## CHRISTY LAW OFFICES

TELEPHONE 625-2021 AREA CODE 505 920 UNITED BANK PLAZA P. O. BOX 569

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88202-0569

OMNIFAX TELECOPY 625-2023 AREA CODE 505

SIM B. CHRISTY IV

January 3, 1989

The Honorable William J. LeMay Director P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

Case 9586

Re: In the matter of the Application of Enron Oil & Gas Company for Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Director:

I have been advised, as owner of XY Ltd, that Enron Oil & Gas Company has filed an Application for Forced Pooling of all mineral interest to the base of the Morrow formation in and under all of Section 11, Township 24 South, Range 35 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, scheduled for hearing before a division examiner January 18, 1989.

In behalf of XY Ltd, I have no objection to the Application except:

- 1. The forced pooling order should be limited to the base of the Morrow formation and not to all formations above or below therefrom.
- 2. The penalty provision should be limited to 200%, which I believe is customary.

Respectfully,

XY Ltd.

SBC/ct

cc: Mr. William F. Carr

## ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

GARREY CARRUTHERS
GEVERNOR

February 3, 1989

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FEINEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 807, 5800

		(505) 821
Ar. William F. Carr Campoell & Black	Re:	CASE NO. 9536 ORDER NO. R-0364
Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Hexico		Applicant:
		Enron Gil and Gas Company
Dear Sir:		
Enclosed herewith are two cop Division order recently enter		
Sincerely,		
Florene Davidson	i	
FLORENE DAVIDSON OC Staff Specialist		
Copy of order also sent to:		
Hobbs OCD x		
Artesia OCDx Aztec OCD		
Other Chuck Coll		

1 2 3 4 5	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO  18 January 1989  EXAMINER HEARING	TMENT		
7				
8 9	New Mexico.			
10	10			
11	11			
12	BEFORE: Victor T. Lyon, Examiner	BEFORE: Victor T. Lyon, Examiner		
13	13			
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
15	15			
16	APPEARANCES			
17	17			
18	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall Attorney at Law			
19	Legal Counsel to th State Land Office B	ldg.		
20	Santa Fe, New Mexic	0		
21	company:			
22	P. O. BOX 2206			
23	Santa Fe, New Mexic	0 87501		
24	24			
25	25			

		3
1		
2	EXHIBITS	
3		
4	Enron Exhibit One, Ownership Map	7
5	Enron Exhibit Two, Order R-3161	8
6	Enron Exhibit Three, Breafdown	8
7	Enron Exhibit Four, AFE	10
8	Enron Exhibit Five, Data	11
9	Enron Exhibit Six, Affidavit of Meeting	12
10	Enron Exhibit Seven, Isopach	18
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

9586.

to enter an appearance?

MR. LYON: Call next Case

MR. STOVALL: Application of Enron Oil & Gas Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the law firm Campbell & Black, P. A., of Santa Fe.

 $\label{eq:we} \mbox{We represent Enron Oil \& Gas}$  and I have two witnesses.

I might also note that Mr. Gilbert Smith is present, who is an interest owner in the property.

MR. LYON: Does Mr. Smith want

MR. STOVALL: Mr. Coll was

here earlier and I don't know if he is --

MR. JONES: I don't know where Chuck is. I would assume that he underestimated how fast this was going to move and if he -- I'm sure that's the reason he's not here, but I have no objection to it proceeding.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, I would suggest, just so the record is complete, that the record reflect Mr. Jones' appearance in this case.

5 1 MR. LYON: Would you give me 2 your full name? 3 MR. JONES: Durwood, D-U-R-4 W-O-O-D, O. Jones. 5 I represent Jones, Robinson, 6 Limited, of Roswell. 7 MR. STOVALL: Are you planning 8 to present any testimony, Mr. Jones, are you just observe 9 and listen? 10 MR. JONES: I will limit it to 11 remarks of where it stands at this time between myself and 12 Enron. 13 MR. STOVALL; Well, the gues-14 tion would be whether you should ahead and be sworn as a 15 witness and testify as to fact and --16 17 (Witnesses sworn.) 18 19 MR. LYON: Proceed, Mr. Carr. 20 21 FRANK A. ESTEP, 22 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 23 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 24 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

