1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	
6	
7	EXAMINER HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of W.E. Jeffers Case 9800 for an unorthodox oil well
12	location, Eddy County, New Mexico.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	$\ell$
19	BEFORE: VICTOR T. LYON, EXAMINER
20	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
22	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	November 1, 1989
24	
25	

## ORIGINAL

1				A	P	P	E	A R A N C E S
2	FOR	THE	DIVISIO	N:				ROBERT T. STOVALL Attorney at Law
3								Legal Counsel to the Division
4								State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico
5	FOR	THE	APPLICA	NT:	:			W.E. JEFFERS P.O. Box 65
6								Artesia, New Mexico
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

1	INDEX			
2		Page	Number	
3				
4	Appearances		2	
5	W.E. JEFFERS			
6	Examination by Hearing Examiner		5	
7				
8	Certificate of Reporter		28	
9				
10	EXHIBITS			
11				
12	1. Map			
13	2. Letter, 10/29/89			
14	3. Map			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	CUMBRE COURT REPORTING			

(505) 984-2244

1	HEARING EXAMINER: We will call Case 9800.
2	MR. STOVALL: Application of W.E. Jeffers
3	for an unorthodox oil well location, Eddy County,
4	New Mexico.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Appearances.
6	MR. STOVALL: Sir, would you identify
7	yourself, and what your
8	MR. JEFFERS: I am W.E. Jeffers of Artesia,
9	New Mexico.
10	MR. STOVALL: You are the Applicant in this
11	case.
12	MR. JEFFERS: I'm the applicant in the case
13	making application for this unorthodox location.
14	MR. STOVALL: You're appearing on your own
15	behalf?
16	MR. JEFFERS: Yes.
17	MR. STOVALL: You are not represented by
18	counsel; is that correct?
19	MR. JEFFERS: Yes.
20	HEARING EXAMINER: We would like two sets
21	of these if you have them.
22	MR. STOVALL: Do you intend to provide your
23	own testimony to testify yourself, Mr. Jeffers?
24	MR. JEFFERS: Yes.
25	MR. STOVALL: Did you have any other

witness that you're going to
MR. JEFFERS: No.
HEARING EXAMINER: Would you take the
witness chair over there, please, at the end of the
table.
Well, first, let me swear you in.
W.E. JEFFERS,
The witness herein, after having been first
duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified
as follows:
EXAMINATION
BY HEARING EXAMINER:
Q. Mr. Jeffers, are you a petroleum engineer
or geologist, or
A. No. I only work on independent wells. I'm
an independent oil operator.
Q. How long have you been in the oil business?
A. All my life.
Q. Like how many years?
A. Oh, over 50.
Q. And you have drilled and operated wells
during at that time?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you are the applicant in this case?
A. Yes.

1	HEARING EXAMINER: We'll accept your
2	credentials as an experienced oil and gas operator.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
4	HEARING EXAMINER: Would you proceed.
5	THE WITNESS: As shown on the map, my son
6	and I are
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Which map are you
8	referring to?
9	MR. STOVALL: Excuse me. Mr. Jeffers, let
10	me make a suggestion to you here for a minute. We
11	have here a rubber stamp which can be used for
12	identifying these exhibits. If you would proceed to
13	use this rubber stamp
14	THE WITNESS: In my lack of experience, I
15	didn't
16	MR. STOVALL: Well, I understand. We'll,
17	try to help you as much as we can here with the
18	procedure. If you would mark consecutively each of
19	these pieces of paper and put an exhibit number and
20	the case number using that rubber stamp
21	HEARING EXAMINER: And if you would number
22	the exhibits in the order you would like to discuss
23	them, to
24	MR. STOVALL: Let's go off the record.
25	(Thereupon, a discussion was held

## off the record.)

THE WITNESS: I have on Exhibit 1 in heavy lines around Section 2 of 18 South, 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico -- the four 40-acre tracts around the middle of section are the ones I'm referring to my son and I own 100 percent of the working interest.

I want to drill a well in the Northeast of the Southwest, but that staked location came 35 feet west of a highline, as marked here "3 wire, high electric line." And if I move further west, it would be toward my No. 2, which was a dry and abandoned, dry hole. Drilled it years ago. Never produced.

So instead of moving west inside the 40, I would like to move out east, out from under the highline and 100 feet further east, toward my No. 1 well, which is not only the best well in the area, but the upper pay has never been treated, as mentioned in my letter Exhibit 2.

