1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 9863, CASE 9887 (CONSOLIDATED)
5	
6	
7	
8	EXAMINER HEARING
9	
10	IN THE MATTER OF:
11	
12	Application of Hixon Development Company for
13	Compulsory Pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico
14	
15	Application of Hixon Development Company for
16	Compulsory Pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico
17	
18	
19	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
22	
23	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
24	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
25	March 21, 1990

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

APPEARANCES FOR THE DIVISION: ROBERT G. STOVALL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Divison State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico FOR THE APPLICANT: B. TOMMY ROBERTS, ESQ. Post Office Box 1020 Farmington, New Mexico 87499

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

1	INDEX	
2	Page N	Number
3	Appearances	2
4	CHARLES FOSTER	
5	Examination by Mr. Roberts Examination by Hearing Examiner	5 17
6	Examination by Mr. Stovall	19
7	JOHN CORBETT	
8	Examination by Mr. Roberts Examination by Hearing Examiner	2 0 3 2
9	Examination by Mr. Stovall .	35
10	Certificate of Reporter	38
11	EXHIBITS	
12	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS:	
13	CASE NO. 9863 PAGE CASE NO. 9887	PAGE
14	Exhibit 1 6 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 9 Exhibit 2	8 12
15	Exhibit 2 9 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 14 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4 15 Exhibit 4	15 16
16	Exhibit 5 23 Exhibit 5 Exhibit 6 24 Exhibit 6	23 26
17	Exhibit 7 27 Exhibit 7 Exhibit 8 28 Exhibit 8	28 29
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244	

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No. 2 9863. 3 MR. STOVALL: Application of Hixon Development Corporation for compulsory pooling, San 4 5 Juan County, New Mexico. 6 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances. 7 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Examiner, my name is 8 Tommy Roberts. I'm an attorney in Farmington, New 9 Mexico. I'm appearing on behalf of the Applicant. Wе 10 have two witnesses to be sworn. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any additional 12 appearances? If not, will the witnesses please stand 13 to be sworn at this time. 14 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Roberts, are you doing 15 to--16 MR. ROBERTS: I was going to, but I'll go 17 ahead and do it now. 18 Mr. Examiner, we would move at this time 19 that Case Nos. 9863 and 9887 be consolidated today for 20 purposes of testimony. 21 EXAMINER STOGNER: If there are no 22 objections, we'll now call Case No. 9887. 23 MR. STOVALL: Application of Hixon 24 Development Company for compulsory pooling, San Juan 25 County, New Mexico.

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Other than the
2	Applicant, are there any appearances in this case?
3	All right. Now we can swear the witnesses.
4	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
5	CHARLES FOSTER
6	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
7	upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. ROBERTS:
L O	Q. Mr. Foster, would you state your name and
Ll	place of residence for the record.
l 2	A. My name is Charles Foster, and I live in
L 3	Durango, Colorado.
L 4	Q. What is your occupation?
L 5	A. Vice-president of land for Hixon
l 6	Development Company.
L 7	Q. How long have you been so employed?
18	A. I have been employed with Hixon Development
19	Company since January of 1984.
2 0	Q. Briefly describe your responsibilities in
21	that position.
22	A. My responsibilities are for the management
2 3	and negotiation for their oil and gas leases, farm-out
2 4	contracts, operating agreements, et cetera.
2 5	Q. Have you testified on any prior occasion

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

- 1 | before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 Q. In what capacity did you testify?
- A. As petroleum landman for Hixon Development Company.
- Q. Have your qualifications as an expert in the field of petroleum land work been made a matter of record and accepted by the Division?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Are you familiar with the applications in these two cases?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Did you prepare certain exhibits for each of these cases to be submitted today?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Examiner, I would ask
- 17 | that Mr. Foster's qualifications as an expert
- 18 petroleum landman be recognized.
- 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Foster is so
- 20 qualified.

- Q. Mr. Foster, refer to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1 in Case No. 9863, and describe that
- 23 exhibit, please.
- A. This exhibit indicates the acres that we want to commit to the drilling of our proposed Bisti

1 | Coal 7 No. 1 Well in San Juan County, New Mexico.