		6
1	BY MR. CARR:	
2	Q	Will you state your full name for the
3	record, please?	
4	А	Frank C. Estep.
5	Q	Spell your last name.
6	A	E-S-T-E-P.
7	Q	Mr. Estep, will you where do you re-
8	side?	
9	A	Midland, Texas.
10	Q	By whom are you employed and in what
11	capacity?	
12	A	Enron Oil & Gas Company, Division
13	Landman.	
14	Q	Have you previously testified before
15	this Division an	d had your credentials as a landman ac-
16	cepted and made a	matter of record?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Would you briefly summarize your educa-
19	tional background	and then review your work experience for
20	the Examiner?	
21	А	I received a BBA degree from West Texas
22	State University i	n 1968.
23		I've been employed by Enron Oil & Gas
24	Company since Augu	st of 1974 as a landman.
25	Q	Are you familiar with the application

filed in this case on behalf of Enron?

2

Yes, I am.

3

And are you familiar with the subject area and the proposed well?

5

Α Yes, I am.

6

MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Estep as an expert witness in petroleum land matters.

7 8

MR. LYON: Mr. Estep's cre-

9

dentials are acceptable.

10

Mr. Estep, would you briefly state what

11

Enron seeks with this application?

12

Enron seeks an order pooling all mineral

13

interests in the Cinta Roja Gas Pool underlying all of Section 11, Township 24 South, Range 35 East, to form a stand-

14

ard 640-acre spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to

15 16

a well drilled at a standard gas well location.

Yes.

17

18

Would you refer to what has been marked as Enron Exhibit Number One, identify this exhibit, and

19

review it for Mr. Lyon?

20

21

It is a lease ownership map covering the general area of the well with the location of the

22

well and the proration for the well being outlined in yellow.

23

It also shows east -- west of the proposed location the outline of the Cinta Roja Morrow Pool.

24

25

 It also shows west of the location in orange four Morrow wells which are the only Morrow wells on this particular plat in this area.

Q Mr. Estep, would you refer to Enron Exhibit Number Two and identify that for the Examiner?

A Enron Exhibit Number Two is Order Number R-3161, which designates 640-acre spacing for the Cinta Roja Morrow Gas Pool.

Q And now if I could ask you to refer to Exhibit Number Three and review the information on that exhibit for Mr. Lyon.

A Exhibit Number Three is a breakdown of the mineral ownership covering all of Section 11, 24 South, 35 East, showing the leased and unleased interest.

Q What percentage of the ownership in this section has voluntarily committed to this well at this time?

A At present Enron has 89.4 percent under lease.

Q Are there other individuals with whom agreements have been reached that have not been at this time actually formalized in writing?

A Yes, sir, there are. There's an additional 8.9 percent that we do have verbal agreements with that we will remove from any pooling order once we receive

1 the executed documents. 2 And so at this time you have voluntarily committed over 98 percent of the interest in the section. That is correct. 5 Q Could you identify the particular interest owners who -- with whom you have recently reached 7 agreement? Yes, sir. We have Madeleine Allan Peckham Bedford, Trustee of the Alann P. Bedford Trust; 10 Patricia Lyeth; James D. Ellett; First Roswell Company; 11 Virginia --12 MR. LYON: Could you -- could 13 you start over and let's --14 Α Oh, are you trying to follow with the 15 exhibit? 16 MR. LYON: Yes. 17 MR. CARR: Bedford is on page 18 3 of the exhibit, Mr. Examiner. 19 Yes, page 3 would be Madeleine Allan Α 20 Peckham Bedford. 21 MR. STOVALL: Is that leased 22 or has some other arrangement been made? 23 Α It is a verbal commitment at this point. 24 We do not have an instrument in hand. 25 Page 4, Patricia D. Lyeth.

10 1 And again that is just a verbal commit-Q 2 ment? 3 Α These are all verbal commitments, yes, at this point. 5 Q Page 7. 6 Α Page 7 we have James D. Ellett; First 7 Roswell Company; XY, Ltd.. 8 Page 8, Virginia Roberts French; Jones 9 Robinson Company. 10 Page 9, Anderson Carter. 11 Q As to the Jone Robinson Company 12 interest, once the agreement that has been reached has been 13 approved by their attorneys and executed, their interest 14 would not be subject to the pooling application, isn't --15 Α No, sir. 16 -- that correct? Q 17 Α No, sir, it would not. 18 Now, these names MR. LYON: 19 that you've given me are the ones that are committed or not 20 committed? 21 Α Verbally committed, yes, sir. 22 Verbally committed. Q 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Q Mr. Estep, would you now refer to what 25 has been marked as Exhibit Number Four?

