| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
|----|--|
| 2 | ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT |
| 3 | OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION |
| 4 | CASE 10,670 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | EXAMINER HEARING |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE MATTER OF: |
| 9 | |
| 10 | In the matter of Case 10,670 being reopened upon the application of Maralo, Inc., to set an |
| 11 | effective date for the temporary special rules and regulations for the Northeast Jenkins-Devonian |
| 12 | Pool promulgated by Division Order No. R-9912 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 15 | INTROCKITI OI INCOLLEZINCE |
| 16 | BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER |
| 17 | BHORD. BIVID R. CHIMMON, BMMINER |
| 18 | STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING |
| 19 | SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO |
| 20 | July 15, 1993 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | A loo |
| 23 | 6 1993 |
| 24 | The principal of the pr |
| 25 | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE DIVISION: |
| 4 | ROBERT G. STOVALL |
| 5 | Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Building |
| 6 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | FOR THE APPLICANT: |
| 9 | CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A. Attorneys at Law |
| 10 | By: WILLIAM F. CARR Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe |
| 11 | P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 |
| 12 | Bailed Te, New Mexico 07304 2200 |
| 13 | * * * |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 l | <u>'</u> |

| 1 | WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had |
|----|---|
| 2 | at 11:02 a.m.: |
| 3 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, at this time we'll |
| 4 | call Case 10,670. |
| 5 | MR. STOVALL: In the matter of Case 10,670 |
| 6 | being reopened upon the application of Maralo, Inc., to |
| 7 | set an effective date for the temporary special rules |
| 8 | and regulations for the Northeast Jenkins-Devonian Pool |
| 9 | promulgated by Division Order No. R-9912. |
| 10 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there appearances in |
| 11 | this case? |
| 12 | MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my |
| 13 | name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm, |
| 14 | Campbell, Carr, Berge and Sheridan. |
| 15 | I represent Maralo, Inc., and I have a |
| 16 | statement to make. |
| 17 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional |
| 18 | appearances? |
| 19 | You may proceed, Mr. Carr. |
| 20 | MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, in February of this |
| 21 | year Maralo appeared before the Division and requested |
| 22 | special pool rules for the Northeast Jenkins-Devonian |
| 23 | Pool, including an application for 80-acre spacing. |
| 24 | An order was entered in June of this year |
| 25 | granting the Application. And although the status of |

leases within the pool was discussed, there was never a formal request in the record for an effective date of March 1, 1993.

When the Order was entered, it was silent on

this point.

To avoid lease expirations and a shuffling of equities within the pool, after meetings with the Division, it was agreed that the case would be reopened, placed on this docket and, in the absence of objection, would be taken under advisement, provided in the meantime we gave notice — it would be taken under advisement, based on a request that in fact an effective date be established and that an order be entered that set the effective date.

We followed the recommended provisions, and we were instructed that if there was no objection, that the matter would simply be taken under advisement based on what we submitted.

(Off the record)

MR. STOVALL: Was a record made, Mr. Carr, to show why this particular date should be an effective date?

MR. CARR: It was discussed in the -- at the March -- I mean, I'm sorry, at the February hearing, and it was explained that certain leases would be

1 expiring. But there was never at any point in the 2 transcript of that case a formal request for this 3 particular effective date, only that the matter be 4 expedited. But no date was formally requested. 5 And for that reason, it was just omitted in 6 7 the Order. But it really doesn't rise to the level of a nunc pro tunc situation because it isn't that. 8 isn't a mistake. It was just not -- There was never 9 the formal request and it was never addressed in the 10 Order. 11 Secretary didn't do it, huh? MR. STOVALL: 12 MR. CARR: This was not a secretarial error; 13 14 this was an attorney error. MR. STOVALL: And the March 1st date is after 15 16 the case was heard? MR. CARR: It was after the case was heard, 17 after the Application was filed and prior to the 18 expiration of certain leases that have owners in them 19 who participated in the well, and it will prevent just 20 a reshuffling of the equities in these properties. 21 MR. STOVALL: Has the pool been operated as 22 23 if these rules were in effect --24 MR. CARR: Yes, sir, it has been. MR. STOVALL: -- since that period? 25

| 1 | MR. CARR: It has been. And interests have |
|----|---|
| 2 | been accounted as if they were in effect. |
| 3 | MR. STOVALL: So in other words, it would be |
| 4 | not granting this Application that would affect |
| 5 | rights |
| 6 | MR. CARR: That is correct. |
| 7 | MR. STOVALL: not the granting of it? |
| 8 | MR. CARR: That is correct. Interest owners |
| 9 | who've been involved in the property, who've paid their |
| 10 | share, who've been paid, would suddenly be in a |
| 11 | situation where leases had expired. |
| 12 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, anything else, Mr. |
| 13 | Carr? |
| 14 | MR. CARR: We'll be happy to submit a |
| 15 | proposed order on this, as the Examiner has indicated |
| 16 | he would like one. |
| 17 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Please. |
| 18 | MR. CARR: Okay. |
| 19 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, there being nothing |
| 20 | further, Case 10,670 will be taken under advisement. |
| 21 | (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded |
| 22 | at 11:08 a.m.) |
| 23 | * * * |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO) |
| 4 |) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court |
| 7 | Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the |
| 8 | foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil |
| 9 | Conservation Division was reported by me; that I |
| 10 | transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true |
| 11 | and accurate record of the proceedings. |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or |
| 13 | employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in |
| 14 | this matter and that I have no personal interest in the |
| 15 | final disposition of this matter. |
| 16 | WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 19th, 1993. |
| 17 | Einer / Se |
| 18 | STEVEN T. BRENNER |
| 19 | CCR No. 7 |
| 20 | My commission expires: October 14, 1994 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in |
| 23 | the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10670, heard by me on 104/5 1993. |
| 24 | Dand Retard, Examiner |
| 25 | Oil Conservation Division |