1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING )
6	CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION ) DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF )
7	CONSIDERING: ) CASE NOS. 11,008 ) 11,009
8	APPLICATION OF GECKO, INC. ) (Consolidated)
9	
10	
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	EXAMINER HEARING
14	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner
15	
16	June 23, 1994
17	Santa Fe, New Mexico
18	ग <b>। 2.7 199</b> 4
19	
20	This matter came on for hearing before the Oil
21	Conservation Division on Thursday, June 23, 1994, at Morgan
22	Hall, State Land Office Building, 310 Old Santa Fe Trail,
23	Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Steven T. Brenner, Certified
24	Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.
25	* * *

		2
1	INDEX	
2		
3	June 23, 1994 Examiner Hearing	
4	CASE NOS. 11,008, 11,009 (Consolidated)	
5		PAGE
6	APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	Incl
7	STEVE THOMSON	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Examination by Examiner Stogner	4 15
9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	19
10	* * *	
11		
12		
13	ЕХНІВІТЅ	
14	Identified Admitted	
15	Exhibit 1 6 15 Exhibit 2 6 15	
16	Exhibit 3 9 15 Exhibit 4 14 15	
17	* * *	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DIVISION:
4	RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law
5	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Building
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
7	
8	FOR THE APPLICANT:
9	KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 117 N. Guadalupe
10	P.O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265
11	By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	10:05 a.m.:
3	Q. (By Examiner Stogner) We'll call next cases,
4	11,008 and 11,009.
5	MR. CARROLL: Application of GECKO, Inc., for an
6	unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico.
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances.
8	MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, I'm Tom
9	Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin,
10	appearing on behalf of the Applicant, and I have one
11	witness to be sworn.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other witnesses
13	in either of these cases, or both?
14	Will the witness please stand to be sworn?
15	STEVE THOMSON,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
20	Q. Mr. Thomson, for the record, sir, would you
21	please state your name and occupation?
22	A. My name is Steve Thomson. I'm president of
23	GECKO, Incorporated.
24	Q. In addition to being president of the company,
25	which is the Applicant in these two cases, do you hold any

degrees?

- A. Yes, I have a bachelor of science in chemical engineering and a bachelor of science in geology.
- Q. On prior cases have you testified on behalf of your company, have you testified in your professional capacity, in fact, in prior nonstandard location case, did you not, sir?
  - A. In New Mexico.
  - Q. Yes, sir.
  - A. Yes, sir, I have.
- Q. And your qualifications as an expert were accepted and made a matter of record by the Division?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Are you here again this morning to testify in that same capacity concerning two proposed nonstandard or unorthodox well locations in Strawn oil pools in southeastern New Mexico?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And as part of that study, have you independently made certain technical geologic conclusions about the optimum place in each of these two spacing units in which to locate your respective wells?
    - A. Yes, I have.
  - MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Thomson as an expert witness.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Thomson is so qualified.

Q. (By Mr. Kellahin) We have marked, Mr. Thomson, as Exhibit 1 the survey plat, the Division Form C-102, for the GECKO State 26 well in Section 26. And if you'll also look at Exhibit Number 2, which is the survey plat for the other well, it's the State 36, located in Section 36.

Do you have those, sir?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. All right. Let's take a moment and describe the ownership arrangements.

If you'll start with Exhibit 1, the proposed spacing unit is an 80-acre tract consisting of the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 26?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Have you consolidated all the interest owners for the formation of that spacing unit?
  - A. No, we have not.
- Q. All right. But you intend to do so before the well is producing, that the owners will be consolidated in some voluntary fashion?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. With regards to that well and its location, the information we have is, that well would be located within the current boundaries of the Casey-Strawn Pool?

