| | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 2 | ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT |
| 3 | OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION |
| 4 | |
| 5 | IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING) CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION) |
| 6 | DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF) CONSIDERING:) CASE NO. 10,982 |
| 7 | APPLICATION OF ANSON GAS |
| 8 | CORPORATION) |
| 9 | _ 8 15-07 |
| 10 | ORIGINAL |
| 11 | |
| 12 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 13 | EXAMINER HEARING |
| 14 | BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner |
| 15 | |
| 16 | May 26, 1994 |
| 17 | Santa Fe, New Mexico |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | This matter came on for hearing before the Oil |
| 21 | Conservation Division on Thursday, May 26, 1994, at Morgan |
| 22 | Hall, State Land Office Building, 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, |
| 23 | Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified |
| 24 | Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico. |
| 25 | * * * |



| 1 | INDEX | |
|----|---|----------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | May 26, 1994 Evening | |
| 4 | Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 10,982 | |
| 5 | | PAGE |
| 6 | APPEARANCES | 3 |
| 7 | APPLICANT'S WITNESSES: | |
| 8 | <u>CHARLES E. LUNDEEN</u> Direct Examination by Mr. Carr | 4 |
| 9 | Examination by Examiner Catanach | 12 |
| 10 | HAL E. HAWTHORNE Direct Examination by Mr. Carr | 14 |
| 11 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE | 22 |
| 12 | * * * | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | EXHIBITS | |
| 17 | Identified Admitted | |
| 18 | Exhibit 1 6 12 Exhibit 2 7 12 | |
| 19 | Exhibit 3 8 12 | |
| 20 | Exhibit 4 9 12 Exhibit 5 11 12 | |
| 21 | Exhibit 6 15 18 | |
| 22 | * * * | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | <u> </u> |

APPEARANCES FOR THE DIVISION: RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 FOR THE APPLICANT: CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A. Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR * * *

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|----|---|
| 1 | WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at |
| 2 | 11:28 a.m.: |
| 3 | EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we'll call the |
| 4 | next case, 10,982. |
| 5 | MR. CARROLL: Application of AnSon Gas |
| 6 | Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. |
| 7 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there appearances in this |
| 8 | case? |
| 9 | MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is |
| 10 | William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr, |
| 11 | Berge and Sheridan. |
| 12 | I represent AnSon Gas Company, and I have two |
| 13 | witnesses. |
| 14 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Any additional appearances? |
| 15 | Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn in. |
| 16 | (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) |
| 17 | MR. CARR: At this time we call Mr. Lundeen. |
| 18 | CHARLES E. LUNDEEN, |
| 19 | the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon |
| 20 | his oath, was examined and testified as follows: |
| 21 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 22 | BY MR. CARR: |
| 23 | Q. Will you state your name for the record, please? |
| 24 | A. Charles E. Lundeen. |
| 25 | Q. And where do you reside? |

| | 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | A. In Oklahoma City. |
| 2 | Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 3 | A. I'm employed by AnSon Gas Corporation, and I'm |
| 4 | the land manager and division attorney. |
| 5 | Q. Have you previously testified before this |
| 6 | Division? |
| 7 | A. It's been over ten years ago. |
| 8 | Q. Why don't you briefly summarize for the Examiner |
| 9 | your educational background and then review your work |
| 10 | experience? |
| 11 | A. I got a BBA in petroleum land management from the |
| 12 | University of Oklahoma in 1979, a juris doctor from |
| 13 | Oklahoma City University in 1989, and I've been a landman |
| 14 | for 12 1/2 years and the last two and a half years title |
| 15 | attorney and practicing before the Oklahoma Corporation |
| 16 | Commission. |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Lundeen, are you familiar with the |
| 18 | Application filed in this case on behalf of AnSon Gas |
| 19 | Company? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Are you familiar with the subject area and the |
| 22 | proposed well? |
| 23 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 24 | MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications |
| 25 | acceptable? |

| | 6 |
|----|--|
| 1 | EXAMINER CATANACH: They are. |
| 2 | Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Lundeen, would you briefly |
| 3 | sate what AnSon seeks with this Application? |
| 4 | A. Anson seeks an order pooling all interests from |
| 5 | the surface to the base of the Strawn formation in the |
| 6 | northeast of the northwest quarter of Section 8, 17 South, |
| 7 | 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The well name is the |
| 8 | Gipson, G-i-p-s-o-n, 8-1 well. |
| 9 | Q. And what is the proposed location for that well? |
| 10 | A. It is 660 feet from the north line and 1650 feet |
| 11 | from the west line. |
| 12 | Q. So we have a standard oil spacing unit in the |
| 13 | Strawn? |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 15 | Q. And we have a well that you're proposing to drill |
| 16 | at the standard oil well location on that tract? |
| 17 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 18 | Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for |
| 19 | presentation here today? |
| 20 | A. Yes, I have. |
| 21 | Q. Could you refer to what has been marked for |
| 22 | identification as AnSon Exhibit Number 1 and review that |
| 23 | for Mr. Catanach? |
| 24 | A. Which one is that? I |
| 25 | Q. That is the land map. |