These pays are the Premier, which is the lower part of the Greyburg, and the Lovington, which is 100 feet below the top of San Andres. And that No. 1 well during 1947 had the pipe set high at 2200 feet, which is above the Premier, and the Premier has never been stimulated.

And since No. 1 is in the northwest 10

1	acres of that 40, I can't drill anywhere else on that
2	40, because all east of there the formation dips off
3	and is No. 1 to the east is full of dry holes. All
4	wells drilled there were dry. So I want to stay west
5	of No. 1, but east of the highline, as far east as I
6	can to get to it, because the other wells, in my four
7	wells, the Premier has been treated and drained.

I have more chance, I think, of recovering more oil moving 2452 feet from the west line and 2310 from the south line of that section.

Exhibit 3 shows poor wells and dry holes any further east of my No. 1 well. And that direct offset to the east of my No. 1, drilled in the Northeast of the Southeast, I worked on the well for wages. It was dry also.

HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Jeffers, the four 40-acre tracts that you state are owned by you and your son, is that all under one lease?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir they are. The four 40s are all under one lease.

HEARING EXAMINER: And the wells on the perimeter still inside the section but against the section lines surrounding your four tracts, have you notified the operators of those tracts?

THE WITNESS: No. Due to lack of

1	experience, I didn't think to do that. I wouldn't be
2	moving toward anyone else's wells, though, if I moved
3	east. There are no existing wells there east, as
4	shown on Exhibit 1 and on Exhibit 3.
5	MR. STOVALL: You're saying, Mr. Jeffers,
6	you own the Southeast of the Northwest, the Southwest
7	of the Northeast, and Northwest of the Southeast?
8	HEARING EXAMINER: And also the other.
9	THE WITNESS: I start my No. 1 that's
10	Northwest of the Southeast.
11	MR. STOVALL: You own 100 percent of the
12	working interest in that 40 acres; is that correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, 100 percent, of those
14	those four 40s there. My 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 wells on
15	those four 40s, and the proposed No. 6. And there are
16	no producers east in the adjoining 40s.
17	MR. STOVALL: Who own the additional
18	acreage at West 1/2 of that section?
19	THE WITNESS: West. DEKALB. Formerly
20	DEPCO, and now DEKALB. The wells to the west.
21	MR. STOVALL: And you own pardon me if
22	I'm reiterating you do own 100 percent of the
23	working interest in the 40s that you are talking

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. In the four 40s,

about?

24

yes, 160 acres. 1 2 HEARING EXAMINER: What kind of a well is 3 No. 4? THE WITNESS: Oh, as shown on the bottom of Exhibit 1, produced to the end of 1988, 26,836 5 6 barrels. Not a very good well. HEARING EXAMINER: What is it producing now? 8 THE WITNESS: Oh, 3 barrels a day. 9 10 HEARING EXAMINER: You are aware, are you not, that the allowable for a proration unit is given 11 12 to the well or wells on a proration unit --13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. HEARING EXAMINER: -- and that your new 14 15 well would share the allowable for that Northeast 1/4 16 of the Southwest 1/4? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. Yes. I believe I 18 have plenty of room. HEARING EXAMINER: You could afford to get 19 20 a pretty good well. 21 THE WITNESS: That's right. In more ways 22 than one. 23 See, that No. 1 well, as I mentioned 24 produced the most oil and it was shot with

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

nitroglycerine before hydroflouride was developed, and

1	only from the lower pay. The upper pay has not been
2	stimulated. That's another reason I wanted to get
3	closer to it. And if I move out from under the
4	highline west into the inside of the 40, I'll be
5	moving toward that D & A.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Have you surveyed in
7	this proposed location?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. I did not bring
9	that with me. I'm sorry.
10	HEARING EXAMINER: Have you verified that
11	this is a drillable location?
12	THE WITNESS: This proposed 2452 from the
13	west line?
14	HEARING EXAMINER: The one that you have
15	proposed in this application.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. I've had there's
17	nothing but level ground, and I've had a drilling
18	contractor out there to see it was far enough away
19	from the
20	HEARING EXAMINER: The reason I ask that is
21	THE WITNESS: Pardon?
22	HEARING EXAMINER: the order approving
23	an unorthodox location is specific to that location.
24	And if you find after you've gone out there with a rig
25	that you can't drill on that location

