 there were discussions about the importance of
 pressure maintenance --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- in conjunction with gravity drainage. Do you have an opinion at this time as to whether or not there's sufficient data in this area to make a decision as to whether or not it would be prudent to commit to large gas withdrawals from this portion of the pool?
 - A. Yes. We don't have the information specific to this immediate area. But we have enough information with Boulder-Mancos to the north and West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos immediately adjacent to the south. We have enough information to tell us that the production of large volumes of gas without pressure maintenance will result in a reduced recovery.
 - Q. So, if we don't maintain pressure, then we ultimately could leave production in the ground?

1 A. That is correct.

- Q. Do you know the status of Mr. Greer's plans for the pipeline, I mean, where they stand today other than it being a proposal?
 - A. Well, I don't know, no.
- Q. Have you made any calculations as to how much gas would have to be removed from the reservoir in this area to pay for a pipeline?
- A. Speaking as -- Dugan Production had partial interest by virtue of our interest in the West Puerto Chiquito Unit. I studied that particular issue in depth because we had to approve an AFE that Mr. Greer had submitted to the unit owners.

And yes, I did. As I recall, it would require about a three-year payout had we had the production from the American Hunter well. But it's my understanding that that's --

Q. Do you know how much in terms of volume of gas would have to be removed to make that three-year payout?

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I've been patient with Mr. Carr, but I'm going to object.

This is not free discovery of anything Mr. Roe may or may not know in the entire area. It is

site specific as regards to this application.

And it makes no difference as to what a pipeline does or does not do to your decision in approving this application.

MR. CARR: I would submit that Mr. Roe has told us that they're hoping to get wells that are at least comparable to the well to the north. One of the big issues in the development in this entire area has been: What do we do with the gas, and what impact will it have on the reservoir with removal of large volumes of gas?

We think it's an appropriate line of cross-examination. And the proposal before you here is to drill three wells -- or two additional wells, I'm sorry, that are going to produce large volumes of gas.

We've invested substantial amounts of money and are going forward with an effort to reinject. And we certainly don't have an objection to Mr. Greer drilling wells on his property in accordance with the rules. But we think it's appropriate and it is necessary in fact for us to understand exactly what his long-term plans are.

We've had just a very elementary

presentation that here's the location and here's what we want to do. Yet it is part of a much larger question, and I submit that the question as to what are the status of plans to do something with the gas is relevant to this case.

2.5

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Carr chooses to confuse the Hearing Examiner and wants to talk about a pressure maintenance project that we have asked for. He wants to ask about what we're going to do with gas that we have yet to produce. And it has nothing to do with drilling this horizontal/high angle project.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr, do you concur that the drilling window he is asking for constitutes a standard location pursuant to the rules as far as the offsets to the lease?

MR. CARR: Yes.

EXAMINER STOGNER: And I'm assuming that you saw Exhibit No. 1, which had their four -- I'm sorry, Benson-Montin-Greer's Jicarilla 404 lease outlined with four sections?

MR. CARR: Yes.

EXAMINER STOGNER: I concur with Mr.

Kellahin. I don't see where this line of questioning is going anywhere at this point. So

1 I'm going to ask you to move on.

- Q. (BY MR. CARR) Let's go to the 404

 lease then in Exhibit No. 1. Is the lease what

 us outlined in yellow?
 - A. Yes. That is the entire lease.
 - Q. And I believe you testified that you did not participate in any decision concerning the surface location?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. In seeking an administrative procedure whereby you can be permitted to move the surface location --
 - A. Our request is only if there is a regulatory agency that would stipulate that.
 - Q. Suppose, just for the purpose of the question, that the Jicarillas show up and find trees at the proposed surface location and they ask you to move it --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- is it possible that you could even be required to move it away from that particular location, say, to the west of the drilling block? Would you anticipate that the administrative approval would contemplate that as well?

1	MR. KELLAHIN: May I clarify the
2	question? The request is to stay confined within
3	the spacing unit?
4	MR. CARR: Yes.
5	MR. KELLAHIN: Do you mean by "drilling
6	block"
7	MR. CARR: The surface location only.
8	MR. KELLAHIN: within that spacing
9	unit or the four sections?
10	MR. CARR: No. Within that particular
11	section. I'm just trying to determine how much
12	administrative leeway you think you might need.
13	MR. KELLAHIN: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: Well, hopefully on
15	Exhibit 2 there is that State Road 95 that runs
16	up and down there. And the topography to the
17	west, it's pretty rough until we get up on top.
18	Hopefully, there wouldn't be a need to
19	move it a great distance. We're not anticipating
20	the need to drill it at a location different than
21	we've identified here.
22	But we have had less than
23	particularly the Jicarillas have seemed like
24	they've provided a lot of unnecessary hurdles.
25	So it could be that they would want to move it.

