STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Case No. 10720 Order No. R-9702-A

APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR AMENDMENT OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-9702, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on April 22, 1993, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Michael E. Stogner.

NOW, on this <u>26th</u> day of May, 1993, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

- (1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) The applicant, Meridian Oil Inc., seeks to amend Division Order No. R-9702, issued in Case 10486 and dated August 6, 1992, in order to change the commencement locale of its previously approved Black Diamond Com "20" Well No. 1 to an unorthodox surface location 120 feet from the North and West lines (Unit D) of Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 15 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico and to utilize the W/2 of said Section 20 as the pilot project area.
- (3) Said Order No. R-9702 authorized Meridian Oil Inc. to initiate a high angle/horizontal directional drilling pilot project in the Undesignated Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool within a non-standard 320-acre oil spacing and proration unit comprising either the E/2 or W/2 of said Section 20 by drilling the Black Diamond Com "20" Well No. 1 from an unorthodox surface location 195 feet from the South line and 2510 feet from the West line (Unit N) of said Section 20, kick-off from vertical and drill horizontally in either a north-northwesterly or north-northeasterly direction.

- (4) This amendment will allow Meridian Oil Inc. to locate the proposed well at a surface location that will accommodate the building of a gas "gathering" line from the wellhead to the main "trunk" line a shorter distance than would be required if the well were located at the original proposed location.
- (5) Said location change is necessary to avoid the San Juan Basin Generating Plant and surface strip mining operations which is partially situated between the previously approved well location and El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline Company's gas pipeline. A one-half mile gathering line would be required as opposed to a four-mile length previously needed.
- (6) The geologic and technical aspects of this amendment will not vary from the original proposed project. The surface location and horizontal direction are the only anticipated changes.
- (7) The decretory portion of Order No. R-9702 should be amended to reflect these changes.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Decretory Paragraph No. (1) on pages 4 and 5 of Division Order No. R-9702, issued in Case 10486 and dated August 6, 1992, be and the same is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:
 - "(1) The applicant, Meridian Oil, Inc., is hereby authorized to initiate a high angle/horizonal directional drilling pilot project in the W/2 of Section 20, Township 30 North, Range 15 West, NMPM, Undesiganted Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, by drilling its Black Diamond Com "20" Well No. 1 from an unorthodox surface location 120 feet from the North and West lines (Unit D) of Section 20, in the following unconventional manner:

Drill vertically to a depth of approximately 4250 feet and then come up hole to a point at approximately 3579 feet and kick off the well in a southeasterly direction building angle to approximately 80 degrees. Penetrate the top of the "Waterflow" zone of the Niobrara member of the Gallup formation at a total

vertical depth of approximately 4085 feet. Continue drilling horizontally in a lateral distance not in excess of 4689 feet.

PROVIDED HOWEVER THAT, no portion of the horizontal portion of the wellbore shall be located closer than 330 feet from the outer boundary of the project area."

- (2) Decretory Paragraph No. (2) on page 5 of said Division Order No. R-9702, be and the same is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:
 - "(2) The W/2 of said Section 20 shall be dedicated to the subject well forming a non-standard 320-acre oil spacing and proration unit for said pool."
- (3) All other portions of said Order No. R-9702 related to the drilling of the subject well in the W/2 of said Section 20 shall remain in full force and effect until further notice.
- (4) Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

SEAL

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

WILLIAM J. LeMAY Director

STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 4 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING 5 CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF 6 **CASE NO. 10720** CONSIDERING: 7 APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 **EXAMINER HEARING** 10 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Hearing Examiner 11 April 22, 1993 12 Santa Fe, New Mexico 13 14 This matter came on for hearing before the 15 16 Oil Conservation Division on April 22, 1993, at the 17 Oil Conservation Division Conference Room, State Land Office Building, 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New 18 19 Mexico, before Deborah O'Bine, RPR, Certified Court 20 Reporter No. 63, for the State of New Mexico. 21 22 23 24 DIL CONSERVATION DIVISIO