| 1 | A. Okay, it's a four-section plat showing our Gipson |
|----|---|
| 2 | well and also with an outline of the 40-acre unit in the |
| 3 | northeast of the northwest quarter of Section 8. |
| 4 | Q. What is the status of the ownership in the |
| 5 | northwest quarter of Section 8? |
| 6 | A. The northwest quarter is an undivided ownership. |
| 7 | We are pooling parties in our 40-acre unit only. We |
| 8 | currently own through leasehold approximately 94.17 percent |
| 9 | or 37.67 acres. |
| 10 | The remaining parties that are being pooled |
| 11 | comprise a total of Well, the remainder. I haven't |
| 12 | added it up, it's just the remainder. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Three to four percent, something in that |
| 14 | range? |
| 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2. That is the |
| 17 | ownership breakdown. Would you identify that for the |
| 18 | Examiner and review it, please? |
| 19 | A. These are the parties that own an interest in an |
| 20 | undivided 240-acre tract. To get to the ownership that |
| 21 | they would own in our 40-acre unit, you just divide that |
| 22 | number by 6. But this is their ownership in the entire 240 |
| 23 | acres. |
| 24 | Q. And so the percentages that are set out opposite |
| 25 | each of these names are in fact correct for the 40-acre |

| 1 | tract involved in this pooling hearing? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Lundeen, there are a number of people whose |
| 4 | whereabouts is not indicated on this exhibit. Could you |
| 5 | review for Mr. Catanach generally the efforts that AnSon |
| 6 | has made to locate the individuals who own interest in this |
| 7 | tract? |
| 8 | A. We have checked all probate records, tax records, |
| 9 | phone books, contacted friends and relatives, checked the |
| 10 | judgment liens and records. |
| 11 | We found that most of the parties The parties |
| 12 | that are listed with address unknown are for curative |
| 13 | purposes, and we feel that we have all the heirs of these |
| 14 | areas leased but we're naming them simply for curative |
| 15 | purposes, as are most of the Most of the parties that we |
| 16 | have listed are for curative purposes. |
| 17 | Q. You've also included in the exhibit package an |
| 18 | AFE, Exhibit Number 3. |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | Q. Has that AFE been provided to those individuals |
| 21 | whose whereabouts you've been able to ascertain? |
| 22 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 23 | Q. And could you briefly summarize for Mr. Catanach |
| 24 | the efforts that you have made to obtain the voluntary |
| 25 | participation of all those interest owners who you've been |

_ -

able to identify?

1

| - | |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, we began with phone calls through our |
| 3 | broker, contacted the parties on several occasions. We've |
| 4 | also written them and made offers through our brokers. |
| 5 | And then as a last effort, I sent certified |
| 6 | letters to all parties proposing the well with a copy of |
| 7 | the AFE and asking that they participate, lease their |
| 8 | interest for some cash and a 3/16 royalty or no money and a |
| 9 | quarter royalty. And that was the last effort we made. |
| 10 | Q. Now, what has been marked your Exhibit Number 4, |
| 11 | are those copies of the letters that you have sent to those |
| 12 | individuals you've been able to identify, proposing these |
| 13 | various offers to them? |
| 14 | A. This was our last offer made, certified, yes, |
| 15 | sir. |
| 16 | Q. When did you actually begin your effort to obtain |
| 17 | the voluntary joinder of the interest owners in this tract? |
| 18 | A. In January and February of this year. |
| 19 | Q. Now, if we go to the AFE, could you just review |
| 20 | the total set out on this AFE for the Examiner? |
| 21 | A. Yes, the dryhole costs are set at \$436,900. |
| 22 | Additional completed well costs are \$314,700, for a total |
| 23 | well cost of \$751,600. |
| 24 | Q. Are these costs in line with what has actually |
| 25 | been charged other operators in the area for similar wells? |

