1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 9835
5	
6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	
10	Application Grand Production Company
11	for Compulsory Pooling
12	Lea County, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	
17	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
18	
19	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
20	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
21	November 29, 1989
22	
23	A b a a a a a a a a a a
24	ORIGINAL
25	54

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

1				A	P	P	E	A	R	A	N	С	E	S										
2																								
3	FOR	THE	DIVISIO	N C	:						ER1							L						
4									Lε	e q	al te	C	our	1S	e]	L	tο) :	t h	e	D i l	iv	i;	son
5									Sa	an.	ta	F	€,	N	ew	v :	Мe	X	ic	0		u 1	. 11 '	9
6	FOR	THE	APPLICA	A N :	Г:						LIA pbe													
7									Ρ(os:	t ()f 1	Eio	: e	E	30	X	2:	2 0	8		2.2	0	8
8											-	- `	- ,		••						•			•
9																								
10																								
11																								
12																								
13																								
14																								
15																								
16																								
17																								
18																								
19																								
20																								
21																								
22																								
23																								
24																								
25																								

1	I N D E X	
2	Page	Number
3	Appearances	2
4	JOHN F. VAUGHN	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Direct Examination by Hearing Examiner	4 17
6	Certificate of Reporter	20
7	E X H I B I T S	20
8		
9	GRAND PRODUCTION EXHIBITS:	_
10	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	6 10
11	Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	10 14
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Call this hearing to
- 2 order. We'll call the next case, No. 9835, which is
- 3 the the application of Grand Production Company for
- 4 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Call for
- 5 appearances.
- 6 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my
- 7 name is William F. Carr with the law firm of Campbell
- 8 & Black P.A., of Santa Fe. We represent Grand
- 9 Production Company, and I have one witness.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other
- 11 appearances in this matter?
- Will the witness please stand to be sworn,
- 13 and raise your right hand.
- 14 JOHN F. VAUGHN
- 15 Called as a witness herein, after having been first
- 16 duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows:
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. CARR:
- 19 Q. Will you state your full name for the
- 20 record, please.
- 21 A. John F. Vaughn.
- Q. Mr. Vaughn, where do you reside?
- 23 A. Edmond, Oklahoma.
- Q. By whom are you employed and in what
- 25 capacity?

- 1 A. I'm land manager for Grand Production
- 2 Company.
- 3 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 4 Oil Conservation Division?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Would you summarize for Mr. Stogner your
- 7 educational background, and then review your work
- 8 experience.
- 9 A. I received a Bachelor of Business
- 10 Administration from the University of Oklahoma with a
- 11 major in petroleum land management.
- 12 I also received a Master's of Business
- 13 Administration from the University of Oklahoma.
- I have been employed as a landman since
- 15 1980. I have been to various--several different
- 16 companies. I have been land manager for Grand since
- 17 1987.
- 18 Q. Does your area of responsibility include
- 19 that portion of southeastern New Mexico which is
- 20 involved in this case?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the application filed
- 23 in this case on behalf of Grand Production Company?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. You're familiar with the subject area?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications
- 3 acceptable?
- 4 HEARING EXAMINER: They are.
- 5 Q. Would you briefly state what Grand
- 6 Production Company seeks with this application.
- 7 A. We seek an order pooling all minimal
- 8 interests from the surface to the base of the Atoka
- 9 Formation underlying the east half, southeast, of
- 10 Section 10, Township 17 South, Range 37 East, Lea
- 11 County, New Mexico.
- 12 Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for
- 13 presentation today?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you refer to what has been marked for
- 16 identification as Grand Production Exhibit 1.
- 17 Identify this and review the information that is
- 18 contained on this exhibit.
- 19 A. This is a map of a four-section area,
- 20 including Sections 10, 11, 14 and 15 of 17 South, 37
- 21 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- On the map, every well that has been
- 23 drilled in those four sections has been identified on
- 24 the map. Producing wells are color-coded by the type
- 25 of production they are currently producing at or were