I would hope that it would be a small move. What is small, I have no way to even say.

But we would expect it to be a reasonable request. If they moved it too far, that would be an unreasonable request.

Q. (BY MR. CARR) You know, is it possible, Mr. Roe, that you might even want to move it to a completely different location as long as you could keep -- I'm talking about, say, perhaps off the southwest corner of the 1650 setback block. I mean is that what you're contemplating in terms of flexability from an administrative point of view?

MR. KELLAHIN: To restate our request,
Mr. Examiner, we seek any surface location within
the outer boundaries of Section 9 for which then
to seek an administrative adjustment as to this
particular location.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Which would mean anywhere within Section 9; is that correct?

MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir.

Q. (BY MR. CARR) Is it possible to drill from anywhere within Section 9 and still bottom that well within that 1650-foot setback?

A. It is. But it becomes more costly.

And in the interest of economics, Mr. Greer has 1 been out and had done the well site work and has satisfied himself that these locations that we 3 have picked are probably the best that's available in Section 9 and 10. 5 Any other location is going to be 6 something that's going to cost us more and be 7 more difficult. 8 And the testimony is that from any 9 surface location you could keep the openhole 10 11 portion or the portion in the formation within that drilling block? 12 MR. KELLAHIN: That would be the 13 limitation of the order. 14 15 MR. CARR: Okay. 16 A. But that's technically feasible, yes. 17 When I look at your Exhibit No. 1 and you've got this lease, this will be the third 18 19 well on the lease; is that correct?

A. Well, we have one well that has produced for many years, and this will be the second and third wells, yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What well formation is that other well completed in? Do you know?
 - A. It would be the same formation that

we're projecting. It's the West Puerto
Chiquito-Mancos Pool, and it is the Niobrara
Formation that it is producing from. And I'm not
positive which member, but the A and the B are
predominantly productive in this general area.

- Q. And it's a producing well at this time?
- A. The well in Section 16?
 - Q. Yes.

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know what rates it's producing at?
 - A. It's about -- I have that information, if it's important. There's no question that it's a nice well. It's produced approximately 103,000 barrels of oil. And my guess is it's in the 40 barrel a day range.
 - Q. And it's a straight hole?
 - A. Yes. It's conventional. In fact, all wells on this map are conventional with the exception of the ones I've indicated.
 - Q. Is it in an unorthodox location?
- A. It looks to me like it may not be. I don't know.
 - Q. The offsetting acreage would also be operated by Greer, though?

- A. Yes. In fact, the crosshatched -- I've identified the four leases that Mr. Greer is the operator of.
 - Q. And those are all West Puerto wells?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Based on your study of the formation and also what's represented in the application for the well in Section 9, you talk about the -- it states that the fracture orientation is in the north-south direction.

When I look at the ad for this case, it talks about the well in Section 9 drilling to penetrate the Mesaverde Formation and then kicking off in a southerly direction. Is that the direction you plan to take the well, southerly?

That just confused me. It seemed like you were parallel to the fracture system.

A. Well, we are. I think what we intended to represent there is, southerly is about the only way we can go and still wind up with an orthodox bottomhole location.

Now, my guess is it might be more south and east, but there wouldn't be any way we could go north, west, or immediately east from that

location. It has to be south-southwest or south-southeast. And that was the purpose of that statement.

- Q. When we go to the well in No. 10, maybe it was as to both wells, you indicated that Mr. Greer proposed to drill through the Mesaverde and then log and with that information determine the orientation of the fracture?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Is there any kind of special logging devices that you intend to use?
- A. I discussed that with Mr. Greer.

 There's several things that may happen between now and the point he needs to finalize his drilling profile. With respect to those wellbores, he plans to run conventional openhole logs, which would be an electric and a porosity log. And he may run a dip meter log, depending on how things are looking.

Additionally, he is proceeding with efforts to run seismic, do a seismic shoot across this area that would help a great deal. But he had this discussion with American Hunter people for quite a while hoping to get some seismic work done. Finally, he gave up on that being a joint

project.

And I think during June he approached the Jicarillas on his own with a formal application, and he has gone before the tribal council. And they are not acting on his application in an expeditious manner. And with winter coming up Mr. Greer may do this work without the seismic work, although if -- they would approve his application, he'll have that information also.

- Q. But at this time he's going to have to go forward without seismic or geophysical data unless they approve it?
 - A. That's his plan, yes.
- Q. Compared to other areas within the Niobrara, do you have an opinion on whether or not it's fair to simply analogize the information on the portion of the formation to the south in Canada Ojitos Unit with what you would anticipate here, or is additional information needed?
- A. Well, in the absence of additional information, the analogy is all we have. And that's the way a lot of development occurs even outside the Mancos.