| A. I've been advised by my engineer and geologist |
|---|
| that they are in line with what has been charged in this |
| area. |
| Q. How many people are we talking about who you've |
| been able to identify who in fact have interests that may |
| be subject to this pooling Application? |
| A. We've leased over 30 people within this 40-acre |
| tract. |
| Q. And how many are outstanding? |
| A. There's approximately Less than ten. I'm not |
| sure exactly, less than ten that we haven't made Since |
| we filed this pooling we've received several leases in, so |
| some of these parties have been leased since we filed our |
| pooling. |
| Q. And if this Application is granted, you would |
| still be willing to accept or go forward with the proposals |
| that you have made to these individuals for the leasing of |
| their interests? |
| A. Yes, sir. |
| Q. In your opinion, have you made a good-faith |
| effort to locate all interest owners in this tract? |
| A. Yes, sir, we have. |
| Q. And have you made an effort to obtain the |
| voluntary participation of each of these owners in the |
| proposed well? |
| |

| 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Is Exhibit Number 5 an affidavit confirming that |
| 3 | notice has been provided of this hearing to those interest |
| 4 | owners who are subject to pooling? |
| 5 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 6 | Q. Have you made an estimate of the overhead |
| 7 | administrative costs to be assessed while drilling the well |
| 8 | and also while producing it if, in fact, it is a commercial |
| 9 | success? |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir. It is \$6054 per month for a drilling |
| 11 | well and \$605 a month for a producing well. |
| 12 | Q. And how were these numbers derived? |
| 13 | A. We used a I can't recall the survey, but |
| 14 | Q. Were they based on the Ernst and Young survey? |
| 15 | A. Yes, yes, a 1990 survey, and have been escalated |
| 16 | in accordance with their standards. |
| 17 | Q. Do you recommend that these figures be |
| 18 | incorporated into any order which results from this |
| 19 | hearing? |
| 20 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 21 | Q. And is AnSon Gas Corporation seeking to be |
| 22 | designated operator of the proposed well? |
| 23 | A. Yes, sir, we are. |
| 24 | Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or |
| 25 | compiled under your direction? |
| | |

| | 12 |
|----|---|
| 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 2 | MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move |
| 3 | the admission of AnSon Gas Corporation Exhibits 1 through |
| 4 | 5. |
| 5 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be |
| 6 | admitted as evidence. |
| 7 | MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of |
| 8 | this witness. |
| 9 | EXAMINATION |
| 10 | BY EXAMINER CATANACH: |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Lundeen, did you say that you used 1990 |
| 12 | survey results? |
| 13 | A. I contacted my accounting department. This is |
| 14 | the first well we drilled in New Mexico, and I wanted to |
| 15 | make sure that our drilling well rates were the same for |
| 16 | both states and whatnot. |
| 17 | And I was advised by them that they used a 1990 |
| 18 | published survey and have escalated it in accordance with |
| 19 | that survey and that these do fall in line with the current |
| 20 | rates. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Did you say there were probably ten |
| 22 | interest owners that remain that you have not reached an |
| 23 | agreement with? |
| 24 | A. Yes, sir, there's less than ten. We have leased |
| 25 | numerous parties since the date of this, and we've received |

| 1 | leases in since the date we filed the pooling Application, |
|----|--|
| 2 | and there's a few that own tiny interests, amounting to |
| 3 | about five acres or, excuse me, about two less than |
| 4 | two and a half acres is all we don't have covered. We've |
| 5 | got the entire unit except less than two and a half acres. |
| 6 | Q. Now, did you say there were some parties that you |
| 7 | could not locate? |
| 8 | A. There were some parties that had address unknown |
| 9 | that we listed. These were simply the last party of |
| 10 | record, and we have since found their heirs or well, |
| 11 | their heirs. And these people are either not alive or do |
| 12 | not own the interest any longer, according to the heirs, |
| 13 | and deeds have not been filed of record. We don't know how |
| 14 | to locate them, or they're dead. |
| 15 | And we feel that everybody that we've listed as |
| 16 | an address unknown has been leased through subsequent |
| 17 | parties that own their interest. |
| 18 | This is We listed them for curative purposes |
| 19 | only. We don't feel like any of them have outstanding |
| 20 | interest. |
| 21 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. I don't have anything |
| 22 | further. |
| 23 | The witness may be excused. |
| 24 | MR. CARR: At this time we call Mr. Hal |
| 25 | Hawthorne. |