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

2.5

- Q. Before we go into detail on the exhibit,
 would you briefly describe the purpose of these two
 applications?
 - A. The purpose of these two applications is to secure an Order from the Commission to pool all the mineral interests in the Basin Fruitland Gas Pool that underlie the lands covered by the two exhibits.
 - Q. Referring to Exhibit 1 in Case No. 9863, what lands do you seek to force pool?
- 11 A. In Case No. 9863, we want to force pool
 12 Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the east half of the west half.
 - Q. Can you describe the ownership of the leases which will be pooled, as well as the ownership interests in the spacing unit which is proposed?
 - A. We want to pool all of the interests there. The two interests, 50 percent of the proration unit is owned by Hixon Development Company, et al., the other 50 percent is controlled by Sun Operating Limited Partnership.
- Q. What is the location of the Bisti Coal 7
 No. 1 Well?
 - A. The proposed location for the Bisti Coal 7
 No. 1 Well will be 2375 from the south line, 1520 from
 the west line of Section 7, Township 25 North, Range

1 | 12 West.

3

4

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. What is the objective depth of that well?
 - A. The objective is 1400 feet subsurface.
 - Q. In what formation will that total depth place you?
- A. It will put us in the Fruitland Coal formation.
 - Q. Is it Sun Operating Limited Partnership that is the party who you seek to pool in this case?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Now refer to Exhibit 1 in Case No. 9887.
 12 Would you identify that exhibit.
 - A. This exhibit indicates all of the lands that we wish to have contained within the proration unit pooling all of the mineral interests together for the drilling of the proposed Bisti Coal 17 No. 1 Well.
 - Q. Would you describe those lands which you seek to pool?
 - A. Those lands are described as the east half of Section 17, Township 25 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- Q. Identify the lease ownership as well as the ownership of the interest in the spacing unit which you propose?
- 25 A. The lease ownership insofar as it covers

- 1 the north half of the northeast quarter and the
- 2 | southeast of the northeast quarter is owned by Sun
- 3 Operating Limited Partnership along with the northeast
- 4 quarter of the southeast quarter.
- 5 The balance of the east half of the section
- 6 is owned by Hixon Development Company, et al. Each
- 7 | company controls 50 percent of the total proration
- 8 unit.
- 9 Q. Would you identify the location of the
- 10 Bisti Coal 17 No. 1 Well?
- 11 A. The proposed location for the Bisti Coal 17
- 12 No. 1 Well is 790 feet from the north line, and 790
- 13 | feet from the east line in Section 17, Township 25
- 14 | North, Range 12 West.
- Q. What is the objective depth of this well?
- 16 A. The objective is 1300 feet subsurface.
- Q. And in what formation will that place you?
- 18 A. Within the Fruitland Coal formation.
- 19 Q. Let's turn to what's been marked as Exhibit
- 20 2 in Case No. 9863. Would you identify that exhibit.
- 21 A. Exhibit No. 2 is a letter providing an
- 22 operating agreement and authority for expenditure for
- 23 | the drilling of the Bisti Coal 7 No. 1 Well.
- Q. What is the date of that letter?
- 25 A. The letter is dated December 26, 1989.

1 Q. Who is it addressed to?

5

- A. It's addressed to Ms. Lynn Luhman of Oryx

 Energy Company, the managing general partner for Sun

 Operating Limited Partnership.
 - Q. Describe the substance of that letter.
- A. The substance of the letter indicates our desire to drill a well in the lands covered in Exhibit 1, and places them essentially on notice of our desires and gives them the opportunity to participate in the well if they wish.
 - Q. Have you had any response to that letter?
- 12 A. We have had no written response at all.
- Q. Have you had any verbal communications?
- 14 A. I've had verbal communications with Lynn
 15 Luhman indicating Sun was not going to participate in
 16 our well.
- Q. Let's move on to the operating agreement which is a part of Exhibit No. 2. I take it that Hixon Development Company proposes to operate this well?
- 21 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. Are you familiar with the number of Fruitland Coal wells Hixon has drilled in this general area?
- 25 A. Yes, I am.

- 1 Q. How many have been drilled by Hixon
 2 Development Company?
 - A. Approximately 10 wells.
 - Q. Has Hixon operated each of those wells?
- 5 A. Yes, they have.