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Α That's the AFE prepared by our drilling department for the drilling of the Morrow test.

And would you review the totals on the AFE for Mr. Lyon?

Α Yes. Dry hole costs, \$1,281,500; completed well costs, \$1,723,700.

Q Are these costs in line with what's charged by other operators in this general area for Morrow wells?

> Α Yes, sir, they are.

Would you refer to what has been marked Exhibit Number Five and review for the Examiner the efforts that have been made to locate and obtain voluntary joinder of all interest owners in this section?

Α We have contacted either by phone or by all those working or mineral owners within the section that we have been able to locate. There is approximately 11 acres that we have been unable to locate. broker we hired could not find them.

At that point we hired a firm in Midland that specializes in finding lost heirs, Hank Avery Oil Properties, and they have spent in excess of two months looking for these interests.

Can you testify that in your opinion Q Enron has made good faith effort to locate and obtain

1 voluntary joinder from all interest owners in this section? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Would you identify what has been marked as Exhibit Number Six? 5 Α Exhibit Number Six is an affidavit pre-6 pared by the Campbell & Black firm stating that you have 7 complied with the Rule 1207 of providing notice for this 8 hearing and attached to it are all the letters of notice for the hearing. 10 Q And the return receipts are also en-11 closed? 12 Yes, they are. A 13 Have you made an estimate of overhead Q 14 and administrative costs while drilling this well and also 15 while producing it if it is successful? 16 Α Yes, we have. 17 Q And what are those figures? 18 Α Our drilling rate, \$5801 a month; our 19 producing well rate, \$580 a month. 20 Q Are these costs in line with what's 21 being charged by other operators in the general area --22 Α Yes. 23 -- for Morrow wells? Q 24 Α Yes, they are. 25 And do you recommend that these figures Q

1 incorporated into any order which results from today's 2 hearing? 3 Α Yes, I do. Does Enron Oil & Gas Company seek to be Q 5 designated operator of the proposed well? 6 Α Yes. 7 When does Enron plan to commence this --Q 8 this well? 9 Α January 25th. 10 Q Have you filed an application for permit 11 to drill with the Oil Conservation Division? 12 Α Yes, we have. 13 Q And what is the status of that permit at 14 this time? 15 Α They were holding that permit until such 16 time as we had the hearing and they requested that if the 17 Examiner would give them a call after the hearing, they 18 would approve the permit. 19 And are you ready to go forward with 20 your location work at this time? 21 Α Yes, we are. 22 Q Were Exhibits One through Six prepared 23 by you or compiled under your direction and supervision? 24 Α Yes. Yes, they were. 25 MR. At this time, Mr. CARR:

Lyon, we would move the admission of Enron Exhibits One through Six.

Exhibits One through Six will be admitted into evidence.

Q Mr. Estep, does Enron intend to call a geophysical witness to testify as to the risk involved in this prospect?

A Yes, we do.

MR. CARR: That concludes my

MR. LYON: Is there objection?

direct examination of Mr. Estep.

MR. STOVALL: I'd like to ask

one question here, If I may.

MR. LYON: Go ahead.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOVALL:

Q Mr. Estep, you said that if the examiner would call, when was that? I'm afraid I missed what you said.

. |

A The Hobbs office. We filed our permit to drill I'm going to say a week, ten days ago, and we checked on it late last week and they said they were not going to approve that permit to drill until such time as we had had our hearing. The problem they have is they are concerned about prior to the order issuing us establishing

and producing the well, which in this particular case is not possible, I don't think.

Q Are you anticipating that the Examiner would call them and --

MR. CARR: I believe --

A The Hobbs office suggested that.

MR. CARR: I believe it was

Evelyn who just suggested --

A Yes.

MR. CARR: -- that they were going to hold it until we had the hearing and requested that once the hearing had been held, that they would like to talk to the Examiner about it and felt at that time they could approve it so we could get started, but warned that we could not produce the well until the order was in place.