| | 14 |
|----|---|
| 1 | HAL E. HAWTHORNE, |
| 2 | the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon |
| 3 | his oath, was examined and testified as follows: |
| 4 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 5 | BY MR. CARR: |
| 6 | Q. Will you state your name for the record, please? |
| 7 | A. My name is Hal Hawthorne. |
| 8 | Q. And where do you reside? |
| 9 | A. Edmond, Oklahoma. |
| 10 | Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 11 | A. I'm a petroleum geologist with the AnSon Gas |
| 12 | Corporation in Oklahoma City. |
| 13 | Q. Have you previously testified before this |
| 14 | Division? |
| 15 | A. No, sir, I haven't. |
| 16 | Q. Could you briefly summarize your educational |
| 17 | background and review your work experience? |
| 18 | A. Okay, I have a bachelor of science degree in |
| 19 | geology from Baylor University. I also have a master's |
| 20 | degree in geology from Baylor University which I got in |
| 21 | 1984. |
| 22 | I've spent the last ten years, predominantly, |
| 23 | with a major oil company working Oklahoma, the Permian |
| 24 | Basin and Canada, and I've recently joined AnSon to get |
| 25 | them into a New Mexico drilling program. |

| 1 | Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in |
|----|--|
| 2 | this case? |
| 3 | A. Yes, sir, I am. |
| 4 | Q. And have you made a geologic study of the area |
| 5 | which is involved in it? |
| 6 | A. Yes, sir, I have. |
| 7 | MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Hawthorne as an expert |
| 8 | witness in petroleum geology. |
| 9 | EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified. |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Carr) Have you prepared an exhibit for |
| 11 | presentation here today? |
| 12 | A. Yes, sir, I have. |
| 13 | Q. And that's what has been marked as Exhibit Number |
| 14 | 6? |
| 15 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 16 | Q. Would you go to that exhibit, the isopach map, |
| 17 | and review this for Mr. Catanach? |
| 18 | A. Okay, this is a geological map constructed on the |
| 19 | porosity found within the lower Strawn limestone. That's |
| 20 | the objective of our test. |
| 21 | This map shows both the well control and the |
| 22 | seismic data used to produce this prospect. |
| 23 | It also displays a fault on the west side of the |
| 24 | map. The Strawn has not proved to be productive to the |
| 25 | east side of this fault in this play. |

| | 10 |
|----|---|
| 1 | We're attempting to test a feature which was |
| 2 | tested with the Sohio Hood well there in the northwest of |
| 3 | the northeast quarter. That well penetrated an algal mound |
| 4 | facies that consisted of 52 feet of porosity which showed |
| 5 | oil and gas in uneconomic quantities. |
| 6 | Therefore deeming this feature to be rock quality |
| 7 | of such that would not make a commercial oil well, it is |
| 8 | our premise with our seismic here that we have a larger |
| 9 | feature. |
| 10 | We feel like the risk associated with this |
| 11 | venture supports a second test of this feature, hoping that |
| 12 | we can encounter better quality rock. |
| 13 | Q. Basically, what you've got here is a second test |
| 14 | on a feature that first go-around was noncommercial? |
| 15 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 16 | Q. Seismic lines are shown on this exhibit. The |
| 17 | shaded portion of those seismic lines show what? Where you |
| 18 | have encountered a porosity anomaly? |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | Q. Based on this information, do you have an opinion |
| 21 | on whether or not you could drill a well that would be a |
| 22 | commercial failure at this location? |
| 23 | A. Yes, sir, I think with both where this sits and |
| 24 | the fact that a well has already been in it, we have a high |
| 25 | risk that we will encounter tight, impermeable Strawn |

porosity as well. 1 Are you recommended to make a recommendation to 2 ο. the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be assessed 3 against those interests not voluntarily committed to the 4 well? 5 Yes, sir. We feel with the direction that we're 6 Α. 7 moving in respect to the play of the lower Strawn, as well as this feature showing that the rock quality is not such 8 that -- We're willing to take on the risk, but we're asking 9 that the maximum allowable penalty be applied to this 10 11 venture, being the 200-percent penalty. In your opinion, will the granting of this 12 0. 13 Application and the drilling of the proposed well be in the 14 best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and 15 the protection of correlative rights? Yes, sir. 16 Α. 17 How soon would you like to commence the drilling ο. of this well? 18 19 We're prepared to go forward as soon as possible Α. on this prospect. 20 MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, we'd request that the 21 22 Order in this matter be expedited, therefore, to the extent possible. 23 (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Hawthorne, was Exhibit 6 24 Q. 25 prepared by you?

