1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 10,751
5	
6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	
8	
9	
10	IN THE MATTER OF:
11	
12	Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico
13	unit agreement, hea country, new mexico
14	ORIGINAL
15	OKIGINAL
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	
19	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
20	
21	
22	
23	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
24	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
25	July 15, 1993

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DIVISION:
4	ROBERT G. STOVALL
5	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
6	State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
7	
8	FOR THE APPLICANT:
9	CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A.
10	Attorneys at Law By: WILLIAM F. CARR
11	Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
13	* * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		Page Number
3	Appearances	2
4	MECCA MAURITSEN	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	5
6	Examination by Examiner Catanach	8
7	BRENT MAY	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	9
9	Examination by Examiner Catanach	17
10	Certificate of Reporter	19
11	* * *	
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS:	
15	Exhibit 1	5
16	Exhibit 2	6
17	Exhibit 3	7
18	Exhibit 4	9
19	Exhibit 5	12
20	Exhibit 6	13
21	* * *	
22		
23		
24		
25		

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had 1 at 8:40 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, at this time we'll 3 call Case 10,751. 4 MR. STOVALL: Application of Yates Petroleum 5 Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New 6 Mexico. EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there appearances in 8 this case? 9 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my 10 name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm, 11 12 Campbell, Carr, Berge and Sheridan. I represent Yates Petroleum Corporation and I 13 have two witnesses. 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any other appearances? 15 16 Okay. MR. STOVALL: The witnesses have been 17 previously sworn, Mr. Carr, I believe. 18 MR. CARR: The witnesses have been sworn. 19 May it please the Examiner, the first witness 20 21 is Mecca Mauritsen. I would request the record reflect that Ms. Mauritsen testified in a previous case and her 22 credentials as a petroleum landman have been accepted 23 and made a matter of record. 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: The record shall so 25

1 reflect. MECCA MAURITSEN, 2 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn 3 upon her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. CARR: 6 Ms. Mauritsen, could you briefly state what 7 0. Yates Petroleum Corporation seeks with this 8 Application? 9 Yes, sir, we're seeking voluntary approval of 10 our Beartooth State Unit Agreement. 11 12 approximately 2348.72 state acres in Lea County, New 13 Mexico. 14 Q. Have you prepared exhibits for presentation here today? 15 Yes, sir, I have. 16 Α. 17 Q. Could you identify what has been marked as Yates Petroleum Corporation Exhibit Number 1? 18 Yes, sir, it's our Unit Agreement. It's on a 19 20 State/Fee Exploratory form. 0. Let's go to Exhibit A in this Agreement. 21 would you just summarize for Mr. Catanach the status of 22 23 the acreage in the Unit area? Yes, sir. There are seven state leases 24 enclosed in the unit area. 25

1	Q. They're shown on Exhibit A.
2	And Exhibit B to the Unit Agreement is an
3	ownership breakdown?
4	A. Yes, sir. It shows all the working interest
5	owners for each tract and the royalty ownership, which
6	is all State of New Mexico.
7	Q. What percentage of the working interest has
8	been voluntarily committed to this Unit plan?
9	A. At this time we have a little bit over 88
10	percent committed and are in the process of finalizing
11	an agreement with Heyco on tract number 4.
12	Once we finalize our agreement, we'll have
13	94.89 percent committed.
14	Q. What is the status of tract number 7?
15	A. It is uncommitted at this time, and we
16	anticipate it will remain uncommitted.
17	Q. With the voluntary commitment that you've
18	been able to obtain, will Yates have effective control
19	of the Unit operations?
20	A. Yes, sir, we will.
21	Q. Could you identify what has been marked as
22	Yates Exhibit Number 2?
23	A. Yes, sir, it's our letter from the
24	Commissioner of Public Lands, giving us preliminary
25	approval for our Unit and the Unit Agreement.

1	Q. And would you now identify Yates Exhibit 3?
2	A. Yes, sir, it's ratifications by the other
3	working interest owners that we have, that have
4	voluntarily joined the Unit at this time.
5	Q. The Unit Agreement designates Yates as Unit
6	operator?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. And does the Agreement plan for the periodic
9	filing of plans for development?
10	A. Yes, sir, it does.
11	Q. And how often are these plans to be filed?
12	A. Six months after completion of the first
13	commercial well, and then once a year every year
14	thereafter.
15	Q. Will Yates file these plans of development
16	with the Oil Conservation Division at the time it files
17	them with other government agencies?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Will Yates also call a geological witness to
20	review the technical aspects of this proposed unit?
21	A. Yes, sir, we will.
22	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 compiled by you?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we
25	move the admission of Yates Exhibits 1 through 3.