4

- Q. Mr. Foster, do you propose charges for the supervision of this particular well during the drilling and production stages?
- 9 A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. What charges do you propose?
- A. During the drilling of the well we propose a monthly drilling well rate of \$3,885. During the producing stage of this well we propose a monthly producing well rate of \$380.
- Q. Are these proposed charges consistent with the charges that you're familiar with in the area?
- 17 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. For the depth of the well, the type of the well and the area?
- 20 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Now refer to that portion of Exhibit No. 2 which is identified as the authority for expenditure.
- 23 A. It's right on the top.
- 24 | Q. Who prepared this AFE?
- A. This AFE was prepared under the supervision

- 1 of John Corbett.
- Q. Are you familiar with the contents of the
- 3 AFE?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Has this AFE been sent to Sun Operating Limited Partnership?
- A. Yes, it was. It was sent along with the operating agreement that we submitted under the cover of the letter at the top of this exhibit.
- Q. What are the projected dry hole costs for the drilling of this well?
- 12 A. Projected dry hole costs are \$31,490.
- Q. What are the projected completed well costs?
 - A. Completed well costs are \$137,140.
- Q. Mr. Foster, let's now turn to what's been marked as Exhibit 2 in Case No. 9887. Will you briefly describe the contents of that exhibit.
- A. Exhibit No. 2 details on the cover a letter sent to Ms. Lynn Luhman of Oryx Energy Company offering them an opportunity to participate in the proposed Bisti Coal 17 No. 1 Well. This letter includes a copy of an operating agreement and an AFE.
- Q. What is the date of this letter to Sun
 Operating Limited Partnership?

- 1 A. This letter is dated February 22, 1990.
- Q. Have you received any response to this communication?
- A. I've received no written response back from 5 Sun.
- Q. Have you had any verbal communication with Ms. Luhman?
- 8 A. Yes, I had verbal communication with Ms.
 9 Luhman, wherein she indicated that Sun was not going
 10 to participate in our well.
- 12 has been made a part of this Exhibit No. 2 in Case No.
 13 9887. Does Hixon Development Company propose to
- 14 operate this well?
- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. Do you propose charges for the supervision of the drilling and production stages?
- 18 A. Yes, we do.
- 19 Q. What charges do you propose?
- A. We propose a monthly drilling well rate of \$3,885 and we have proposed a monthly producing well rate of \$380.
 - Q. Are these proposed rates consistent with rates charged in the area with which you are familiar?
- 25 A. Yes, they are.

- Let's turn to what has been designated as 1 0. 2 an authority for expenditure for the Bisti Coal 17 No. 3 Was this AFE also prepared under the 4 direction of Mr. Corbett of Hixon Development Company?
 - Yes, it was. Α.

6

9

10

15

16

18

- 0. What are the projected dry hole costs?
- 7 Projected dry hole costs in this well are Α. 8 \$22,270.
 - Q. What are the projected completed well costs?
- 11 Α. Completed well costs are \$72,195.
- 12 Mr. Foster, let's turn to what has been Q. marked as Exhibit No. 3 in Case No. 9863. 13 Would you 14 identify that exhibit, please.
- This exhibit is a photocopy of the Ernst & Whinney survey results of oil and gas producers 17 nationwide from 1988, which details the median and average monthly producing well rates and monthly drilling well rates for oil and gas wells in the area 20 that these wells are located.
- 21 Q. Why do you enclose this as an exhibit in 22 this case?
- 23 Our company has used the Ernst & Whinney Α. 24 survey results as the foundation for our proposal for 25 monthly drilling well rates and producing well rates.

- 1 Q. Are your proposed supervisory charges
 2 consistent with these survey results?
 - A. Yes, they are.

proposed in our application.

- 4 Q. Refer to what's been marked as Exhibit No.
- 5 | 3 in Case No. 9887, and identify that exhibit.
- A. Exhibit 3 is a photocopy of the Ernst &

 Whinney survey of oil and gas operators surveying the

 monthly producing well rates and monthly drilling well

 rates for oil and gas wells in the area of the well
- 12 Q. And as with the Exhibit No. 3 in Case No. 12 9863, do you submit this exhibit in support of the
- 13 proposed supervisory charges for the Bisti Coal 17 No.
- 14 | 1 Well?