1 Α. Yes, that's correct. 2 Q. Are you aware of what the pool rules for that pool require for acreage dedication to oil wells? 3 A. Yes, I do. 4 5 ο. And what is it? Α. It's 80 acres. 6 7 And where must you drill a well within that 80-Q. acre tract to have it at a standard well location? 8 A. It's 150 feet from the center of the quarter-9 quarter section. 10 11 Q. Okay. When we look at Exhibit 1, describe for us 12 in what way your proposed well is unorthodox. 13 Α. The proposed location is -- From the 150-foot 14 from the center of a quarter-quarter section is approximately 200 feet to the southwest of where an 15 16 orthodox location would be. 17 0. The encroachment -- If you'll look at Exhibit 1, 18 the encroachment goes towards Unit Letter M with the wellbore located in Unit Letter N? 19 That's correct. 20 Α. 21 So the encroachment goes towards the interest Q. 22 owners that are going to share in this spacing unit? A. That's correct. 23 Let's turn to Exhibit Number 2. 24 0. A. 25 Okay.

1	Q. This is your other Strawn well, and although this
2	section joins at the corner the Casey-Strawn Pool for
3	Section 26, we are now in Section 36, and it is designated
4	as the Shipp-Strawn Pool, is it not?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. What are the spacing rules for the Shipp-Strawn
7	Oil Pool?
8	A. They're the same as Casey. It's 80 acres, and
9	orthodox location is 150 feet from the center of a quarter-
10	quarter section.
11	Q. Does it matter to you, sir, as the operator of
12	either of these wells, in which pool the Division decides
13	to put each of these wells?
14	A. No, it does not matter to the operator.
15	Q. All right. When we look at the direction in
16	which your well in 36, shown on Exhibit 2, is unorthodox
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q if you'll look at that display and describe
19	for us in what way that well is unorthodox.
20	A. It's unorthodox. We've moved the well to the
21	southeast of an orthodox location, which we would be
22	encroaching, I guess, on Unit F, which is in the same
23	spacing.
24	And we're also encroaching, I guess, on the next

laydown 80 to the south, which would be Unit L.

Yes, sir, you're encroaching on Unit Letters L, K 1 Q. 2 and F? 3 Yes, sir, that's correct. Q. Are the interest owners common in all four of 5 those 40-acre tracts? Yes, they are. 6 Α. 7 Q. So the encroachment is towards parties that would share in production from the well? 8 A. That's correct. 9 All right. Let me have you turn now to Exhibit 10 0. Number 3. Describe for us what Exhibit 3 represents. 11 12 Exhibit 3 is an isopach map drawn on the Strawn Α. 13 formation. It's contoured in feet. It's the thickness of the lithologic unit that produces from the Strawn Pool. 14 What's shown on the map is both sections that are 15 16 the subject of this hearing, Section 36 and Section 26, as well as a little bit of geology in Section 35. 17 What's the basis of the data that was used to 18 0. generate the display? 19 The basis of the data is subsurface well control 20 A. and seismic data in the area. 21 This represents a 3-D seismic illustration? 22 0. The data is 3-D seismic. 23 A. All right. You have plotted information that 24 Q. applies to each of these two wells, do you not? 25

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Let's turn to Section 36 and look at the basis for your location in 36.
  - A. Okay, in Section --
  - Q. On the display you have drawn some blue lines?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What do those blue lines represent?
- A. The blue lines are outlining quarter-quarter sections, showing what the spacing units would be.

Also, you can see some -- at least in the space of the quarter-quarter sections to the south, there's some blue circles drawn. Those are the 150-foot radius that would be the orthodox location positions in those respective units.

- Q. Let's look first at the red dot that's located in the northwest of the northwest of Section 36. Do you see that dot?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What does that represent?
- A. The northwest of the northwest of 36 is a well that's a standard location that we are currently in the process of drilling.
- Q. And that is a well to be drilled to the Strawn formation?
  - A. Yes, that's right.