MR. STOVALL: Okay, so they're not expecting the Examiner to call and say that this is approved or anything like that, merely that there had been a hearing.

A No, they're not.

MR. CARR: She was concerned that we go ahead and have the hearing and I don't know why.

MR. LYON: Could this be because of the C-102 in that we don't have all the parties

MR. STOVALL: All right.

16 1 pooled? 2 MR. CARR: And I think that's 3 it, you know, you have to check whether it's being communitized or whether we're going to hearing and it was my 5 understanding that they were requesting that we confirm that in fact the hearing had been held. 7 I don't see any MR. LYON: 8 problem with that. 9 MR. CARR: That concludes my 10 examination of Mr. Estep, if I didn't so indicate. 11 MR. LYON: Yes, I believe you 12 did. 13 14 CROSS EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. LYON: 16 Q So the people who are listed on here as 17 not being under lease to Enron are the parties that you're 18 force pooling? 19 Yes, sir. Α 20 If verbal commitments come through, Q 21 well, then they -- they will not be a force pooled party in 22 this (not clearly audible).

A That's correct.

24

25

MR. LYON: I have no questions. The witness may be excused --

	17			
1	MR. CARR: At this time			
2	MR. LYON: unless you have			
3	something further.			
4	MR. CARR: No, sir, at this			
5	time we call Mr. Geron.			
6				
7	CARY F. GERON,			
8	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his			
9	oath, testified as follows, to-wit:			
10				
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
12	BY MR. CARR:			
13	Q Would you state your full name for the			
14	record, please?			
15	A Cary F. Geron.			
16	Q Mr. Geron, where do you reside?			
17	A Midland, Texas.			
18	Q By whom are you employed and in what			
19	capacity?			
20	A I'm employed by Enron Oil & Gas as a			
21	Division Geophysical Specialist.			
22	Q Have you previously testified before			
23	this Division?			
24	A No, I haven't.			
25	Q Would you summarize briefly your educa-			

1 tional background and then review your work experience for 2 Mr. Lyon? 3 I have a BS in petroleum geology from Texas Tech University in 1953. 5 I have 31 years of experience in the oil 6 and gas industry beginning with Texaco and I went to work 7 for Enron Oil & Gas in 1984. 8 Does your area of responsibility include 9 southeastern New Mexico? 10 Yes, sir, it does. 11 Q Are you familiar with the application 12 filed in this case and the subject area? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 MR. CARR: We would tender Mr. 15 Geron as an expert petroleum geophysicist. 16 MR. LYON: Mr. Geron's quali-17 fications are acceptable. 18 Mr. Geron, have you prepared an exhibit 19 for presentation here today? 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 Would you please refer to Exhibit Number 0 22 Seven and using this exhibit discuss the risk associated 23 with drilling a well at this location? 24 Enron Exhibit Number Seven is a Middle

Morrow C Sand isopach map. The map shows Section 11

25

1 colored yellow, which is our Enron acreage. The blue dot 2 is the proposed location of our well. The contours on the 3 map are in five feet increments of the isopach. The lines of the seismic lines are shown as numbers on the map, 85-5, 5 85-2 and 88-13. These seismic lines are the cause for 6 drilling the well. 7 Q In construction of that did you rely on 8 any well data? 9 Α We used the well data in the Cinta Roja 10 Field for our isopach control of the Morrow C; however, the 11 contouring that is over the proposed location has no geolo-12 gical support. It is entirely seismic. 13 Let me ask you this. Is this well pro-Q 14 posed to be drilled at a standard location? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 And does your seismic line run through a Q 17 standard location? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Q Is that a custom and practice with 20 Enron? 21 Α We try. We try. 22 Relying on this map, would you -- could Q 23 you recommend a penalty that should be assessed against 24 those interests who do not voluntarily participate in the

25

well?

1 Α Yes, sir, we feel that we are over a 2 mile away from a marginal well, uneconomical to us in this 3 present day economic situation. We're drilling between that well and the well to the east in Section 13 that's 5 dry. With no geological support, we feel that this is a 6 very risky location. 7 Q Do you recommend that the full 200 8 percent penalty be assessed in the order that results from 9 this hearing? 10 Α Yes, sir, I recommend cost plus 200 11 percent. 12 And it is your opinion that a well could 13 be drilled at this location that would not be a commercial 14 success. 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Q Do you have anything further to add to 17 your testimony? 18 Α No, sir. 19 Q Did you prepare Exhibit Number Seven? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 MR. At this time, Mr. CARR: 22 Lyon, we would move the admission of Enron Exhibit Number 23 Seven. 24 MR. LYON: Exhibit Number 25 Seven is admitted.

