1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	
6	
7	EXAMINER HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of Yates Petroleum Case 9779
12	Corporation for a unit agreement
13	in Lea County, New Mexico.
14	
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	
19	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
2 0	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
22	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	October 18, 1989
2 4	
25	GRIGINAL

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

1					A	P	P	E	A	. ]	R	A	N	С	E	S	
2	n o n	mti n	* D D I	T (1 3	<b>.</b>					~ .	••			* ***	<b>.</b> .		
3	FUR	THE	APPL	ICA	ΝΊ	· <b>:</b>			Αt	t	o r	ne	<u></u> y :	S	at	Law	
4									Αr	t	e s	sia	۹,	N	e w	hone/Suite E Mexico 88210	
5									ВҮ	:		DI	AV.	ΙD	R	. VANDIVER, ESQ.	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
L 0																	
1																	
L <b>2</b>																	
L 3																	
L <b>4</b>																	
l 5																	
L 6																	
L <b>7</b>																	
L 8																	
19																	
20																	
21																	
22																	
23																	
24																	
5																	

1	I N D E X	
2	Page	Number
3	Appearances	2
	CY COWAN	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Vandiver	4
5	STERLING FLY III	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Vandiver	12
7	Cross-Examination by Hearing Examiner	17
8	Certificate of Reporter	19
9		
10	EXHIBITS	
11	Applicant's Exhibit 1	6
12	Applicant's Exhibit 2 Applicant's Exhibit 3	7 10
	Applicant's Exhibit 4	11
13	Applicant's Exhibit 5 Applicant's Exhibit 6	11 14
14	Applicant's Exhibit 7 Applicant's Exhibit 8	15 16
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 HEARING EXAMINER: I'll call next case, No.
- 2 9779, which is the application of Yates Petroleum
- 3 Corporation for a unit agreement in Lea County, New
- 4 Mexico.
- 5 I'll call for appearances.
- 6 MR. VANDIVER: Mr. Examiner, my name is
- 7 David Vandiver of the law firm of Fisk & Vandiver in
- 8 Artesia, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Yates
- 9 Petroleum Corporation.
- 10 I have two witnesses to be sworn this
- 11 morning.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other
- 13 appearances?
- 14 Will the witnesses please stand and be
- 15 sworn?
- 16 (Witnesses sworn.)
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER: You may be seated.
- Mr. Vandiver?
- MR. VANDIVER: May I proceed?
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Please.
- 21 CY COWAN,
- 22 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
- 23 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. VANDIVER:

- 1 Q. Mr. Cowan, state your name, your
- 2 occupation, and by whom you're employed, please.
- A. My name is Cy Cowan. I work for Yates
- 4 Petroleum Corporation in Artesia, New Mexico, and I'm
- 5 an associate landman.
- 6 Q. Mr. Cowan, you've testified previously as a
- 7 petroleum landman before the New Mexico Oil
- 8 Conservation Division, had your qualifications as a
- 9 landman accepted, and your qualifications are a matter
- 10 of record, are they not?
- 11 A. Yes, they are.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with the title to the land
- 13 within the proposed Woodstock State Unit?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. VANDIVER: I tender Mr. Cowan as a
- 16 petroleum landman, Mr. Examiner.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Cowan is so
- 18 qualified.
- 19 Q. (BY MR. VANDIVER) Mr. Cowan, would you
- 20 briefly summarize the purpose of Yates Petroleum
- 21 Corporation's application in Case No. 9779?
- 22 A. Yates Petroleum is seeking approval of the
- 23 Woodstock State Unit in Lea County, New Mexico. We
- 24 feel by establishing this unit we will be able to
- 25 effectively explore and develop the area.

- 1 Q. If you could refer to Applicant's Exhibit 1
- 2 in this case and orient the examiner with respect to
- 3 the location of the proposed unit?
- 4 A. Yes. Exhibit 1 is a land plat outlining
- 5 the proposed Woodstock State Unit. The unit is found
- 6 in Township 9 South, Range 33 East, in Lea County, New
- 7 Mexico.
- 8 The unit is outlined in yellow. The unit
- 9 is comprised of portions of Section 3, 4, all of
- 10 Section 5, and portions of Section 6.
- Our proposed location is outlined with a
- 12 red dot in the southeast of the southeast quarter of
- 13 Section 5. We are proposing to drill an Abo test well
- 14 at this site.
- The unit is comprised of 1,758.82 acres of
- 16 land, and these are primarily 100 percent state lands.
- 17 Q. When you say "primarily," all the minerals
- 18 within the lands covered by the proposed unit are
- 19 owned by the State of New Mexico, are they not?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. What's the footage location of your initial
- 22 test well?
- 23 A. Initial test well will be located 660 from
- 24 the south line and 540 feet from the east line.
- Q. What's the primary objective in drilling