EXAMINER CATANACH: 1 through 3 will be 1 admitted as evidence. 2 3 MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of Ms. Mauritsen. 4 5 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 6 Ms. Mauritsen, tract number 7, is that --Q. That's owned by Chevron? Α. Yes, sir. 9 And have they refused to join the Unit? 10 0. Is that the case? 11 12 Yes, sir, it's an HBP lease and as of Monday 13 they were still uncommitted. They're still reviewing it, but we anticipate that it will remain uncommitted. 14 15 0. The correct acreage in the Unit is 2348 --16 -- point 72, yes, sir. 17 Q. And did you say tract number 4 you're about to close a deal on? 18 Yes, sir, they are going to farm out to the 19 20 unit, and we just have not finalized the terms yet. EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing else. 21 22 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we 23 call Mr. May. I'd request that the record reflect that Mr. 24 May has been previously sworn and that his credentials 25

as a petroleum geologist have been accepted and made a 1 matter of record. 2 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: The record shall so reflect. 4 5 BRENT MAY, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn 6 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CARR: 9 Mr. May, what formations are being unitized 10 0. in the Beartooth State Unit? 11 I believe the Delaware, the -- and all other 12 formations up to the surface. 13 Q. Have you prepared exhibits or have exhibits 14 been prepared for presentation in this case? 15 16 A. Yes, they have. And have you reviewed these exhibits, and can 17 Q. you confirm the accuracy of the interpretation and the 18 presentation? 19 20 Yes, I believe I can. 21 Would you refer to what has been marked as Yates Petroleum Corporation Exhibit Number 4, identify 22 that and review it for Mr. Catanach? 23 This is a west-east structural cross-section. 24 25 The datum is set at a minus 2500 feet subsea.

I'd like to again -- Shown on this are the 1 Delaware sands, on the right-hand side. And on the --2 3 excuse me, on the left-hand side. On the right-hand side are shown the 4 5 formations of the Glorieta and Blinebry. 6 I'd like to just start on the far left and 7 discuss each well. Starting on the left is the Gifford, Mitchell 8 and Wisenbaker Horseback Number 1, located in Section 9 33 of Township 26 South, 36 East. This well was 10 originally drilled down to the Ellenberger, I believe, 11 and was D-and-A'd. 12 The next well is the Gifford, Mitchell and 13 Wisenbaker White Eagle Number 1, located in Section 22 14 of Township 26 South, 36 East. This well too was 15 originally drilled down to the Ellenberger, and it was 16 17 plugged back and is currently producing out of the 18 Strawn. 19 And the last well on the cross-section, on the far right, is the Continental Oil Eaves B-1 Number 20 21 8 in Section 30 of Township 26 South, Range 37 East, 22 and it was originally, I believe, drilled just to the Blinebry. 23 24 Shown on this cross-section -- Well, this

cross-section runs basically from the shelf, across the

25

shelf margin and into the basin, with the back reef area or the shelf area on the far right of the cross-section, and the reef, which is probably the Capitan Goat Seep Reef, shown colored in blue in the middle, and with the more basinal type setting on the far left.

The Continental Eaves B-1 Number 8 was drilled through the back reef area, and that explains the Glorieta -- presence of the Glorieta and the Blinebry formations.

The White Eagle Number 1 and the Horseback

Number 1 were both drilled in the more basinal type

setting, and that explains the presence of the Delaware

sands instead of the more -- instead of the shelf-type

formations.

The primary objective of the initial test well is to test the carbonate debris flow within the Delaware sands. The Delaware sands are believed to have been deposited as turbidites and density flows into the Delaware Basin and in close proximity to the Reef, and within the Delaware sands are scattered debris flows.

We have one shown in the Horseback Number 1, just above the datum, which is not the objective here. It is a tight carbonate debris flow. The objective is a lower debris flow which appears in both the Horseback

Number 1 and the White Eagle Number 1. 1 These debris flows have been documented in 2 both subsurface and outcrop. 3 At this time, Mr. May, let's move on to Yates 4 Exhibit Number 5, the structure map, and I'd ask you to 5 review that for the Examiner. 6 A. This structure map uses a datum of a shale marker within the Delaware sands. It shows a west-to-8 southwest dip at -- generally of a rate of around 400 9 feet per mile. 10 The unit outline is shown in red, along with 11 12 the proposed location in Section 23. 13 The yellow line on the map represents the front edge of the reef facies with the area to the 14 15 right of that yellow line being the reef or back reef 16 area. 17 And to the left of the line is the more basinal sequence where the Delaware sands are found. 18 The wells that have been circled have 19 actually penetrated deep enough for the carbonate 20 debris flow that we are going after here, which there's 21 only one in Section 8, 16, 17 of Township 25 -- Excuse 22 me a second. No, that's right, same township. Of 26 23 south, 36 east. 24

Also in Sections 22 and 33 of the same

25

township.

So there's very little control in this area.

- Q. Let's go now to Yates Exhibit Number 6, your isolith. Would you review that for Mr. Catanach?
- A. This is a debris flow isolith, only of the one debris flow that we showed on the cross-section.

  It shows the position of debris limits immediately west and downdip of the reef edge, which again is shown in yellow.

This map indicates an area of clean carbonate with a gamma ray reading of less than 30 API units and a porosity cutoff of greater than four percent.

And if you'll look on the map, the numbers indicating this, the far left indicates the gamma ray cutoff, and the number on the far right shows the porosity in feet.