21 1 MR. CARR: That concludes my 2 examination of Mr. Geron. 3 CROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. LYON: Q Mr. Geron, in preparing an isopach map 7 based on seismic data, do you have two reflection intervals 8 or do you have some method of calculating gross thickness 9 10 Α No, sir. 11 -- and then applying the percentage of 12 net pay? 13 Α No, sir, there is -- the seismic only 14 indicates the presence -- hopefully indicates the presence 15 of Morrow sand channels. This area is not a blanket sand 16 deposit. It is only channelized. Therefore the risk is 17 upgraded and we cannot predict if we're receiving informa-18 tion from what layer in the Morrow. In other words, we're 19 predicting Morrow C in this well but based only on Morrow 20 production outside of where the location is. 21 I see. Q 22 We cannot predict thickness. Α 23 MR. LYON: That's -- that's

25

all my questions.

24

Anything else, Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: Nothing further.

2

LYON: The witness may be MR.

3

excused and we'll take the matter under --

MR. STOVALL: Just a moment.

5 6

Jones, did you get everything you wanted to do in this -- I'm sorry, we overlooked you and didn't give you a chance to examine either witness.

7

LYON: Oh, I'm sorry, did MR.

8 9

Mr. Coll ever --

10

MR. JONES: He hasn't shown up

11

yet so --

12

MR. LYON: Would you like to

ask any questions of the witnesses, either one?

14

13

MR. Well, the reason JONES:

15

why I hadn't signed up on this, back in early August, Mr.

16 17

John Henson, a land -- an independent landman representing

18

Enron, called me on the phone and wanted to lease our in-

19

I told him that I had quit leasing for two terest.

20

First, I was dissatisfied with the producer's '88 lease because I have had too many bad experiences with

21

major companies. Texaco stole oil from us for six years

22

and I accidentally caught them, and when I called and asked

23

the man, the manager in Midland's office, if he was with

24

the oil company that had the star that they advertised you

couldn't trust, he wanted to know if I had a problem. I

25

said, yes, you've been stealing oil from us six years. He said, Mr. Jones, the titles are in such terrible shape we haven't been able to get them in shape to be able to issue a division order.

б

Well, I said, as usual, a thief is also a liar, and so are you.

This -- they had a lease they got in 1920 from Lee Carter, the former owner of these minerals we have, which he, back in those days didn't realize you can't afford to put a whole half section in one lease, subject, and at the time they leased this, they farmed out the west half of the northeast quarter to John L. Cox down at Midland and just before the lease expired he drilled and brought in a well at approximately 3000 feet, and believe it or not, it's still producing.

And the title under the whole half section is the same; therefor, they've been paying under the John L. Cox lease because they buy the oil, you know, for 65 years, and it didn't make sense to me that the title could be in that bad a shape, but I told him, far as I'm concerned, I don't give a damn whether the rest of the titles are good or bad, ours is good, and if we don't have our pay for the last six years with interest of 10 percent for the last six years in 10 days, I'm going to file a class action suit against you and I'm made enough that I'm

 going to pay for it for everybody and cancel the lease on the whole half section, which probably wouldn't have been too bad because I understand now that it's a very good prospect for Strawns, also, and they pay better than the shallower wells of the past.

I don't know why you get more money out of bigger production but it seems that you do.

So he said he'd get a division order right out to me and in three days I had a division order which I signed and returned. In nine days I had a check with interest. So they could do better than what they said they could. They didn't know whether they'd ever be able to.

I've been leasing these -- handling these minerals, over 14,000 net mineral acres, under about 45,000 surface acres in southeastern New Mexico and it seems ridiculous to me that -- how the companies will lease for three years or one year or five years or ten years, most of them never do anything except peddle it out to everybody and mess the title up, then when you try to get a release they won't give it to you until you threaten to hire a lawyer or do hire a lawyer, and I have several instances that I have had to hire lawyers and of course, when you hire a lawyer and tell them that you're going to file suite under the New Mexico statutes, which they will have

to pay for the suit, they would much rather give the release and then I'm stuck with paying the attorneys' fees because we didn't go to court and get a judgment, see.