3

- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. Refer to what's been marked as Exhibit No.
- 17 4 in Case No. 9863 and identify that exhibit.
- A. Exhibit No. 4 is the notification provided to Sun Operating Limited Partnership of the hearing
- 20 | that we're at here today.
- Q. What is the date of that letter?
- 22 A. The letter is dated February 26, 1990.
- Q. Was the letter mailed on February 26, 1990?
- 24 A. The letter was mailed the day after, on
- 25 February 27, 1990.

Q. Do you have evidence of when this letter was received by Sun Operating Limited Partnership?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes. On the last page of the exhibit is an open of the driver's manifest from the Airborne Express Overnight Delivery Service driver. Indicated in the third line is the package number that Sun received from Hixon Development Company. It's indicated as Shipment No. 902344111.
 - Q. And what was the date of receipt?
- A. Date of receipt was February 28, 1990.
- 11 Q. Refer to what has been marked as Exhibit
 12 No. 4 in Case No. 9887, and identify that exhibit.
- A. Exhibit No. 4 is a letter dated February

 14 26, 1990, to Ms. Lynn Luhman of Sun Operating Limited

 15 Partnership, wherein it outlines or provides notice

 16 for the hearing that we're at today.
- Q. Was this letter mailed on February 26, 18 1990?
- 19 A. No. It was mailed on February 27, 1990.
 - Q. Do you have evidence in your possession of the date on which this correspondence was received by Sun Operating Limited Partnership?
 - A. Yes, I do. On the last page of the exhibit is a copy of the driver's manifest from the Airborne Express Delivery driver. Indicated in the third entry

- 1 under Shipment No. 902344111, is the evidence that Sun 2 received it on February 28, 1990.
 - Q. Mr. Foster, were Exhibit Nos. 1 through 4, with the exception of the authority for expenditure which is included with Exhibit No. 2 in each of these cases, either prepared by you or at your direction and under your supervision?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- 9 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Examiner I have no
 10 further questions of this witness on direct, and I
 11 would move for the admission of Exhibits 1 through 4.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 4
- 13 | will be admitted as evidence.
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

4

5

6

7

8

- 16 Q. Mr. Foster, I would like to look at
 17 Exhibits 1 on both cases. Let's first start with Case
 18 No. 9863. I notice that there's two federal leases
 19 involved?
 - A. Yes, there are.
- Q. In the northern portion and the southern
 portion. What is the makeup of the leases in the east
 half of Section 7?
- A. The makeup of the leases in the east half of Section 7 is all acreage controlled by Hixon

Development Company. They are probably federal leases
on that.

- Q. Do you know if those resources on the east side are being developed at this time in the Basin Fruitland Coal?
- A. I believe we have plans to develop them in the future.
 - Q. Same question for Case No. 9887.
 - A. In this situation it's identical as your questions on the first one. We control the west half of that section and we have plans in the future to develop the west half.
 - Q. When you spoke with Ms. Luhman, did she indicate why Oryx was not wanting to join you in this well?
 - A. Oryx being the managing general partner for Sun Operating Limited Partnership, indicated to me that they would or they felt their money was better spent in other areas of the Basin that had higher potential for Fruitland Coal Gas, and that was the same in both of these cases.
 - Q. About when did you speak to Ms. Luhman?
 - A. I would say within two weeks of mailing her the AFE and the operating agreement, sometime within that time period.

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you. Are there 2 any other questions of Mr. Foster? 3 MR. STOVALL: One. EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. STOVALL: 6 Mr. Foster, on the two Exhibit l's, the Q. portions that are not a part of the respective cases, 7 8 are those different leases than the leases that are involved in the proration units? 9 10 I believe they are. I didn't bring a map 11 of the rest of our field there, but this is in the 12 area of our Carson Unit, or excuse me, our Central Bisti Unit, and that's a block of acreage that we 13 14 pretty much control in its entirety. 15 The reason I asked that question, the BLM 0. 16 tends to dislike communitization agreements where a 17 proration unit can be formed on a single lease. Have 18 you reviewed that to make sure that's not going to be 19 a problem with BLM? 20 Α. As far as I know, that's not going to be a 21 problem with them. 22 MR. STOVALL: No further questions. 23 EXAMINER STOGNER: If there are no other 24 questions of Mr. Foster, he may be excused. 25 MR. ROBERTS: I would call John Corbett.