_		2
1	INDEX	
2		
3	April 22, 1993 Examiner Hearing	
4	CASE NO. 10720	
5	1 DD II D 1 V G E G	PAGE
6	APPEARANCES	3
7	MERIDIAN'S WITNESS:	
8	ALAN ALEXANDER Evamination by Mr. Kollabin	4
9	Examination by Mr. Kellahin Examination by Examiner Stogner	
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	18
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13	Exhibit 1	ID ADMTD 7 17
14	Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	8 17 8 17
15	Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5	9 17 15 17
16	Exhibit 6	15 17 16 17
17	Exhibit 8	8 17
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

APPEARANCES 2 FOR THE DIVISION: ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ. 3 General Counsel Oil Conservation Commission 4 State Land Office Building 5 310 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 6 7 FOR THE APPLICANT: KELLAHIN AND KELLAHIN 8 117 N. Guadalupe Santa Fe, New Mexico BY: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN, ESQ. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.

10720.

MR. STOVALL: Application of Meridian Oil Inc. for amendment of Division Order No. R-9702, San Juan County, New Mexico.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances.

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom

Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and

Kellahin appearing on behalf of the applicant, and I

have one witness to be sworn.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances?
Will the witness please stand and be sworn.
(Witness sworn.)

MR. KELLAHIN: By way of introduction, Mr. Examiner, Mr. Alexander and I have taken the prior order, which is in the exhibit book behind Exhibit Tab No. 2. It's Order No. R-9702, and we have written what we think is the appropriate substituted finding in that Order to accomplish the amendment Meridian seeks.

Simply, we are starting the well in the northwest corner of the spacing unit rather than the southeast corner. And to make that work then, there's a slight change in elevation. And so you'll find behind Exhibit Tab No. 1 the application. And if

you'll turn to page 3 -- I'm sorry, page 4 of the application, in paragraph 5 are what Mr. Alexander and I believe to be the appropriate modifications of the original order in order to make the changes.

MR. STOVALL: Page 4?

MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, page 4 of the application. It says "Applicant proposes." And within the quotes then I have substituted the right numbers, I think, to make the change.

Mr. Alexander will describe to you the reasons to make the change, but as an introduction, our objective then would be to persuade you to amend the order, and we think we have given you the right language here to put into the replacement order.

MR. STOVALL: Before we start, I don't think it will affect Mr. Alexander's testimony, but do you think it is necessary for us to prescribe in detail the drilling procedure or -- let me just run through as an example, and then think about it as you go through.

Looking at page 4, your paragraph No. 1, drilling its Black Diamond J from an unorthodox surface location, say putting in some language that would say "not closer than 120 feet"? --

MR. KELLAHIN: The language here perhaps is

more detailed than you want to use. If you want to go to this degree of specificity, then it's there for you. If you want to shorten it, that's fine with us. I just wanted to invite your attention to the fact that, if all you do is change the surface location, there will be a glitch in the old order because in the ordering portion of that paragraph, it specifies some vertical dimensions.

MR. STOVALL: I understand. And I'm not sure that even that should have been in, in the first place. And we might want to change it to be more general because I understand that things happen while you're drilling, you may not be at 12 degrees necessarily.

MR. KELLAHIN: Those are your choices and not our recommendation.

MR. STOVALL: I'm asking you if you concur in that. Do you have a problem with it being more open to give you a little more flexibility?

MR. KELLAHIN: Certainly not.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin?

ALAN ALEXANDER,

the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q. Mr. Alexander, will you please state your name and occupation?
- A. Yes. My name is Alan Alexander. I'm currently employed as a Senior Land Adviser with Meridian Oil Inc. in the Farmington, New Mexico, office.
- Q. On prior occasions have you testified as an expert petroleum landman?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Pursuant to your employment in that capacity, have you made a study of the details concerning the Black Diamond Com 20 No. 1 well for which your company seeks to modify Division Order R-9702?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Alexander as an expert petroleum landman.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Alexander is so qualified.
 - Q. (BY MR. KELLAHIN) Mr. Alexander, would you refer to the exhibit book and identify for the record the information contained behind Exhibit Tab No. 1?
 - A. Exhibit Tab No. 1 contains our application that we submitted to the Division which entails more

specifically the changes we wish to make to the prior order, which is Order R-9702, for the Black Diamond "20" No. 1 well.