Q. The spacing unit that's dedicated to that well in 1 2 the northwest of the northwest is what, sir? It is the north half of the northwest quarter. 3 Q. Okay. And so as we move down, then, below that well in Unit Letter E of 36 --5 Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 0. -- you have another red dot? Yes, that is the proposed location that's the 8 Α. subject of this hearing. 9 And the spacing unit then would be the --10 0. Α. -- south half of the northwest quarter. 11 -- south half of the northwest quarter? 12 Q. 13 Α. Right. Within each of those two 40-acre tracts, 14 Q. approximately at the center, there is a blue circle in each 15 of those 40-acre tracts? 16 That's correct. 17 Α. 18 Q. What does that represent? That's the 150 foot from the radius from the 19 Α. 20 center of that quarter-quarter section that an orthodox location would have to be drilled at. 21 Q. All right. Describe for us how you interpret the 22 23 display and what has caused you to propose to locate this well where the red dot is, rather than within the 24 25 boundaries of either of the two circles.

- A. The key to this prospect -- You can see in the southeast quarter of the northwest quarter of 36 is a well Sohio drilled, the State 36 Number 1.
- Q. All right, I'm having trouble seeing it. If you see the word "State" --
  - A. State 36, the black dot is just to the --
  - Q. Just to the west of the "S"?

- A. -- the west in a map view of that "S".
- Q. All right, tell me about that well.
- A. That well was a producer in the Shipp-Strawn field. Actually, I didn't plot it on here, but in the files at the Commission the bottomhole location of that well is actually 200 feet to the north and then another hundred feet to the west, which in our geologic interpretation puts it in the thinnest area of this isopach.

It still was completed and produced, in round numbers, about 10,000 barrels of oil from the Strawn.

That's really the basis of our prospect, of this section.

The orthodox location we are drilling in the northwest of the northwest is honoring that show well, plus drilling in the thickest part of the reservoir in that spacing unit. We feel like the well Sohio drilled at an orthodox location is probably on the edge or the very, very flank position of an additional part of the reservoir

1 that's going to be to the southeast of where they drilled. We feel like to make a successful well we need to 2 move the location to the southeast, towards the thickest 3 part of that reservoir, based on our interpretation. 4 And either of the two existing standard well 5 Q. 6 location opportunities do not achieve that objective? 7 Α. No, sir. 8 Q. All right. Let's turn your attention now to the well in Section 26. 9 Α. 10 Yes, sir. Describe for us, when we look at the same 11 Q. 12 display, now, what the spacing unit is and how it's 13 outlined on that display. 14 Α. That spacing unit proposed is a laydown 80, which would be the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 15 26. 16 17 This is -- There's essentially no well control on this prospect. This is a -- if you will, purely a seismic 18 19 prospect with respect to this spacing unit. 20 The well, as you can see, is proposed in Unit M. The blue circle that's drawn would be the standard location 21 in Unit M. 22 23 The objective of the proposed unorthodox location 0. 24 is to take advantage not only of potential reservoir thickness but structural position? 25

- 14 Α. We would need to look at Exhibit 4 to show Yes. 1 2 the structural interpretation. 3 All right, sir, let's do that. Identify Exhibit 4 4 for us. A. Exhibit 4 is a structure map of the same area 5 drawn on the top of the Strawn formation. 6 7 In Section 26 is shown -- The green dot would be 8 the orthodox location if it was drilled exactly in the 9 center of the quarter-quarter section. The orange dot is the location we're proposing. 10 What do you achieve at the unorthodox location 11 that you can't obtain at the standard location? 12 13
  - Well, we're gaining quite a bit of structure as Α. well as staying in the thickest part of the reservoir, and without any shows or any near wells to go by, we feel like we have to take advantage of the structural position and the thickness to give us a chance to have a successful well.
  - Were Exhibits 3 and 4 prepared by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
    - Yes, sir. Α.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And you have verified the accuracy of the survey plats, Exhibits 1 and 2?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. They were prepared by a licensed surveyor working