1	THE WITNESS: Oh, I see.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: then your permit
3	isn't any good.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand. I didn't
5	know that, but I can understand it. But I took the
6	drilling contractor out there and got him to okay that
7	it's far enough away from the highline and plenty of
8	room to operate for pits and everything.
9	HEARING EXAMINER: I know from experience
10	that that is the case. So I think it's important that
11	you have verified that you can drill that location.
12	THE WITNESS: Well, I was moving toward a
13	pipeline, Phillip's pipeline, so I got the Phillip's
14	man out there and a drilling contractor, and they both
15	okayed it.
16	MR. STOVALL: Whose surface is it,
17	Mr. Jeffers?
18	THE WITNESS: Pardon?
19	MR. STOVALL: Who owns the surface there?
20	THE WITNESS: State of New Mexico. Either
21	the state or I don't know whether that is
22	transferred into private ownership or not, but it's a
23	state lease, and until recently that State Road 360
24	has never been fenced. It was just recently fenced.

The Diamond A or Turkey Tract, whichever it is, in

1	that area owns the grazing rights.
2	MR. STOVALL: Have you had any discussions
3	with the surface owner regarding the location? You
4	are not sure if it's the State of New Mexico or a fee
5	owner; is that correct?
6	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
7	MR. STOVALL: So I'm assuming from that
8	that you had no discussions with the surface owner on
9	that?
10	THE WITNESS: No. You're assuming that
11	what?
12	MR. STOVALL: That you've not discussed
13	your location with the surface owner of that location.
14	THE WITNESS: No, I have not. We never
15	did, anyone, discuss surface ownership when we drilled
16	those wells.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: You drilled the four
18	existing wells?
19	THE WITNESS: I worked on them for wages
20	and produced them for wages.
21	MR. STOVALL: You were not the operator at
22	the time, though, the owner
23	THE WITNESS: At the time the wells were
24	drilled, no, I was not.

MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, I would like to

24

1	take a brief recess and talk to you about this for a
2	couple of minutes.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: Let's take a five-minute
4	recess.
5	(Thereupon, a recess was held.)
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Jeffers, are you
7	familiar with the general rules and regulations of the
8	Division in regard to spacing of wells?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	HEARING EXAMINER: Are you aware that the
11	Division is inquiring more into the reasons for an
12	unorthodox location
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, I think so.
14	HEARING EXAMINER: so that we can try to
15	achieve more uniform spacing?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I believe I am.
17	HEARING EXAMINER: Well, are you aware that
18	the rules will permit you to drill within 330 feet of
19	the proration unit boundary?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	HEARING EXAMINER: Do you think that it
22	would be practicable for you to drill a standard
23	location on this?
24	THE WITNESS: A standard location would be
25	35 feet west of the highline. So I'd would have to

- move 65 more feet to get away from it. And my tank 1 battery is about 40 feet north of that standard 2 3 location, 2310 from the southwest lines. And I'd have to move 65 feet south. I have it surveyed and staked. So then it'd be moving closer to the drain wells or the dry holes. 6 HEARING EXAMINER: How far would you need
- to move from the highline? 8
- THE WITNESS: 100 feet. 9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- HEARING EXAMINER: 100 feet. And how far 10 is the highline from the east boundary of your quarter 11 12 quarter?
- THE WITNESS: 35 feet from 330. 13 295 feet.
- HEARING EXAMINER: It's 295 feet from the 14 centerline of the section, north-south centerline? 15

THE WITNESS: Yes, from the east boundary of that 40-acre tract. Since I had to move, like I mentioned, that upper pay in that No. 1 well, which is the Northwest of the Southeast, that well is drilled in the Northwest 10 acres of that 40, and the upper pay has not been stimulated, and due to open hole wouldn't be practical to try to treat it.

So I wanted to crowd it what I could, since I have to move anyway and try to -- I think I'll recover more oil getting over there toward that pay

1	that hasn't been stimulated. If the highline had not
2	been there, I wouldn't have requested an unorthodox
3	location, but since I have to move, I don't want to
4	move west toward that dry hole.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: Of course, probably that
6	location, you're proposed location, is more heavily
7	drained from No. 1 than the well on the other side of
8	the highline.
9	THE WITNESS: You say the proposed
10	location the unorthodox?
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
12	THE WITNESS: No, it would be less drain,
13	because like I said
14	HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's closer to
15	No. 1, so it's been more influenced by well No. 1 than
16	by any other wells.
17	THE WITNESS: It will be
18	HEARING EXAMINER: It already has been.
19	THE WITNESS: the unorthodox location.
20	You mean that area has been drained more?
21	HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
22	THE WITNESS: It has except, as I've said,
23	that upper pay has not been treated in any way.
24	HEARING EXAMINER: Right, I understand.