- 1 last producing at at the time that they were plugged
- 2 and abandoned.
- 3 Plugged producing wells are identified by a
- 4 slash, and the dry holes are identified by the normal
- 5 dry-hole symbol.
- 6 O. Now, the area that is shaded on this
- 7 exhibit is the spacing unit that you propose to
- 8 dedicate to this well, is that correct?
- 9 A. That is correct, and our proposed well is
- 10 identified in the southeast to the southeast quarter
- 11 of Section 10 by the small circle.
- 12 Q. What is the primary objective in the
- 13 proposed well?
- 14 A. The Strawn Formation.
- 15 Q. If you could, Mr. Vaughn, I'd like you to
- 16 start with the well due east of the proposed location
- 17 and would you go around each of the wells that
- 18 surround the proposed location and identify what zone
- 19 they were completed in or completion was attempted in,
- 20 and advise Mr. Stogner as to the current status of
- 21 each of those wells in the Strawn formation.
- 22 A. The well immediately to the east was
- 23 drilled by Sohio, now BP Exploration. It was plugged
- 24 and abandoned in March of 1988, and it was drilled as
- 25 a Strawn test and it was plugged after logging.

- l Q. Was it dry in the Strawn?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go south of there.
- A. South of there are two wells. The well to
- 5 the northwest was drilled first. It was drilled in
- 6 1974. It was a Strawn test. It was plugged and
- 7 abandoned after mechanical failures in the hole. It
- 8 was drilled by Harding Oil Company.
- 9 Several years later Harding attempted a
- 10 sidetrack of that hole. Again, it was a Strawn test.
- 11 It was plugged in March of 1978. It was drilled
- 12 through the Strawn but was deemed to be not worthy of
- 13 a completion.
- 14 Immediately south of our proposed well was
- 15 a well drilled by Mesa. It was drilled to the Strawn
- 16 formation. It was plugged and abandoned in May of
- 17 1974. Again there it was drilled and logged and not
- 18 completed.
- To the southwest, a well was drilled to the
- 20 Strawn formation. It was, actually, completed in the
- 21 Strawn formation. However, the production obtained
- 22 after the completion was deemed non-commercial by the
- 23 operator and in November of 1986 it was plugged and
- 24 abandoned.
- The well immediately to the west was

- 1 drilled. It was a Strawn producing well. It was
- 2 plugged and abandoned in 1975.
- 3 The well to the northwest is shown on this
- 4 as being an Abo producer. It was drilled to the
- 5 Strawn formation and did produce for some time from
- 6 the Strawn formation but was later plugged back, and
- 7 is now just producing out of the Abo formation.
- 8 Immediately north of our proposed
- 9 location--
- 10 Q. And this is on this same proration unit
- 11 that you plan to dedicate to this well, is that right?
- 12 A. That is correct. There was a well that was
- 13 a Strawn producing well. It was plugged and abandoned
- 14 in 1976.
- 15 O. What about the well off to the northeast of
- 16 the proposed location, the orange circle with the
- 17 slash?
- 18 A. Okay. That was a well drilled by Mesa
- 19 Petroleum. It did penetrate the Strawn formation;
- 20 however, no completion was attempted. It was an Abo
- 21 producer but has now been plugged and abandoned.
- Q. The proposed location is offset directly
- 23 and diagonally by eight wells that either were dry in
- 24 the Strawn or have been plugged and abandoned in the
- 25 Strawn, is that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Do the exhibits that you've presented
- 3 contain an ownership breakdown in the proposed spacing
- 4 and proration unit?
- 5 A. Yes. On Exhibit 2, pages 2 and 3, there is
- 6 a description of the unit ownership. Grand Production
- 7 Company is shown on this exhibit as owning an 80.5
- 8 percent working interest. The remaining interest
- 9 owners were assignees of a company that drilled a well
- 10 here several years ago. They all owned fairly small
- 11 interests.
- 12 Since this has been compiled, we have been
- 13 continually trying to make contacts with as many of
- 14 these as we could locate and have come to arrangements
- 15 with some of them to acquire their interest.
- 16 Q. All right. We'll come back to that. Would
- 17 you identify what has been marked as Grand Production
- 18 Exhibit No. 3, please.
- 19 A. That's our AFE for the drilling of this
- 20 well.
- 21 Q. Could you review the totals of both dry
- 22 hole and completed well costs?
- A. Estimated dry-hole costs of \$385,800;
- 24 estimated completed-for-production well of \$650,640.
- 25 Q. Are these costs in line with what has been