- Q. When you turn to Exhibit Tab No. 2 and the information behind that tab, what is contained?
- A. This exhibit contains the prior order for this well, which again is Order R-9702.
- Q. And as you turn in the exhibit book behind Exhibit Tab No. 3, identify and explain the information contained there.
- A. Exhibit No. 3 is an offset owner/operator plat. The interests have changed just slightly, some difference in some sales and some trades that had occurred in the area since the time of our last order or our last hearing.
- Q. And then following the plat behind the same Exhibit Tab Number is what, sir?
- A. The listing that is behind the offset operator plat shows the offset ownership, and it's referenced by numerical order 1, 2, 3, etc.

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 8 is my certificate of mailing of notification for purposes of the hearing, and we used this offset ownership list contained behind Exhibit Tab No. 3 to make that notification.

EXAMINER STOGNER: So noted.

- Q. (BY MR. KELLAHIN) Mr. Alexander, let's now turn to the specifics of your request. Let me have you turn to Exhibit 4 and the photograph contained behind that exhibit tab.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. For the record, identify for us the source of that display.
- A. This is -- the exhibit behind Exhibit No. 4 is an aerial photograph taken of the area that is surrounding the Black Diamond "20" No. 1 well.
 - Q. For what purpose was this photograph made?
- A. This photograph was originally made to study the lineament trends in the Fruitland coal across the basin. We, however, have used it because it shows in good detail the surface constraints in and around our proposed wellbore and the reasons why we are asking for a variance in the prior order.
- Q. Through your own examination and with the assistance of others involved with Meridian's project, have you satisfied yourself that the information shown on that photograph is true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
 - Q. Does the information shown in the

photograph appear to be an accurate reproduction of what you would see on the ground?

A. Yes, sir, I believe that it is.

- Q. Superimposed on the photograph you have provided other information to the examiner. Would you identify that information?
- A. Yes, sir. In the pink square outline we have identified the section in which we intend to drill the Black Diamond "20" No. 1 well.
- Q. That is the entire Section 20 that's shown within the pink square?
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

We have also indicated through a pink circle and a black star the surface location that we would like to use. And the orange-colored arrow is the direction and extent of the lateral of this horizontal wellbore.

- Q. Can you approximate for the examiner where is the current starting point of the well that you now seek to reverse?
- A. The starting point for the well that we had in the prior order would be virtually at the end of the arrow and down approximately 195 feet from the south line of the unit and approximately in the middle of that line on the south line of the unit. That was

the prior location.

- Q. There is a grid pattern superimposed on the photograph. The corners of the grid are identified by dots that are colored?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is the size of that grid?
- A. That is -- that grid is one square mile, or it shows the sections that are in this immediate area, and they are approximately one square mile. They may vary a little bit but approximately one square mile.
- Q. To further orient the examiner, in the southern, southwestern corner of the display, there's a red check. What does that represent?
- A. Yes, sir. That represents the south township line boundary.
- Q. Then, finally, as we move up towards the northeast corner of the photograph, there is a line that's shaded in, in a pale orange. What is that?
- A. That is the current pipeline that is in the immediate area which we intend to tie this well to if it results in a commercial producer.
- Q. Within the Section 20 in the photograph, what is the activity that's occurred on the surface of that section that's shown in the photograph? What is that facility?

A. We see various things that are shown within that, and I'll talk from this wall display.

- Q. It's the same photograph in the book except the one on the wall display, Mr. Alexander, is a slightly larger reproduction, showing a slightly larger area than is in the exhibit book?
- A. Yes, sir. It also does include our prospect outline which we have not reproduced in the exhibits in the book.

In the northeastern quadrant of the Section 20 outline, you can see the physical plant area, the Four Corner generating station. Running along the eastern boundary of the section, you can see the area that has been strip mined, and a portion of it is in the process of reclamation, but it is the area where they are removing the coal which feeds the power plant.

Over in the southwestern quadrant of the Section 20 outline, you will see the impoundments of water that they use in connection with the operation of the generating station.

Q. Using this display now, Mr. Alexander, identify for Mr. Stogner what Meridian's initial plan was to drill the well at its original surface location and how to hook that well into the pipeline that's

shown on the display?

A. Originally, with the well located in the southwestern, approximately in the middle and extreme south of the section, we anticipated that we could work a resolution with the right-of-way for the pipeline and come across the whole surface mining area and perhaps come around in areas that have been partially reclaimed. We would be running from the old well location to the east to intersect the pipeline that is to the east.