MR. STOVALL: Let me interrupt,

1 Mr. Examiner. 2 What about the number two? What was the 3 record on that, as far as the treating and fracking of that --5 THE WITNESS: It was shot and drilled -- as I mentioned in my letter in Exhibit 2, No. 2 drilled 6 in 1949, shot with nitroglycerine and cleaned out, 7 8 tested, shut down, and plugged in 1950. MR. STOVALL: Do you have a record of 9 10 whatever stimulation or completion --THE WITNESS: It was shot with 11 12 nitroglycerine in the upper pay and never produced. The lower pay didn't -- wasn't shot, wasn't treated in 13 14 any way. 15 MR. STOVALL: And lower pay is what the 16 No. 1 is producing from; is that correct? 17 THE WITNESS: That's the only one that's producing. It is producing from the lower pay and 18 19 that's the only one it's producing from. Shot with nitroglycerine. The others wells on -- of the four, 20 21 the other three were -- both pays were treated -- were 22 fracked.

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

information on the other wells in the West 1/2 of that

MR. STOVALL: Mr. Jeffers, do you have any

23

24

25

section?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I'm sorry I
2	didn't bring them with me. I have the cumulative
3	production. They are about like mine. I'm sorry. I
4	don't have that information with me.
5	MR. STOVALL: Do you have any sort of
6	structure map or isopach or any geological mapping of
7	that?
8	THE WITNESS: I have a structure map on top
9	of San Andres prepared for me by a geologist in
10	Roswell, but it didn't break through to me that it was
11	pertinent to this case.
12	MR. STOVALL: Does it cover this section?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. The general
14	regional dip to the east and southeast, and a little
15	nosing there through that 40 in the Northeast of the
16	Southwest.
17	MR. STOVALL: Mr. Jeffers, you are not a
18	geologist yourself; is that correct?
19	THE WITNESS: No, I am not.
20	MR. STOVALL: Nor are you an engineer; is
21	that correct?
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	HEARING EXAMINER: Have you made any
24	arrangements at all with the surface owner?
25	THE WITNESS: No. No, I haven't tried to

1	contact them. I guess I assumed because over the
2	years as we got rid of ready to drill these wells, we
3	just applied for a permit. We didn't make any effort
4	to contact surface owners, no one.
5	HEARING EXAMINER: You might check with the
6	Land Office down below and see who is the lessee or
7	the surface owner in there if that is not state
8	ownership.
9	THE WITNESS: I've always assumed it was
10	state, but I certainly will check.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: You will need to make
12	arrangements with them for access to build your road
13	and
14	THE WITNESS: Well, this location comes
15	right in the middle of an existing road.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, it does?
17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry it doesn't show on
18	that.
19	HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I see a faint trace
20	of a road.
21	THE WITNESS: It's right in the middle of
22	an existing road. It won't require any new roads to

HEARING EXAMINER: How about your damages

be built at all. Because the roads that have been

serving these wells over the years are there.

23

24

1 for your well location and that sort of thing? THE WITNESS: We aren't -- the people I 2 work for or none the neighbors -- I've never heard of 3 of it in that area. I don't know why. HEARING EXAMINER: I never heard of anybody drilling a well that didn't have to get an agreement 6 7 with the surface owner and pay them damages. THE WITNESS: When these wells were 8 drilled, they were small -- years ago, all small 9 10 operators and all knew one and other, and the company I worked for made no effort and no one else out there 11 12 ever did in this area. 13 HEARING EXAMINER: That you know of. 14 THE WITNESS: And has never been mentioned. 15 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Jeffers, have you been 16 actively drilling in the area recently? 17 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. I have not. This well in the Southeast, Southeast that was drilled 18 three or four years ago, was the only new well that I 19 20 know of being drilled except there are two deep gas 21 wells there, and I haven't -- don't know anything about them. 22 23 MR. STOVALL: Have you been active anywhere 24 in the area? Are these the only properties you 25 operate?