- 1 the initial test well under the unit?
- 2 A. The primary objective is the Abo formation
- 3 at approximately 9,000 feet.
- 4 Q. Now, Mr. Cowan, if you could identify
- 5 what's been marked as Applicant's Exhibit 2, which is
- 6 the unit agreement, describe what it contains.
- 7 A. Exhibit 2 is the unit agreement for the
- 8 Woodstock State Unit. This unit agreement is on the
- 9 standard State of New Mexico form.
- 10 On page 2, the unit area is outlined in
- 11 Township 9 South, Range 33 East. It is made up of
- 12 Section 3, the southwest quarter; Section 4, the
- 13 southeast quarter and the west half; Section 5, all of
- 14 Section 5; Section 6, the east half and the northwest
- 15 quarter, and it is comprised of 1,758.82 acres, more
- 16 or less.
- 17 Q. Who's designated as operator under this
- 18 unit agreement?
- 19 A. Under the unit agreement, Yates Petroleum
- 20 Corporation of Artesia is designated as unit operator.
- 21 Q. What formations are to be unitized under
- 22 this unit agreement?
- 23 A. All formations from the surface to the Abo
- 24 formation will be unitized.
- 25 Q. There's no limitation on formations covered

- l by the unit agreement, is there, if you'll refer to
- 2 paragraph 2 on page 2?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. There's no depth limitation?
- 5 A. There's no depth limitation, excuse me.
- 6 Q. This is an exploratory unit?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. As required, the unit agreement allocates
- 9 production from any well on the committed tracts
- 10 within the unit area to each separately owned tract on
- 11 a surface-acreage basis; does it not?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Refer to Exhibit A of the unit agreement,
- 14 and tell the examiner what information is contained in
- 15 that exhibit.
- 16 A. Exhibit A is a plat showing the outline of
- 17 the proposed unit. It designates the record
- 18 titleholder of each lease in the unit, which is Yates
- 19 Petroleum in all five of the leases.
- Four of the five leases will expire on
- 21 November 1, and we will appreciate approval of this
- 22 unit as soon as possible.
- Q. Now, refer to Exhibit B of the unit
- 24 agreement, and review for the examiner the information
- 25 shown on that exhibit.

## CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

- 1 A. Exhibit B to the unit agreement outlines
- 2 the tract numbers, the description of the lease in
- 3 that particular tract, the number of acres in the
- 4 lease, the serial number and expiration date of the
- 5 leases. These are all V leases owned by the State of
- 6 New Mexico. Basic royalty owner and the percentage,
- 7 lessee of record, overriding royalty owners and
- 8 percentages, and working interest owner and
- 9 percentages.
- 10 O. And who are the owners of the various
- ll leases to be committed to this unit?
- 12 A. The owners of the leases are Yates
- 13 Petroleum Corporation, Yates Drilling Company, Abo
- 14 Petroleum Corporation, and MICO Industries, Inc.
- Q. Will all these parties be joining the unit
- 16 agreement?
- 17 A. Yes, they will.
- 18 Q. So you'll have 100 percent joinder?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. And as you said, certain of the leases
- 21 expire November 1, 1999?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. And you would be required to have approval
- 24 by the Commissioner of Public Lands and Oil
- 25 Conservation Division and be drilling your well by

- 1 that date?
- 2 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 3 Q. Now, identify Exhibit 3 and describe what
- 4 that is, please, sir.
- 5 A. Exhibit 3 is the Model Form Operating
- 6 Agreement, A.A.P.L. Form 610, dated 1977, for the
- 7 Woodstock State Unit. It designates Yates Petroleum
- 8 Corporation as the operator, and the location of the
- 9 unit as in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 10 Q. And this unit operating agreement has been
- 11 submitted to all working interest owners?
- 12 A. Yes, it has.
- 13 Q. Refer to Exhibit A of the unit operating
- 14 agreement and review the manner in which the initial
- 15 test well will be paid for.
- 16 A. Exhibit A to the unit operating agreement
- 17 sets out the percentage interest and the parties who
- 18 will pay for the drilling of the initial test well.
- 19 The parties in the well are Yates Petroleum, Yates
- 20 Drilling Company, Abo Petroleum Corporation, and MICO
- 21 Industries, Inc.
- Q. And this unit operating agreement contains
- 23 customary contractual terms and has been approved by
- 24 all working interest owners?
- 25 A. That is correct.