1 JOHN CORBETT the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn 2 3 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ROBERTS: 6 0. Mr. Corbett, would you state your name and 7 place of residence for the record? I reside in 8 My name is John Corbett. 9 Farmington, New Mexico. 10 0. What is your occupation? 11 I'm a petroleum geologist with Hixon Development Company. 12 13 How long have you been employed with Hixon 0. 14 Development Company? 15 Since May of 1983. Α. 16 Briefly describe your responsibilities in 0. 17 that position. I'm responsible for reserves and 18 Α. 19 replacement, including drilling operations. 20 Have you testified on any prior occasion Q. before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division? 21 22 Yes, I have. Α. 23 Q. In what capacity? 24 Α. As a petroleum geologist. 25 Q. Have your qualifications as an expert

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

- petroleum geologist been made a matter of record and
 accepted?
 - A. Yes, they have.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the applications in these cases?
- 6 A. Iam.

- 7 Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for 8 submittal today in these cases?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Examiner, I would ask
 that the Division recognize Mr. Corbett as an expert
 in the field of petroleum geology.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Corbett is so qualified.
- Q. Mr. Corbett, I would like for you to refer back to what was marked as Exhibit 2 in Case No. 9863, and particularly refer to the authority for expenditure. Did you prepare this authority for
- 19 expenditure?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And likewise, in Case No. 9887, Exhibit No. 22 and that portion which consists of the authority for expenditure, did you prepare that exhibit?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Mr. Corbett, I would like for you to

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

briefly describe the basis for the preparation of
these AFEs for these two wells.

- A. We prepare an authority for expenditure for any measured expense, in particular in drilling and completion of new wells, to obtain the approval of all the working interest owners involved in those wells.
- 7 Q. How did you arrive at these estimated 8 costs?
- 9 A. There's a second page attached to the AFE
 10 that is a cost estimate that breaks out, based on
 11 drilling expense, completion expense, location
 12 expense, where we think that the costs are going to be
 13 incurred in drilling a well.
 - Q. Mr. Foster testified that Hixon operates as many as nine other Fruitland Coal gas wells in the general area. Are you familiar with those operations?
 - A. Yes, I am.

3

4

5

6

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you monitored actual costs in drilling and completing those wells?
- A. Yes, I have. These are based on actual costs incurred on previous wells.
 - Q. Okay. In your opinion, are these estimated costs for these two wells, which are the subject of these applications, reasonable?
 - A. Yes, they are.

- Q. And they are consistent with actual historical costs incurred in drilling and completing similar wells in the area?
 - A. They are.

- Q. I would like for you to refer to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 5, please, in Case No. 9863, as well as Case No. 9887. Would you describe that exhibit?
- A. This is a map showing producing oil and gas wells within the vicinity of the area in discussion.
- 11 Q. Are these maps identical in both cases, or 12 is there any difference in them?
 - A. For the two cases, the respective well in question in the force pooling action is different.

 The other wells shown on the map, the offset of Fruitland Coal wells, are the same.
 - Q. Would you highlight the pertinent information which you illustrate in Exhibit No. 5?
 - A. Exhibit No. 5 shows the Basin Fruitland Coal Pool Gas Wells that Hixon Development Company has drilled within the area covered by this map. These are all the wells that I know of producing from the Basin Fruitland Coal pool.
- We have two wells in Section 1 of Township

 25 | 25 North, Range 12 West, that are, at this time,

- l economic to produce. We have three other wells
- 2 | ranging across the southern portion of the Bisti areas
- 3 that are producing large volumes of water and small
- 4 amounts of gas.
- 5 Q. Would you identify the wells you consider
- 6 to be economic to produce at this time?
- A. The commercial wells are the Pete Morrow

