STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR THE PROMULGATION OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE SOUTH PECOS SLOPE-ABO GAS POOL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR THE PROMULGATION OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE WEST PECOS SLOPE-ABO GAS POOL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF CASE NOS. 10,793, 10,981 AND 11,004 BEING REOPENED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF DIVISION ORDER NOS. R-9976 AND R-9976-A, WHICH ORDERS ESTABLISHED A "PILOT INFILL DRILLING PROGRAM" IN THE PECOS SLOPE-ABO GAS POOL IN PORTIONS OF TOWNSHIPS 5, 6 AND 7 SOUTH, RANGES 25 AND 26 EAST, CHAVES COUNTY.

CASE NOS. 11,421

DEGET VO

11,422

10,793 10,981 11,004 (Reopened)

(Consolidated)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING ORIGINAL

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

January 11th, 1996 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 11th, 1996, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

January 11th, 1996
Examiner Hearing
CASE NOS. 11,421, 11,422;
10,793, 10,981 and 11,004 (Reopened)

PAGE

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

7

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION:

CAMPBELL, CARR & BERGE, P.A.
Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

FOR TIDE WEST OIL COMPANY AND GREAT WESTERN DRILLING COMPANY:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
117 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265
By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 10:30 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call Cases 3 11,421, 11,422, and those Reopened Cases 10,793, 10,981 and 11,004. 5 MR. CARROLL: Application of Yates Petroleum 6 Corporation for the promulgation of special rules and 7 regulations for the South Pecos Slope-Abo Gas Pool, Chaves 8 County, New Mexico. 9 Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for 10 the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the 11 West Pecos Slope-Abo Gas Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico. 12 In the matter of Case Numbers 10,793, 10,981 and 13 11,004 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of 14 Division Order Numbers R-9976 and R-9976-A, which orders 15 established a "pilot infill drilling program" in the Pecos 16 17 Slope-Abo Gas Pool in Chaves County. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call for 19 appearances. 20 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is 21 William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr 22 and Berge. 23 We represent Yates Petroleum Corporation in each 24 of these cases. 25 EXAMINER STOGNER: Other appearances?

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing on behalf of Tide West Oil Company and Great Western Drilling Company.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances in this matter?

These cases essentially were consolidated and heard down in Roswell -- when? At the November 2nd hearing? And at that time it was continued to today's docket to provide Tide West to present any additional testimony that they found necessary subsequent to review of some technical data supplied to them by Yates and for Yates to include, if need be, any additional testimony.

Are there any witnesses to be called by either party at this time?

MR. CARR: Yates does not intend to call a witness. Darrick Stallings, the engineer who testified in November, in the earlier hearing in this matter, is present should there be questions.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, Tide West and Great Western have elected not to present additional technical evidence for your consideration and would like the opportunity to submit either a statement and/or a proposed order in this case, but we do not intend to call witnesses.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. With that, I would 1 welcome any help on a proposed order on this issue, because 2 there were some issues that were brought up concerning 3 prorationing, some additional information brought up 4 concerning drilling windows, and more than -- There's more 5 items that meet the eye to this case than just requesting 6 infill proposals, and I'd like your assistance, both of 7 your assistance, in preparing a rough draft in this matter, 8 so these issues can be brought out. 9 So what kind of a time frame are we looking at? 10 MR. KELLAHIN: At your discretion, Mr. Examiner. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr? 12 MR. CARR: Fifteen days. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, 15 days it is. And that 14 15 would be the 26th of January. 16 How does that sound to you, Mr. Kellahin? 17 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, that's fine. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any need for closing 19 statements in this matter? 20 MR. CARR: I believe that can be handled just by 21 the submission of the proposed order, can be addressed 22 there. 23 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Mr. Kellahin? 24 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, we'll provide you a 25

ŧ

short summary of our position along with the draft order,

so we'd waive closing statements. 1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, if there's nothing 2 further in these matters, then essentially the Case 11,421, 3 11,422 and those reopened cases essentially will be taken Δ under advisement. 5 However, I will leave the record open, only for 6 the issuance of the proposed draft order and any written 7 comments that either Mr. Kellahin for Tide West and Western 8 -- Great Western or Western Drilling? 9 MR. KELLAHIN: Great Western Drilling, Mr. 10 Examiner. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Great Western Drilling. Well, 12 I had it pretty close. 13 -- and Yates Petroleum will have, and also should 14 there be any supporting or any other written statements by 15 16 operators that would necessarily be accepted to be put into 17 the file. 18 But with that, thank you, sirs. 19 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 20 10:36 a.m.) 21 I do hereby certify that the foregoing to a complete record of the proceedings th 22 the Examiner hearing of Case No. heard by me on_____ 23 , Examiner 24 Oll Conservation Division 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 13th, 1996.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998