1 THE WITNESS: No. I have some in Section 15 to the south -- 15 and 22 -- and I drilled a well 2 there in 83, and I didn't contact a surface owner. 3 MR. STOVALL: Do you know whose land that Was that state land or fee land? 5 was on? State land. Oh, I would have -- if it Α. 6 hadn't been state, I would have been aware of the 7 necessity of doing something. And the other 8 operators -- there are several wells drilled in around 9 10 where I drilled that one in 15 -- 22 and 22. know the people that drilled them, and no one has 11 12 every mentioned or thought about surface damages. MR. STOVALL: We're not suggesting that 13 it's a requirement of this Division, of course, that 14 15 you pay damages, but I think, just as a matter of 16 interest, I think that the surface owner is entitled to know that you are going on his land. 17 18 But back to your application. In terms of what we're concerned with, you say you do have some 19 20 geological mapping showing this area, showing the San Andres structure? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I had Case, 22 23 Low & Becker in Roswell, geology firm, make it up. 24 MR. STOVALL: Did that have any input into 25 any part of your consideration of picking the

1 | location?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE WITNESS: No, that's the only -- if I drill that area at all, it's just about equal to a 3 five spot, and that's the only thing that might pay off. And the fact that upper pay in No. 1 was never 5 treated is really the encouragement. Because it's 6 7 been drained in the other well to where it was 8 treated. It's not a real hot prospect any way, but I think, and the geologist said that he thought it would 9 10 be commercial.

MR. STOVALL: Have you thought of drilling a well in the Northwest of the Southeast to perhaps stimulate that upper zone?

THE WITNESS: Well, it would offset that well, that dry hole 330 feet from the line in the Northeast of the Southeast. And I worked on that well.

MR. STOVALL: Which one are you talking about?

THE WITNESS: In the Northeast of the Southeast, in the northwest 10 acres of that 40 there's a plugged, dry and abandoned well.

MR. STOVALL: I guess I don't see that?

THE WITNESS: On Exhibit 1 on that map.

HEARING EXAMINER: I don't see a well in

the Northeast of the Southeast. 1 MR. STOVALL: I don't see a well. 2 3 I see one marked in the Southeast of the Southeast. 4 5 You know where that writing is and those numbers, is that where you're pointing? 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Does that say -- what 8 does that say? 9 THE WITNESS: That's on that topo map. 10 MR. STOVALL: Do you know anything about that well? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I worked on it. It was 13 drilled and shot in about 48 or 9. It didn't produce anything. Whatever that -- I don't remember what it 14 15 says, but it says the same as this one says. 16 HEARING EXAMINER: I thought that said 17 "windmill." 18 THE WITNESS: Inactive. 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. THE WITNESS: On the topo map that's their 20 21 word for dry hole. 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I see. 23 THE WITNESS: See, there is my -- see that 24 is my No. 2. 25 HEARING EXAMINER: It's the same one on

1 | your No. 2.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's my No. 2 in this one. I worked on both of those and tried to produce them, pump them. Would you rather trade maps?

Is your No. 1 in such a condition that you can't stimulate that zone in that well?

HEARING EXAMINER: No, that's all right.

THE WITNESS: 7 inch casing to set it was run in there in 47 and was set at 2200 feet, and the upper pay is 2500 and 2550. So it we had attempted a formation packer or something which is not hardly ever successful, I understand. The bottom pay is mentioned over here on Exhibit 2. Well, it don't say, but it was shot -- the bottom pay is 2700 to 2750.

HEARING EXAMINER: And that's the one that was shot?

THE WITNESS: Shot with nitroglycerine. At those feet depth, 2700-2750. The upper pay is 2500-2550, and it's open formation, and it has been all these years. Now, I wouldn't try to treat it with a formation packer. And the oil casing in the hole wouldn't stand much pressure trying to load hole on top of the packer.

HEARING EXAMINER: If my figures are right you are 188 feet from the proration unit boundary.