Other producing carbonates in the Delaware Basin have shown that carbonates of less than four percent porosity are generally unproductive.

The key to this Beartooth Unit is the White Eagle Number 1, which is located in Section 22.

This well encountered 46 feet of porous carbonate debris at a depth of 6640 feet. Sample description indicates a porous fossil debris with hydrocarbon show in it.

The zone was not tested, though, in the well, and the well again is currently producing downhole from the Strawn.

Log calculations on this zone indicate potential pay.

The other well that demonstrates the limits of the debris flow is the Horseback Number 1 in Section 3.

This test found a correlative carbonate debris flow, but was only five feet thick and had no porosity above four percent. And we believe that this well is probably indicating the more distal part of the debris flow as versus the White Horse [sic], which is probably a little more within the debris flow.

The wells that went deep enough to the northwest of the unit in sections 8, 16 and 17, did not see any evidence of the debris flow occurring in these wells, but we feel like this is important because we felt like if the debris flow had carried further north of the current unit boundaries we should have seen some distal facies in these wells, and we did not. So we believe that the debris flow does not carry any further north than what we have it shown here.

Also, not shown on this map and just across the border into Texas is a well that is due south of

the unit about a mile or two, and it did not show any carbonate debris flows either, so that's what we based the southern boundaries on.

I'd just like to point out that the pools to the east and northwest of the Unit are currently producing out of the Yates Seven Rivers. And the pool to the west, directly to the west of the Unit, is producing out of the Tansil.

The dry hole within the Unit in Section 26 did not penetrate deep enough for this horizon, but did produce a few hundred barrels from the Tansil formation.

- Q. Is that the well, the spot is virtually on the initial Unit well?
- A. Yes, it's very close to the proposed location.
- Q. Mr. May, what does your study of the Delaware formation in this area tell you about developing this property under a Unit plan?
- A. There appears to e a carbonate debris flow thick in the area. The well in 22, the White Eagle Number 1, definitely shows that, that the zone is present in that well.

Also, as I pointed out, there's a lack of data on this zone in the area, and in fact a lack of

wells that even penetrated deep enough for this zone. 1 So this -- the risk is fairly high here with the lack 2 of data. 3 How soon does Yates need to drill the initial 0. well on this Unit? 5 I believe we have to drill before 8-1-93. 6 Α. Before August the 1st? 7 Q. Yes, I believe that's correct. 8 Α. 9 Q. Does Yates request that the Order in this 10 case be expedited to the extent possible? A. Yes, we do. 11 In your opinion, will approval of this 12 Application and development of the Delaware formation 13 14 in this area under a unit plan, will that be in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste 15 and the protection of correlative rights? 16 17 Α. Yes. Were Exhibits 4 through 6 prepared by you, or 18 Q. have you reviewed them and can you testify as to their 19 20 accuracy? 21 Α. That's true, yes, sir. 22 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we 23 offer Yates Exhibits 4 through 6. 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 4 through 6 will be admitted as evidence. 25

1 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct examination of Mr. May. 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 4 Mr. May, the unitized formation, again, is it 5 Q. from the surface to the base of the Delaware; is that correct? 7 I believe that's correct. All formations are 8 Α. from the surface to the base of the Delaware. 9 As far as you know, nothing below the 10 Q. Delaware? 11 Not that I'm aware of, no. 12 And am I correct in understanding that there 13 Q. currently is no Delaware production in this area? 14 15 Α. That is correct, not on this map. 16 0. Okay. The southern and eastern limits of 17 your debris flow area were defined by what? 18 Α. The eastern was defined by the edge of the reef, which is shown by the yellow line. 19 20 And the southern limits -- In fact, the southern limit of the Unit, I believe, goes right up to 21 22 the state line, and there was a well just across into 23 Texas, a mile or two south of the Unit, that did not have this zone in it. 24

The edge of the reef on the eastern side, is

25

Q.

1	that pretty easy to define?
2	A. There could be some variance in there, but
3	that's, I think, fairly close.
4	Q. Do you have any idea how much net feet of pay
5	you would need to make a commercial well in this area?
6	A. It looks like the well in Section 22, the
7	White Eagle Number 1, should be productive, so
8	definitely if we have 46 feet or more it will be
9	productive. And I would guess that we could probably
LO	get away with less than 46 feet.
L1	It was a good, thick, clean carbonate that
L2	did have high porosity in it.
L3	I think, too, the key is the porosity
L4	thickness too.
L5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I have nothing
۱6	further. The witness may be excused.
٦,	MR. CARR: We have nothing further in the
.8	case, Mr. Catanach.
.9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, there being nothing
20	further, Case 10,751 will be taken under advisement.
21	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded
22	at 8:59 a.m.)
23	* * *
4	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
4	) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true
11	and accurate record of the proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or
13	employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in
14	this matter and that I have no personal interest in the
15	final disposition of this matter.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 16th, 1993.
17	dillen I som
18	
19	STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7
20	
21	My commission expires: October 14, 1994
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
23	a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1075
24	heard by me on 104 15 1993.
25	Oil Conservation Division