 8 Wells No. 1 and No. 2. Both wells are flowing natural

 9 gas from the Fruitland Coal formation.
- 10 Q. Where are they located?
- 11 A. Those are in Section 1 of Township 25
- 12 North, Range 12 West.
- 13 Q. To your knowledge, are there other
- 14 operators active in development of the Fruitland Coal
- 15 formation in this area?
- 16 A. I believe there have been other wells
- 17 recently staked as a result of the commercial success
- 18 of the Pete Morrow Wells No. 1 and No. 2.
- 19 Q. Now, with respect to Exhibit 5 in Case No.
- 20 | 9887, do you have anything to add that is particular
- 21 to the application for the Bisti Coal 17 No. 1 Well?
- 22 A. I don't believe there's anything
- 23 | significantly different in the two cases.
- Q. Okay. Then let's put this exhibit up and
- 25 | refer to what's been marked as Exhibit No. 6 in Case

1 No. 9863. Would you identify that exhibit?

A. This is a section of the induction electric log from Hixon Development Company's Central Bisti Unit No. 97. This well is a direct offset to the proposed Bisti Coal 7 No. 1.

The log section highlights the Fruitland Coal section and is included to illustrate the fact that we have approximately 30 feet of coal section in this area. This is what's expected to appear in the 7 No. 1. It's considerably thinner than the Fruitland Coal in the heart of the productive fairway in the San Juan Basin.

- Q. When you say it's considerably thinner than the Fruitland Coal in the heart of the Basin, can you quantify that?
- A. We have some slightly less than 30 feet of coal section in this area in Section 7 where we've proposed our well. In the areas where other major operators are developing Fruitland Coal, they're encountering sections 60 to 80 feet thick.
- Q. And does this information regarding thickness of the coal form the basis for the assessment of economics of drilling this well?
- A. It does because recoverable reserves are tied directly to the thickness of the coal formation.

We have considerably less coal and we have less
recoverable reserves.

- Q. And have you, on this information, reached a conclusion as to the economics of drilling this particular well?
- A. We feel that this can be an economic project if the well produces either very little water or dew waters rapidly.
- Q. Do you have any information available to you now that would indicate the amount of water that you might encounter in the drilling of this well?
- A. In areas as illustrated in Exhibit 5, across the Bisti area we have wells that have produced significant quantities of water relative to the amount of gas they've produced. We do have one lease that is producing very little water. This area is of relatively unknown quantity.
- Q. Let's refer to what's been marked as Exhibit 6 in Case No. 9887. Would you identify that exhibit?
- A. This is a section of an electric log from a well that Hixon Development currently operates. The Central Bisti Unit No. 42. The section of the log shown here illustrates and highlights the Fruitland Coal Section in that well.

- 1 Q. And what does this show the thickness of 2 the coal to be?
 - A. We have slightly over 30 feet of coal thickness in this well.
 - Q. Mr. Corbett, would it be fair to say you have the same comments and observations regarding the information on Exhibit 6 in Case No. 9887 as you had for Exhibit 6 in Case No. 9863?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

- Q. Let's refer to what's been marked as

 Exhibit No. 7 in Case No. 9863. Please identify that
 exhibit.
 - A. This is a Fruitland Formation Net Coal Thickness Isopach Map taken from Kelso and Wicks and published by the Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists and the Southeastern Union Coal-Bed Methane Symposium.
- 18 Q. Is the proposed location of Visti Coal 7
 19 No. 1 Well highlighted on this map?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. How is it highlighted?
- A. There's a red dot placed over the area of the Visti Coal 7 No. 1.
- Q. Have you been able to draw any conclusions based on the information illustrated in this exhibit

regarding the potential recovery?

A. This is roughly correlative to recovery from the Fruitland Coal. The measure productive fairway north of the San Juan River around, say, the Navajo Dam area, has approximately 80 feet of coal as can be seen on this map.

There are areas into La Plata County,

Colorado, that have as much as 100 feet of Fruitland

Coal section. We have considerably less; we have

approximately 30 feet. That would suggest a lower

recovery.