1	Would you agree with that?
2	THE WITNESS: I figured it. I don't
3	remember it right now, but it seems like that was
4	about it. But it was 142 from 330. I allowed 35 feet
5	west of the highline and 7 feet for the highline and
6	then 100 feet more for the rig. Total of 142.
7	If I thought it was possible to treat that
8	upper section in that No. 1 well, I would treat it
9	instead of drilling a new well.
10	HEARING EXAMINER: Could you send me a copy
11	of the geologic map from who you say, Case, Low &
12	Becker?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I sure will. I'm
14	sorry I didn't think to bring it.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: I'd like to have that in
16	the record.
17	MR. STOVALL: How about data from the other
18	wells in the West 1/2, could you provide that as well?
19	THE WITNESS: Cumulative production on the
20	other wells in that Section 2?
21	MR. STOVALL: And what zones they are
22	producing from.
23	THE WITNESS: They are all producing from
24	the same zones, I can tell you that, but I will show

that or indicate it in my letter. You would like the

1	total production from the other wells in Section 2; is
2	that right?
3	MR. STOVALL: Yes.
4	THE WITNESS: I'll be glad to do that.
5	MR. STOVALL: And current rate of
6	production, excuse me. Have you got of the current
7	rates available, or would you check the records on
8	that?
9	THE WITNESS: The only way you can show
10	that, you have that cumulative production sheet that
11	shows it. The allowable sheet just comes out
12	doesn't come out every what is it, once a year now?
13	HEARING EXAMINER: Six months.
14	THE WITNESS: Six months.
15	MR. STOVALL: Well allowable doesn't
16	necessarily tell you anything.
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	MR. STOVALL: So you'd have to check the
19	THE WITNESS: I have them at home. I've
20	been over it many a time. The cumulative production
21	for the per year and per month from C-115s, of oil,
22	water, and gas. I can send at that.
23	MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, I recommend
24	that we keep this case open and continue it to or
25	adjourn it to the November 15th hearing and allow

1	mr. Jeffers to provide the additional information
2	you've requested.
3	HEARING EXAMINER: All right. If you can
4	provide us that information, we will continue the case
5	until the 15th. And if there is no appearance at that
6	time, then we can act on the application.
7	THE WITNESS: Are you saying mail this to
8	you, or should I show up November 15?
9	HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, would you you
10	can mail it to us.
11	MR. STOVALL: Why don't you mail it to us,
12	and then if we feel you need to appear, we'll contact
13	you and let you know.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	HEARING EXAMINER: We will continue this
16	case until the November 15th hearing for entry of any
17	other testimony or exhibits at that time, and then we
18	will take the case under consideration after that.
19	
20	I do honory constitution for consing in
21	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
22	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9800. heard by me on Moumber 1 1989.
23	Oil Conservation Division
24	Oil Conservation Division
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss.
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Diana Abeyta, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	
18	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 3, 1990.
19	
20	
21	DEANA ABEYTA
22	CSR No. 267
23	My commission expires: May 7, 1993
24	
25	

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	
6	
7	EXAMINER HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of W. E. Jeffers Case 9800
l <b>2</b>	an unorthodox oil well location,
13	Eddy County, New Mexico
14	
15	
l 6	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
1 8	
19	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
2 0	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
2 2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	November 15, 1989
2 4	
2 5	CRIGINAL

1				A	P	P	E	A	R	A	N	С	Е	s						
2																				
3	FOR	THE	DIVISI	ON:				ROE								ı				
4							]	Att	ra 1	. (	Cou	ins	se]	. 1	.0	th	e I	)iv	iso	n
5								Sar	ta	e 1	:е,	la , l	veV	. ⊥ . √ I	Me z	kic	0	ldi	пĢ	
6																				
7																				
8																				
9																				
10																				
11																				
12																				
13																				
14																				
15																				
16																				
17																				
18																				
19	į																			
20																				
21	•																			
22	;																			
23																				
24	:																			

1	HEARING EXAMINER: At this time we'll call
2	Case 9800.
3	MR. STOVALL: Application of W. E. Jeffers
4	for an unorthodox oil well location, Eddy County, New
5	Mexico.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: This case was heard on
7	November 1, 1989, and the record was left open for
8	additional evidence or testimony to be presented at
9	this time.
10	Is there, in fact, any additional evidence
11	or testimony? If not, this case will be taken under
12	advisement at this time.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
4	) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Deborah O'Bine, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL November 25, 1989.
18	Debrerak Bure
19	DEBORAH O'BINE CSR No. 127
20	
21	My commission expires: August 10, 1990
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
23	d complete record of the predicated to
24	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9800 heard by me on Karember 15 1988
25	David R. Catarul Evaminor
	Oil Conservation Division