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

- 1 Q. Identify Exhibit 4, please, and tell the
- 2 examiner what that is.
- 3 A. Exhibit 4 is an Authority For Expenditure
- 4 for the Woodstock State Unit No. 1 Well. It gives the
- 5 location of the well; horizons are Abo formation about
- 6 9,000 feet. It breaks out the dry hole costs to drill
- 7 this well, which would be \$243,600. Completed well
- 8 would be \$499,500. At the bottom it lists the working
- 9 interest owners and their percentages in the well.
- 10 And, also, this AFE has been signed by all working
- ll interest parties.
- 12 Q. Now, identify Exhibit 5 for the examiner
- 13 and describe its contents.
- 14 A. Exhibit 5 is a letter from the Commissioner
- 15 of Public Lands dated October 12, 1989, to Yates
- 16 Petroleum Corporation regarding the Woodstock State
- 17 Unit. This letter states that they have granted us
- 18 preliminary approval for this unit.
- 19 Q. One of the requirements of the Commissioner
- 20 of Public Lands' final approval of this unit agreement
- 21 would be that you obtain an order of the New Mexico
- 22 Oil Conservation Division approving the unit
- 23 agreement; is it not?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. Mr. Cowan, were Exhibits 1 through 5

- 1 prepared by you or under your direction and
- 2 supervision or, with respect to Exhibit 5, taken from
- 3 the business records of Yates Petroleum Corporation?
- 4 A. Yes, they have been.
- 5 MR. VANDIVER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move
- 6 admission of Exhibits 1 through 5, and I have no
- 7 further guestions of this witness.
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 5
- 9 will be admitted into evidence.
- I have no questions of this witness. You
- 11 may be excused.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Vandiver?
- 14 STERLING FLY III,
- 15 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
- 16 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. VANDIVER:
- 19 Q. Mr. Fly, state your name, your occupation,
- 20 and by whom you're employed, please.
- 21 A. My name is Sterling Fly III. I'm a
- 22 petroleum geologist at Yates Petroleum Corporation.
- 23 Q. You have previously testified before the
- 24 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division as a petroleum
- 25 geologist, had your qualifications accepted, and your

- 1 qualifications are a matter of record, are they not?
- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Have you made a study of the available
- 4 geological information regarding the proposed
- 5 Woodstock State Unit for the purposes of your
- 6 testimony today, and are you familiar with the
- 7 geological basis for the formation of the proposed
- 8 unit?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. VANDIVER: Tender Mr. Fly as an expert
- 11 petroleum geologist, Mr. Examiner.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fly is so qualified.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. VANDIVER) Mr. Fly, summarize for
- 14 the examiner the deological factors which dictate the
- 15 formation of this exploratory unit.
- 16 A. Well, we have determined the presence of an
- 17 east-plunging structural nose through the length of
- 18 the proposed unit. We expect to encounter porosity
- 19 concentrate along the crest of the structure.
- Q. What's Yates's principal objective in
- 21 forming this unit and drilling the initial test well?
- 22 A. Our objective is to obtain hydrocarbon
- 23 production within the Abo dolomite.
- Q. I believe Mr. Cowan testified the initial
- 25 test well will be located in the southeast quarter

- 1 southeast quarter of Section 5; is that correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Identify what's been marked for
- 4 identification as Applicant's Exhibit 6, which is your
- 5 cross-section, and review the information contained in
- 6 that exhibit for the examiner, please.
- 7 A. Okay. The stratigraphic cross-section in
- 8 which the datum, stratigraphic datum, is the upper
- 9 shale marker of the Wolfcamp XX, marker W.
- 10 Correlators within this interval are just some marker
- 11 beds within the Abo formation.
- What's evident from the cross-section and
- 13 highlighted in orange or red is the persistence of
- 14 porosity within the Abo, what's labeled as the Abo
- 15 porosity zone, which sits just above the Wolfcampian
- 16 lime and beneath a dirtier Abo dolomite shale
- 17 interval.
- 18 Q. The wells reflected on that cross-section
- 19 are shown on the small map at the bottom of the
- 20 exhibit?
- 21 A. Yes. The base map, as you can see, is
- 22 essentially a northwest to southeast cross-section.
- 23 I'll point out there the proposed location there in
- 24 the southeast quarter of Section 5, which would be
- 25 just to the left of the middle well on the

