1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 10,628
5	
6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas well
12	location, Eddy County, New Mexico
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	
17	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
18	DECEIVED
19	
20	ORIGINAL III MAY 7 1993
21	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
22	
23	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
24	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
25	April 8. 1993

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DIVISION:
4	ROBERT G. STOVALL
5	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
6	State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
7	
8	FOR THE APPLICANT:
9	CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A.
10	Attorneys at Law By: WILLIAM F. CARR
11	Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
13	* * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had
2	at 4:18 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call
4	Case 10,628.
5	MR. STOVALL: Application of Yates Petroleum
6	Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox
7	gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there appearances in
9	this case?
10	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my
11	name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm
12	Campbell, Carr, Berge and Sheridan.
13	This case was originally heard by the
14	Division on December 17, 1992, and Order Number R-9838
15	entered on February 2nd, 1993, granting the Application
16	of Yates.
17	This case was opposed by Santa Fe, and they
18	had a parallel application.
19	MR. STOVALL: That's Santa Fe Energy?
20	MR. CARR: Santa Fe Energy, Correct.
21	Santa Fe requested, if their Application was
22	granted, a pooling of a west-half unit for all
23	formations developed on 302 acres.
24	Yates requested a south-half unit for wells
25	into formations developed on 320 acres.

Although Yates' Application was granted, the Order provided for a west-half designation on 320-acre spacing.

We contacted the Division hoping it could be handled with a nunc pro tunc order and then discovered that the legal advertisement also had advertised Yates' case as also requesting a west-half designation.

To be sure that all the pieces fit, we asked that the case be reopened, readvertised and, based on the prior record, a new -- or an amended order entered, designating the south half as the appropriate spacing unit if the well is completed in any formations developed on 320-acre spacing.

And that's why we're here today.

And based on the prior record, we would request the case be taken under advisement and an order entered in accordance with the Application originally filed on behalf of Yates Petroleum Corporation.

MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, I was involved in discussions with Mr. Carr and reviewed this with Examiner Stogner, and indeed the ad was done in error for the west half, and the Order was entered for error on the west half, and Examiner Stogner did intend, based upon the evidence at that hearing, to cause a south-half 320-acre proration unit to be formed.

1	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Carr, is that all we
2	have to amend in that Order, is just the proration
3	unit?
4	MR. CARR: Yes, sir.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Do any of the pooling
6	terms have to be amended or
7	MR. CARR: No terms need to be amended, only
8	"west half" changed to "south half" for formations
9	developed on 320-acre spacing.
10	MR. STOVALL: In fact, this ad specifically
11	provides that nothing overhead costs, operatorship
12	and well costs, et cetera, will not be considered.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, anything
14	further?
15	MR. CARR: Nothing further.
16	EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing
17	further, Case 10,628 will be taken under advisement.
18	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded
19	at 4:20 p.m.)
20	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true
11	and accurate record of the proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or
13	employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in
14	this matter and that I have no personal interest in the
15	final disposition of this matter.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 1, 1993.
17	Carlotte Control of the Control of t
18	STEVEN T. BRENNER
19	CCR No. 7
20	My commission expires: October 14, 1994
21	My Commission expires: Occober 14, 1994
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
23	a complete ruppin of the promodings to the Examiner heading of Case the 1969
24	According to a factor of the April of the Ap
25	Contraction Catanal England