- Q. Refer to Exhibit No. 7 in Case No. 9887 and identify that exhibit.
 - A. This is the same isopach map as was shown in the other case, Exhibit No. 7, taken from Kelso and Wicks and published by the Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists. This map highlights the location with a red dot of the proposed Bisti Coal 17 No. 1.
 - Q. Would it be fair to say you have the same general observations and that you made the same general conclusions with respect to the data illustrated on this exhibit as you made in Exhibit No. 7 in Case No. 9863?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Refer to Exhibit No. 8 in Case No. 9863 and

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

l | identify that exhibit.

- A. This is a gas in place contour map again taken from Kelso and Wicks and published by the Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists in the Southern Union Coal-Bed Methane Symposium. The map highlights the proposed location of the Bisti Coal 7 No. 1.
- Q. What conclusions do you draw or do you make from the data illustrated on this exhibit?
- A. This map shows a better picture of the estimated recoverable reserves for an area than the previous map, and illustrates that through parts of La Plata County, Colorado, and the northern portions of San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, an operator might expect as much as four or five times the recoverable reserves that we can expect south of the San Juan River in the San Juan Basin.
- Q. Does this information have any bearing on your assessment of the economics of the drilling of the Bisti Coal 7 No. 1 Well?
- A. Again, it gives us less of a reserves base to fall back on. Should the well produce water or if it produces water-free, our recoverable reserves will be considerably less than they would be in the heart of the Basin.
 - Q. Turn to Exhibit 8 in Case No. 9887 and

identify that exhibit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. This is the same contour map taken from Kelso and Wicks as described before, and highlights with a red dot the proposed Bisti Coal 17 No. 1.
- Q. And again, Mr. Corbett, would you be able to make the same observations and draw the same basic conclusions with respect to the data illustrated on this exhibit as you made with respect to the information illustrated on Exhibit No. 8 in Case No. 9863?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Corbett, do you ask that a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of these two wells be set by the Examiner?
- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. And what charge do you propose be set?
- A. We propose a 200-percent risk penalty.
- Q. How do you support that request?
 - A. Exhibit 5 illustrates a number of the wells in this area are producing large volumes of water and small volumes of gas, suggesting that there's considerable economic risk involved in drilling in a sparsely developed area of the Basin.
 - Q. In your opinion, would the granting of this application or these two applications afford all

owners the opportunity to recover or receive their
fair share of the hydrocarbons without unreasonable
expense?

- A. Yes, it would.
- Q. In your opinion, will the granting of this application be in the interest of conservation and result in the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- Q. Were Exhibits 5 through 8 in each of these cases, and the AFEs in each of these cases which were part of Exhibit No. 2, either prepared by you or at your direction and under your supervision?
 - A. They were.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Examiner, we would move the admission of Exhibits 5 through 8 in each of these cases, as well as the authorities for expenditure which were submitted as part of Exhibit 2 in each of these cases.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 5 through 8 in both cases will be admitted into evidence at this time.

MR. ROBERTS: We have no other questions of this witness on direct.

EXAMINATION

2 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

1

7

8

9

- Q. Mr. Corbett, is this area--and when I say
 this area, 25 North, 12 West--is that within the
 bounds of the Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pool?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Now, I notice on this map, Exhibit No. 5, that there are several red marks and those are yours, or I should say, Hixon's, coal gas wells or coal gas proposed wells, I would assume?
- A. With the exception of the two proposed wells that we're looking for today, these wells have been drilled and completed in the Basin Fruitland Coal Pool.
- Q. Are there any other Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pools indicated on here operated by others?
- 17 A. There are no other Fruitland Coal gas wells
 18 that I know of in this area.
- 19 Q. So Hixon is the only one within this map 20 frame that has any Basin Fruitland Coal gas wells?
- A. Drilled wells, that's correct. I
 understand that as a result of some of the success
 that we've had, other wells are in the process of
 being staked now.
- Q. By other operators?