15 under your direction? 1 2 Yes, sir. 3 **Q.** In your opinion, will approval of this Application be in the best interests of conservation, the 4 5 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative 6 rights? 7 Α. Yes, sir. MR. KELLAHIN: 8 That concludes my examination of Mr. Thomson. We move the introduction of his Exhibits 1, 9 10 2, 3 and 4. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 in 12 consolidated Cases 11,008 and 11,009 will be admitted into 13 evidence. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Thomson, referring to Exhibit Number 3, and 16 Q. that's the Exhibit I'll be asking the questions on, going 17 18 to that well in Unit E of Section 36, the old well --Yes, sir. 19 Α. -- could you go into a little more detail about 20 Q. 21 the history of that, when it was drilled, who drilled it, 22 and was it a directional-drill intent, or did you have a 23 bottomhole survey or something? 24 Α. It was drilled -- I can't remember, Mr. Examiner,

if it was drilled in late 1987 or early 1988. I know it

1 was plugged in 1989. It was a -- It was planned and drilled as a vertical hole. The directional survey I found 2 3 in the Commission's files in Hobbs, by DIG, Incorporated, 4 they did a multi-shot of the open hole -- or actually I 5 guess they did a multi-shot of the open hole and then they 6 did a gyro survey of the intermediate hole. Q. 7 Who drilled that? Α. Operator? 8 9 Q. I mean, who was the operator again? 10 Α. Sohio Petroleum. 11 Q. Sohio, okay. 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 0. I couldn't remember if it was someone else. 14 On this exhibit there are a lot of dots spread 15 out in a grid system. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Do those represent anything? Q. 18 A. They are data points on the 3-D seismic survey, 19 receiver points, if you will. 20 Q. And this is the points which you obtained data 21 from to establish this exhibit? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Q. Now, as far as geologically speaking, I know 24 we've heard this several times, but what is the structure 25 in the Strawn pods in this area? Could you go into a

little more detail on that?

A. In general, these are algal mound buildups. You hear the word "reef" used from time to time; it's not a true reef, but it is a reeflike structure. It depends on vertical growth from a base. The base in this particular area is the Atoka.

The geology in the area, I guess, that is going on today is, the larger mounds, if you will, have been discovered, produced, basically depleted. We're playing out on the flanks of some of the major fields, the Shipp and the West Kno and Casey and some of the others.

What we're chasing is erosional remnants of mounds that are left. The flow patterns around some of the larger mounds eroded and cut channels in, I guess, some of the flanking mounds, and that's the erosional remnants that we're chasing. They're very small and very hard to find.

- Q. In the well in Section 36, if I remember right, it was stated by your testimony that the offsetting three quarter-quarter sections that this well is encroaching upon is all common interest?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know, is that a state lease, state common school lease?
- A. That is a state lease. That state lease covers the north half of the section and the southeast quarter --

1	No, excuse me, the southwest quarter. The southeast
2	quarter is HBP.
3	Q. Are you anticipating these wells to be on pump or
4	pump-jack or
5	A. There's only one or two wells I know of that have
6	been completed in the Strawn that never went on pump.
7	Q. I mean, were they all put on pump-jack, or did
8	they have submersible pumps of any kind?
9	A. I do not know of any submersible pumps.
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Any other questions of
11	this witness?
12	You may be excused.
13	MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes our presentation,
14	Mr. Examiner.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have
16	anything further in either Case 11,008 or 11,009?
17	With that, both cases will be taken under
18	advisement.
19	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
20	10:25 a.m.)
21	† db hereby certify that the foregoing is
22	a consider word of the second in the example in nearing of was 11008 and 1600;
23	heard by 100 pg 23/14 1994 2
24	Oil Conservation Division
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 4 5 6 I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter 7 and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation 8 9 Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the 10 11 proceedings. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or 12 employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in 13 14 this matter and that I have no personal interest in the 15 final disposition of this matter. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 26, 17 18 STEVEN T. BRENNER 19 CCR No. 7 20 My commission expires: October 14, 1994 21 22 23 24 25