So I told him that I was wanting to lease all of ours in a package under certain situation, where they could each year have an option to extend it another year, and develop it as they saw fit. Likewise, they could assign parts of it off if somebody is working in an area which they weren't interested in yet. They could assign a portion of it out.

But I wouldn't sign any lease except the lease I had spent several years working on that in my opinion is fair to both parties.

My objection to the producer's 88 is not what it says, it's what it doesn't say from the lessor's standpoint.

MR. STOVALL: Let me ask you, Mr. Jones, do you think you've reached an agreement with Enron at this point, at least you've testified you've done it verbally, is that correct?

MR. JONES: We made a, Mr. Henson and I started talking yesterday about 11:00 o'clock and finished about 2:00 o'clock, in which he agreed to lease our small interest at \$150 an acre with a fourth royalty for three years.

He wants one thing different from what our lease provides and that is the attorneys for Enron feel that our statement about pooling, which is tied in with whatever the State law provides, what the Commission decides, well, then we're bound by it.

But they want it to be more specific than that and I told him to have the attorney send it to me. If it was reasonable and fair, I would sign it. I anticipate it would be, and also our lease provides that they get the -- provides down to the producing horizon plus 100 feet. He was asking that it be more specific from the surface down. I didn't know you started anywhere except the surface, but if technicalities are that technical, why we do not object to it being changed to the surface, specifying from the surface down to the Morrow if they drill that far, but 100 feet below wherever they drill and produce.

Of course if they don't produce, why that takes care of it, I guess, to everybody's discontent.

MR. STOVALL: So you -- let me just make sure I understand correctly, that you believe that you have an agreement --

MR. JONES: That is my opinion

1 and I anticipate --2 MR. STOVALL: And you under-3 stand also --MR. JONES: You anticipate 5 that, don't you? 6 MR. STOVALL: You understand, 7 also, that should anything happen to prevent that from oc-8 curring that you will be subject to a forced pooling order, 9 is that correct? 10 MR. JONES: Well, my under-11 standing is that we would be omitted from the forced pool-12 ing --13 MR. STOVALL: (Not clearly un-14 derstood). 15 MR. JONES: because we 16 fixed the lease. 17 MR. STOVALL: Okay. Is there 18 anything else you'd like to add? 19 MR. JONES: So I told him that 20 I wanted to -- I would come on over regardless and he 21 wanted to know why and I said, well, I think it would be 22 educational, and it has been very educational. I have en-23 joyed it immensely. 24 MR. STOVALL: Well, thank you 25 for being so patient and sitting with us today.

MR. JONES: And I also have a much higher regard of the situation than I had before. I think you handle it very, very nicely.

MR. LYON: Thank you. You do realize that if you don't come to an agreement that you will be force pooled and you'll have an opportunity to pay your share in advance and otherwise they will take it out of production.

MR. JONES: Yes. As I understand we have thirty days and we would take whatever action is required in that thirty days. The only reason we wouldn't sign a lease, if they don't proceed on their part in line with our agreement, and if they do that, and I anticipate they will, I can't see why they wouldn't.

MR. LYON: And Mr. Carr --

MR. JONES: We have had exper-

ience with people that don't, but I don't think it's to their best interest for us to not go ahead and do what each one says, and I believe in doing what I agree to do. That's the difference between me and too many -- getting to be too many people working today, is you can't depend on

MR. LYON: Do -- do we have your mailing address? I guess it's in this exhibit, isn't it?

them, but I'm not anticipating that problem.

29 1 MR. JONES: Box 2076. Ros-2 well, 88202. 3 MR. LYON: We'll see that you 4 get a copy of the order and then --5 MR. JONES: Appreciate it. 6 MR. LYON: -- you'll know for 7 sure what your rights are. 8 Anything further? 9 MR. JONES: No, that's all I 10 have. 11 CARR: MR. I have nothing 12 further. 13 MR. LYON: If there is nothing 14 further, the witness may be excused and we'll take this 15 case under advisement. 16 17 (Hearing concluded.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sooney W. Boyd CSR

Mossyan, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division