We have met with the power station personnel and discovered that that's an impracticability -- an impossibility to run that line across that area for various reasons, mostly because they are still in the process of strip mining the coal, and they are in the process of reclamation, and we don't want our pipeline underlying that activity at the present time.

Q. If you retain the current approved surface location for the horizontal well, and recognizing the impossibility of a direct access to the existing pipeline, have you and your engineers determined the approximate distance of the gathering line from the well to the pipeline in order to avoid the surface obstacles?

A. Yes, sir. We're estimating -- the line hasn't been finally surveyed, but we're estimating a mile to a mile and a half from the proposed location to the pipeline.

- Q. If the amendment is not approved, what is the approximate distance of routing a gathering line from the original surface location to that pipeline?
- A. That distance would be approximately 4-1/2 miles, and we would have to come immediately west of the old location, come between the water impoundments in the lake, and then arc to the northwest and then arc back to the northeast to intersect the pipeline.
- Q. If the Division examiner approves the change in surface location, have you and your engineers estimated the incremental cost of savings to Meridian to shorten the gathering line?
- A. Yes, sir. We're estimating it at approximately \$100,000.
- Q. If the examiner approves the change in surface locations, do you have the appropriate right-of-way and access to hook in the amended location to the pipeline?
- A. We are currently working on that right-of-way and access.
 - Q. Do you see any problems with obtaining the

right-of-way and approval for access if the surface location is changed?

- A. No, sir. Through the preliminary work, we're certain that we can obtain the ultimate right-of-way and clearances.
- Q. To complete the presentation, let me have you identify for the record, Mr. Alexander, the additional exhibits in the book. Starting with Exhibit 5 then, identify for us what's contained at that point in the book.
- A. Exhibit No. 5 is a vertical view of the proposed wellbore, and it is updated as necessary but only slightly from the prior case's vertical well plan.
- Q. This shows the appropriate amendments in the plan to take into consideration the new proposed location at the surface?
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. Identify for us the information behind Exhibit No. 6?
- A. Exhibit No. 6 is the same vertical profile. It's a blowup of the profile, showing the billed angle and a little more information about the horizontal drilling of the well.
 - Q. Give me then the information behind Exhibit

7.

- A. Exhibit No. 7 is a plan view or surface view of the surface location and the direction and angle of the lateral.
- Q. Okay. And then the display behind that initial display?
- A. Again, we have a blowup of the first exhibit behind Exhibit No. 7, and it is intended only to give you a more detailed view of the setbacks and angle as we approach the drilling window for this well.

MR. KELLAHIN: And then finally, Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 8 is the certificate of mailing that I have executed, showing the notification to all the appropriate parties.

That concludes my examination of Mr.

Alexander. We move the introduction of Exhibits 1
through 8.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

Q. Mr. Alexander, as I wasn't hearing examiner on the original Order R-9702, but it was my understanding, and looking at the order, and from my review, that the original well location was proposed that would give Meridian an opportunity to make a

decision rather to, based on geology and such as that, 1 to either have a east half or west half dedication, 2 depicting what was best for the formation, but you're 3 foregoing that essentially in this particular 4 application today and requesting a west half, I would 5 6 assume? 7 Yes, sir, that is correct. So in no way are you asking that the same 8 9 variance be given for the east half of the adjacent section back to the west; is that correct? 10 Yes, sir, that is correct. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other 12 13 questions of Mr. Alexander. Anything further? In that case, do you have anything further, 14 15 Mr. Kellahin? MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir, just to move the 16 introduction of Exhibits 1 through 8. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 8 18 will be admitted into evidence, and Mr. Alexander may 19 20 be excused, and case No. 10720 will be taken under I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 21 advisement. a complete record of the appropriate to the Examiner hearing of the 10720. 22 heard by me on 22 / 23

Off Conservation Division

24

25

, Examiner

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 I, Deborah O'Bine, Certified Shorthand 6 7 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal 8 9 supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings of said 10 11 hearing. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative 12 or employee of any of the parties or attorneys 13 involved in this matter and that I have no personal 14 15 interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL, May 5, 1993.

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Deborah (// Jine DEBORAH O'BINE

CCR No. 63