Mr. Greer would love to have more

(505) 988-1772

information. He's a master of all people that
appreciates good information. He is making every
effort to accumulate all of the data that he can,
including the information from the American
Hunter wells, which he really has not been
provided much of that.

Hopefully, he'll have that so when he makes his decision as to where the wellbore is going to go and how it's going to look, I personally know that he will have exhausted all information that's available.

- Q. On your Exhibit No. 3, the APD --
- A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KELLAHIN: Which Exhibit 3, Mr.

15 Carr?

MR. CARR: I suspect either one, Mr. Kellahin. We can look at 3 in Case 10548.

- Q. Right below the description of the casing and cementing program, it says, "See attached proposed casing and cementing program."
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you intend to include that or leave it off?
- A. No. I could easily have included it.

 The purpose of Exhibit 3 was not to -- the rough

details are presented there on the first page under the proposed casing and cementing program.

The attached program, that could easily have been provided. It's public information available through the BLM.

- Q. And basically what you'll do is drill and then cement the casing. Will there be slotted casing through the horizontal or vertical -- I mean, or the deviated portion of the hole?
- A. I honestly don't -- I did not study or discuss exactly how Mr. Greer plans to case the horizontal portion of the well. But I do know he will have an encasement of some sort. In the last horizontal well he drilled, it was a slotted liner that was uncemented.
- Q. Does he use drilling mud in drilling these wells?
- A. His plan would be -- I did discuss that with him, and he plans to use drilling mud down through the casing point on 7-5/8. And then the lateral portion of the hole, which would encounter the productive Niobrara, he intends to use an aerated system.

If he can get gas to the area, he would use a gasified mud, oil-base mud. If he can't

1	get the gas up into the area through a pipeline,
2	he probably would use a nitrified. And that's
3	what he has told me.
4	Q. That's use to lighten the mud; is that
5	right?
6	A. Lighten the mud and minimize the
7	invasion that would occur upon encountering the
8	fractured reservoir.
9	MR. CARR: That's all I have. Thank
10	you.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.
12	Carr.
13	Do you have anything further?
1 4	MR. KELLAHIN: No, Mr. Examiner.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else
16	have any questions of this witness?
17	MR. STOVALL: No.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.
19	Stovall.
20	If not, Mr. Roe may be excused.
21	Does anybody else have anything further
22	in this case?
23	MR. KELLAHIN: That completes my
24	presentation.
2 5	MR. CARR: I want to make just a very

brief statement, but it is not a long flag-waving
speech.

MR. KELLAHIN: We are relieved, Mr.

4 Carr.

MR. CARR: Yes, I know that.

EXAMINER STOGNER: You may go first,

Mr. Carr.

MR. CARR: I just want to make clear what I said a few minutes ago by way of a closing statement. American Hunter is not here opposed to Mr. Greer drilling a well under the West Puerto Chiquito Rules with the well bottom at 1650 feet from the outer boundary. If there was a suggestion there in my cross-examination, that's not our intention.

The only concern we have is that we're trying to watch and manage the reservoir. We're spending a lot of money trying to put gas back in it. And the questions were directed at gaining information and assuring that what is done doesn't mean we're putting it in and it's just blowing out somebody else's well, because I think we all share that concern. That's the reason we're here today.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

1 | Carr.

2.5

Mr. Kellahin, do you have any response to Noranda at this point -- I'm sorry, American Hunter.

MR. KELLAHIN: The gas injection issue, American Hunter's gas disposal application is a substantial issue of dispute between these parties but has nothing to do with this case. We think it is a routine application now at this point, considering the past history of involvement of the Division in planning and assisting operators with high angle wells.

We have attempted to comply with those procedures the Division has established already in terms of a drilling window. We want to have the same opportunity the Division has granted others to make field decisions in order to maximize the opportunity to encounter multiple fractures.

We believe Mr. Greer's professional expertise and competence speaks for itself in this state, and we would seek the approval of his two applications.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Kellahin. Both cases, No. 10547 and 10548 will

1	both be taken under advisement at this time.
2	At this time we'll take a recess until
3	1:30.
4	[And the proceedings were concluded.]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
	1 do hereby coals u
14	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
14 15	the Examiner hearing of Case Nos/105474
	a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case Nos 1847 and 18548
15	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 1054744
15 16	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Examiner hearing of case Nos 10547and 10548 heard by me on 3 1992

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 SS. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 I, Debbie Vestal, Certified Shorthand 6 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that 7 8 the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; 9 10 that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a 11 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 12 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or 14 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have 15 no personal interest in the final disposition of 16 17 this matter. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OCTOBER 12, 18 1992. 19 20 21 22

RODRIGUEZ-VESTAL REPORTING
(505) 988-1772

VESTAL,

NEW MEXICO CSR NO. 3

RPR

23

24