| 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, at this time we move the |
| 3 | admission of AnSon Exhibit 6. |
| 4 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibit 6 will be admitted as |
| 5 | evidence. |
| 6 | MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of |
| 7 | this witness. |
| 8 | EXAMINER CATANACH: I have no questions. The |
| 9 | witness may be excused. |
| 10 | Anything further? |
| 11 | MR. CARR: Nothing further in this case. |
| 12 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Nothing further in this case. |
| 13 | Case 10,982 will be taken under advisement. |
| 14 | JOHN DOOR: May I ask a question? |
| 15 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, sir. |
| 16 | MR. DOOR: We have an interest in the minerals |
| 17 | this particular tract, and if they were to go nonconsent, |
| 18 | do they just go nonconsent on 40 acres, or do they go |
| 19 | nonconsent on the whole 220? |
| 20 | EXAMINER CATANACH: This Application is just |
| 21 | limited to the 40 acres in question. |
| 22 | MR. DOOR: So if they made a trade here, they're |
| 23 | just putting in 40 acres in the 40-acre unit? |
| 24 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Carr, would you like |
| 25 | to |
| | |

| 1 | MR. CARR: Under the terms of this proposal, the |
|----|---|
| 2 | only thing that would be pooled would be the interest in |
| 3 | that 40-acre tract. |
| 4 | MR. DOOR: Forty-acres. So you wouldn't be |
| 5 | the remaining 220? |
| 6 | MR. CARR: Would not be force-pooling the |
| 7 | MR. DOOR: Okay. You're just force-pooling the |
| 8 | 40 acres? |
| 9 | MR. CARR: Yes. |
| 10 | MR. DOOR: Okay. If this party were to go |
| 11 | nonconsent, would they have drilling and production reports |
| 12 | and monthly reports on nonconsent to be recovered? |
| 13 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Carr, do you want to get |
| 14 | your landman back on the stand |
| 15 | MR. CARR: Yes. |
| 16 | EXAMINER CATANACH: to answer this question? |
| 17 | MR. CARR: And could you identify yourself? |
| 18 | MR. DOOR: I'm sorry. My name is John Door; I'm |
| 19 | from Pecos, Texas, and I represent Glena Miller. |
| 20 | MR. CARR: We have no objection to Mr. Door |
| 21 | directing these questions to Mr. Lundeen or to me. |
| 22 | EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. DOOR: Basically that was my question, is, |
| 24 | you're going to just pool 40 acres here? |
| 25 | MR. LUNDEEN: Yes, sir. |

| 1 | MR. DOOR: Number two, would you be providing the |
|----|--|
| 2 | nonconsenting parties with drilling and production reports |
| 3 | and monthly accounting to pay out? |
| 4 | MR. LUNDEEN: I know that we would account for |
| 5 | the percentage of payout whenever it occurred. |
| 6 | As far as daily drilling reports on nonconsent |
| 7 | acreage, I wouldn't I expect that we would furnish that |
| 8 | to a party who is not paying their share of well costs. |
| 9 | MR. DOOR: Okay. |
| 10 | MR. LUNDEEN: But we would let you know on a |
| 11 | monthly basis where we are as far as payout. |
| 12 | MR. DOOR: Okay, and what the production rates |
| 13 | are and things like that, because you'd know that through |
| 14 | the accounting, wouldn't you? |
| 15 | MR. LUNDEEN: Yes, yes. We would let you know |
| 16 | how far along we were and when payout occurred. |
| 17 | MR. DOOR: Okay. Then if you decided to drill an |
| 18 | offset, we'd have an opportunity to trade again? |
| 19 | MR. LUNDEEN: Yes, sir. |
| 20 | MR. DOOR: And I asked you a few other questions |
| 21 | a while ago, and I thought the AFE containing the \$30,000 |
| 22 | opportunity to do any work was a little high; it ought to |
| 23 | be a more around, you know, \$15,000 obtain an AFE. |
| 24 | MR. LUNDEEN: Yeah. I could have my geologist |
| 25 | comment on that. I |

1 MR. DOOR: That's just a lot of money to spend --MR. LUNDEEN: Yeah, I'd --2 -- participating parties knowing what MR. DOOR: 3 you're spending for. 4 5 MR. LUNDEEN: I'd have to have my geologist comment on the AFE. 6 7 MR. DOOR: Okay, and I appreciate the information, and thank you, gentlemen. 8 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you. 10 Anything else? 11 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Mr. Catanach. 12 EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further, 13 Case 10,982 will be taken under advisement. 14 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 19 a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1049 20 heard by me on 11/24 26 1994 21 , Examiner **Oll Conservation Division** 22 23 24 25

21

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss.) COUNTY OF SANTA FE 4) 5 I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter 6 and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing 7 transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation 8 9 Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the 10 proceedings. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or 12 employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in 13 this matter and that I have no personal interest in the 14 final disposition of this matter. 15 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 8, 1994. 16 17 Licen 18 STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7 19 20 My commission expires: October 14, 1994 21 22 23 24 25

22