- 1 charged by other operators in this area for similar
- 2 wells?
- 3 A. Yes. In fact, we have reviewed AFE's from
- 4 other companies, and primarily from BP Exploration,
- 5 who we acquired our interest in this unit and several
- 6 other units in this area, and our AFE's are lower than
- 7 what they had AFE'd these wells for.
- 8 Q. When was this interest acquired from BP?
- 9 A. In September of 1989.
- 10 Q. What are the drilling requirements that are
- 11 imposed on Grand Production Company, as part of this
- 12 acquisition of interest?
- 13 A. It was a multi-unit farmout agreement
- 14 covering several units in this general area, and the
- 15 farmout requires that Grand commence at least two of
- 16 the wells prior to December 31, 1989.
- 17 Q. Is this one of the wells you hope to at
- 18 least spud by that time?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would you review for Mr. Stogner the
- 21 efforts you have made to locate those interest owners
- 22 who are subject to this pooling case today?
- 23 A. When we executed the farmout agreement with
- 24 BP, they provided us with copies of what they felt
- 25 were pertinent portions of their land files, their

- 1 ownership reports and other data.
- 2 At that time I reviewed all the data,
- 3 requested additional information from the landman in
- 4 charge of this prospect at BP. I made a personal
- 5 examination of the records in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 6 I have contacted the company--as I mentioned
- 7 previously, all of these interest owners, except for
- 8 Grand, were assignees of Harding Oil Company. Each of
- 9 the parties received their interest on one assignment
- 10 that is of record in Lea County.
- I contacted Harding Oil to obtain updated
- 12 addresses on all these individuals. I, through my
- 13 discoveries, I've also, when I talked to parties who
- 14 were owners, I asked them for addresses and then
- 15 located owners at that point. I was successful in
- 16 getting several of them at that time.
- 17 I've also been in contact with an
- 18 ex-employee of Harding Oil that now has his own oil
- 19 company and deals on a day-to-day basis with several
- 20 of these parties, and he was able to supply some
- 21 additional addresses, also.
- 22 Q. Is the first page of Exhibit No. 2 the
- 23 letter that Grand Production sent to each of the
- 24 owners that you were able to locate?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. Have you been in contact with any of these
- 2 owners since that time?
- A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. What has been the result of the subsequent
- 5 contacts?
- 6 A. In a general statement, none of the parties
- 7 have indicated any real interest in participating in
- 8 the well. All their interests are relatively small.
- 9 They are not active oil companies or active--in other
- 10 words, they were generally passive investors and, for
- 11 the most part, each individual has either assigned his
- 12 interest to Grand for cash or in reservation of the
- 13 override.
- 14 Q. Have you been able to acquire interest by
- 15 some of these individuals by assignment of your recent
- 16 contacts?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Can you identify those individuals for the
- 19 Examiner?
- 20 A. Yes. On the second page, Ronald Stepanek,
- 21 we have received an executed assignment. There are
- 22 two other parties that we have verbally agreed on
- 23 terms and we've sent them assignments for their
- 24 execution, those parties being Eugene Fresch and the
- 25 Estate of Walton E. Frederick.

- 1 I've also been in contact with H. J.
- 2 Zeigenthaler, and also with a party who represented to
- 3 me that he, more or less, acted as his advisor, and he
- 4 advised him not to participate in the well and to sell
- 5 his interest to us.
- 6 Q. At the present time, though, the only
- 7 additional interest you have in hand is Ronald E.
- 8 Stepanek, is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. At this time Grand Production Company
- 11 represents--
- 12 A. 81.25 percent.
- 13 Q. On the last page of Exhibit 2 there's some
- 14 owners that are listed as unlocatable. In your
- 15 opinion, have you made a good-faith effort to locate
- 16 each of these individuals and provide them with notice
- 17 of this hearing, and attempted to bring them in
- 18 voluntarily?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Could you identify what has been marked as
- 21 Exhibit No. 4.
- 22 A. That is an affidavit executed by yourself,
- 23 indicating that each of the respondents has been
- 24 notified for this hearing.
- Q. Attached to this, are there copies of

- 1 notice letters and return receipts?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Does Grand Production request that a
- 4 penalty be assessed against any non-consenting
- 5 interest owner in this project?
- A. Yes, we do. We recommend a 200-percent
- 7 penalty, based on the fact that Exhibit 1 has shown
- 8 that the well is virtually surrounded by either dry
- 9 holes or no longer producing Strawn wells.
- 10 Q. Is it your hope to be able to complete in
- ll the same interval as the offsetting wells that have
- 12 produced?
- 13 A. Yes, but it's also Grand's belief that
- 14 there may be a lower bank to the Strawn that might be
- 15 productive at that location.
- 16 Q. Do you believe there's a chance that you
- 17 could drill a well at the proposed location that would
- 18 not be a commercial success?
- 19 A. Yes, there's that potential.
- 20 Q. Have you made an estimation of overhead and
- 21 administrative costs and also producing it, if it's
- 22 successful?
- 23 A. Yes. We recommend an overhead rate of
- 24 \$5,000 a month while drilling and \$500 a month for
- 25 producing the well.