- l cross-section.
- Q. Anything else with regard to that exhibit,
- 3 Mr. F1v?
- 4 A. I might point out that the better porosity
- 5 tends to be located along the higher structures or
- 6 along the higher portions of the structures.
- 7 Q. All right, now, if you could refer to
- 8 Exhibit 7 and identify it, please, sir, and describe
- 9 its contents for the examiner.
- 10 A. Exhibit 7 is a structure map, again on the
- 11 Wolfcampian XX marker. It indicates an east-plunging
- 12 structural nose which runs the length of the unit.
- Further evidence or an analogy to the east-
- 14 plunging nose is the fact that the Veta field, which
- 15 is a Bough-C field, just to the east of the unit.
- 16 Production in the Bough-C toward the south trends in a
- 17 northeast-southwest direction, and then there right
- 18 even with our unit there, say, in Section 2, the Veta
- 19 trend turns eastward; so that indicates that there's
- 20 structural control for the old Bough-C north shoreline
- 21 there.
- 22 Q. Anything else with regard to that exhibit?
- A. I think not.
- Q. If you would refer to Applicant's Exhibit
- 25 8, identify that, and describe the information

- 1 contained in that exhibit, please.
- 2 A. Exhibit 8 is a net feet of porosity map in
- 3 the lower Abo dolomite or the clean dolomite
- 4 interval. This indicates that favorable porosity
- 5 trends run east-west, coinciding with the locations of
- 6 the Structural highs or running through the unit where
- 7 the favorable porosity is met; also coincides with the
- 8 east-plungin structural nose.
- 9 Porosity in the dolomite is developed in
- 10 sediments that were formerly oolitic and bioclasic
- 11 grain stones and packed stones. These were deposited
- 12 on highs in the Paleocene floor as higher energy
- 13 facies, lithofacies.
- 14 Later dolomitization of these higher energy
- 15 lithofacies resulted in more porous, course
- 16 crystalline dolomites than would be found in the
- 17 adjacent lows, which were muddier sediments. So the
- 18 subsequent dolomites tended to be tighter.
- 19 Q. Anything else with regard to Exhibit A?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Based upon your review of this data, could
- 22 you briefly state what conclusions you've drawn from
- 23 your study?
- 24 A. Well, the primary goal in drilling an Abo
- 25 dolomite well is obtaining favorable porosity in the

- 1 clean dolomite interval. Favorable porosity is
- 2 anticipated to be encountered along this crest of
- 3 structures which we have mapped through our proposed
- 4 unit area.
- 5 Q. Is your proposed initial test well located
- 6 on the crest of the structure?
- 7 A. Yes. As you say, in Exhibit No. 7, it's
- 8 right near the crest of the structural nose.
- 9 Q. Mr. Fly, in your opinion, will the approval
- 10 of this exploratory unit be in the interest of
- ll conservation, the prevention of waste, and protection
- 12 of correlative rights?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Were Exhibits 6, 7 and 8 prepared by you or
- 15 under your direction and supervision?
- 16 A. They were.
- MR. VANDIVER: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the
- 18 admission of Applicant's 6, 7, and 8, and I have no
- 19 further questions of this witness.
- HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 6, 7, and 8
- 21 will be admitted into evidence at this time.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY HEARING EXAMINER:
- 24 Q. Something for the record, did you have any
- 25 decision of where to drill the initial test well in

```
1
    this unit?
                Yes, I picked the location.
 2
         Α.
                This is going to be an Abo gas test or
 3
         Q.
 4
    oil?
 5
                Abo oil.
         Α.
 6
         0.
                Forty-acre?
 7
         Α.
                Yes.
 8
                HEARING EXAMINER: I have no other
 9
    questions of this witness. He may be excused.
10
                Does anybody else have anything further in
11
    Case No. 9779? If not, this case will be taken under
12
    advisement.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Deborah O'Bine, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 13, 1989
18	Deborah Bine
19	DEBORAH O'BINE CSR No. 127
20	
21	My commission expires: August 10, 1990
22	I do herapy certify that the foregoing is
23	the Excining proceedings in
24	heard by me on 18 Challe 1983.
25	Oil Conservation Division, Examiner
	TIVII DIVISION