- A. That's correct. Hixon Development has staked approximately 50 wells within the area described within the dots on this map--the red dots. And based on the success that we have with our test program, we'll attempt to drill those wells this year.
- Q. Are there any Fruitland sand pools within this area on map five?
 - A. There are, within the northern portion of 25 North and 12 West, and 26 North, 12 and 13 West, a number of Waw Fruitland sand picture cliffs.
 - Q. That's waw, W A W, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Now, it appears that in Exhibit 6 in both cases today, from the portions of the logs that you handed me, the coal is fairly prolific, is that correct? or does it extend either up or down outside of the realm of this particular exhibit?
- A. That is the vertical extent of the Fruitland Coal in these wells and essentially in this area.
- Q. How does the thickness differ in the southern portion or this portion of the coal gas pool as opposed to further north, in some of the more less-sparsely developed portions of this pool?
 - A. In the more densely drilled and prolific

1 areas of the Basin, other operators are encountering 2 Fruitland sections as much as 60 to 80 feet thick.

- Q. Are you aware of what the risk penalty factors have been in other compulsory pooling cases in the Basin Fruitland Coal gas pool?
 - A. I am.

- 7 Q. That's 156 percent, if I remember right, is 8 that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Why do you think yours should be 200 as opposed to 156 percent?
 - A. To shed some light on the subject, acreage in recent sales in the vicinity of Navajo Dam has sold for as high as \$700-plus per acre. That, in itself, indicates there's very little risk and a high degree of certainty that the coal will produce.

In our areas, operators have been adding to their acreage position for less than \$100 and in many cases \$50 per acre, suggesting that the coal is in unknown quantity and a much greater risk economically and geologically.

Q. I know you don't work for Kelso or Wicks, but there are maps and contour maps you presented today that do show some coal. These maps would, of course, indicate that there is some coal present down

- there. Would that necessarily mean that there is gas associated with this production, or do you know how these maps were prepared?
 - A. These maps were prepared and they illustrate with a dot on these penetrations through the Fruitland Coal where our reading was taken and a value was input to create the map not necessarily for a Fruitland Coal well, in many cases probably a Gallup or Dakota well.
 - There is coal that's pervasive across the Basin, in the southern portion of the Basin; however, generally considered to be south of the San Juan River. There has been very sparse development in the Fruitland Coal and there are very few productive wells.
- MR. STOVALL: If I may interject a question, Mr. Examiner?
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. STOVALL:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.5

- Q. Mr. Corbett, looking at Exhibit 5, there's a fair amount of wells indicated on that exhibit within the vicinity of your two applications. Do you know what depths those wells are? Are those PC or do they tend to be--or are they lower Dakota?
 - A. The majority of the wells within, say, a

- two-mile radius of our proposed locations were drilled
 to the Bisti Lower Gallup formation.
 - Q. They did penetrate through the Fruitland formation?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Have you examined any logs on those wells to determine the thickness, other than the ones you presented?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. What conclusions did you reach to form an opinion regarding the thickness of the coal?
 - A. That was just the case through even the most productive parts of the Basin, the Fruitland Coal thickness changes rapidly throughout this area. There is however, almost without exception, Fruitland Coal in all of these wells. There are a couple of these wells here that I've not seen any Fruitland Coal.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of Mr. Corbett?

MR. STOVALL: Just one. Perhaps this should be Mr. Foster's question, I'm not sure.

- Q. The Famous Amos well, you being the oficianado of interesting well names, can you tell me the origin of that name?
- A. Hixon Develpment went through a phase where

1	we were allowing our employees to name wells. One of
2	our office workers has a dog named Amos; thus Famous
3	Amos.
4	Q. And you ceased doing that? What a shame.
5	A. It was terminated shortly after the
6	drilling of the Famous Amos and Your Mama San No. 1.
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of
8	this witness back on the subject? There being none,
9	Mr. Corbett may be excused.
10	Mr. Roberts, anything further?
11	MR. ROBERTS: We have nothing further.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have
13	anything further in either Case 9863 or Case 9887?
14	Both these cases will be taken under advisement.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. 4 COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 6 I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified 7 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY 8 that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before 9 the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that 10 I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal 11 supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and 12 accurate record of the proceedings. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative 14 or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal 15 16 interest in the final disposition of this matter. 17 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 21, 1990. 18 19 CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ CSR No. 91 2.0 21 My commission expires: May 25, 1991 22 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 23 the Examinar hearing of Case das. 98634 \$87 24 heard by wypon 21 Murch 1290. 25 esarco, Examiner Oil Conservation Division

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244