- 1 Q. Do you recommend these charges be
- 2 incorporated into any order which results from this
- 3 hearing?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Are these figures in line with what is
- 6 being charged by other operators in the immediate
- 7 area?
- 8 A. Yes, they are.
- 9 Q. Does Grand Production Company seek to be
- 10 designated as operator of the proposed well?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Will granting this application be in the
- 13 best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste
- 14 and the protection of correlative rights?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Does Grand request that, to the extent
- 17 possible, the order in this matter be expedited?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 either prepared
- 20 by you or compiled under your direction and
- 21 supervision?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we
- 24 would move the admission of Grand Production Company
- 25 Exhibits 1 through 4.

- 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 4
- 2 will be admitted into evidence.
- 3 MR. CARR: That concludes my direct
- 4 examination of Mr. Vaughn.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. STOGNER:
- 7 Q. On Exhibit 1, you were, essentially,
- 8 surrounded by dry holes in this Strawn, is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And Exhibit No. 3, that is your AFE, and
- 12 this well will be drilled to 11,600 feet?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. At a standard location for this pool, is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And Exhibit 2, was this the initial contact
- 18 with all these parties?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. When did Grand Production Company take over
- 21 the VHP interest?
- 22 A. It's BP interest.
- Q. I'm sorry, BP interest.
- 24 A. The farmout was executed September 25,
- 25 1989. It took some time to get the files and the

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

- 1 necessary information from BP. I made a trip to
- 2 Lovington to make an update of their ownerships, and
- 3 that's the reason for the time between the time the
- 4 farmout was executed and when our proposal letter went
- 5 out.
- 6 Q. So between September 25, 1989, and November
- 7 1, you were going through the Lea County records?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You probably had not gotten all of BP's
- 10 paperwork?
- 11 A. Well, what they sent us was what they
- 12 thought was relevant at that point. After my trip to
- 13 Lovington and my contact with some of the other
- 14 interest owners in there, I made further requests for
- 15 information from them.
- 16 Q. When does this lease run out?
- 17 A. The farmout, there's no lease expiration.
- 18 The farmout provides that we commence two wells on the
- 19 farmout area by December 31, 1989.
- Q. When do you propose to spud a well out
- 21 here?
- A. We anticipate spudding it prior to December
- 23 31st.
- 24 Q. Has Grand got a rig lined out and ready to
- 25 go?

- 1 A. Our engineer has contacted several drilling
- 2 contractors in the area and is currently working
- 3 towards that end, yes.
- 4 Q. So you all haven't even taken bids yet on a
- 5 drilling rig?
- 6 A. Not specific bids, no.
- 7 Q. Let me reask this question: When will
- 8 Grand Production Company be ready to spud a well out
- 9 here?
- 10 A. Well, primarily the delay has been the land
- ll function and that is getting it in a manner ready to
- 12 go. Our engineer is in Hobbs and Lovington this week
- 13 negotiating and contacting various service companies
- 14 and drilling contractors, and we're doing everything
- 15 possible to get a rig on there by the end of the
- 16 year.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER: I have no other
- 18 questions of this witness at this time. Do you have
- 19 anything else, Mr. Carr?
- MR. CARR: Nothing further, Mr. Stogner.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Does anybody else have
- 22 anything further in Case 9835? This case will be
- 23 taken under advisement.

24

25

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Carla Diane Rodriguez Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
8	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
9	the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that
10	I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 11, 1989
18	Cada Cun Leduras
19	CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ CSR No. 91
20	
21	My commission expires: May 25, 1991
22	
23	6 C24 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
24	100 000 00 00 00 24 Mornher 83
25	Mahall Stegmen, W. S. C.
	Of Concervation Division

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244