

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF
CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178

APPLICATION OF RICHMOND PETROLEUM INC.
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, UNORTHODOX COAL
GAS WELL LOCATION, AND A NON-STANDARD
GAS SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT, SAN JUAN
AND RIO ARriba COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on April 4 and May 2, 1990, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner David R. Catanach.

NOW, on this 23rd day of May, 1990, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

(1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) Division Case Nos. 9881, 9894 and 9895 were consolidated at the time of the hearing for the purpose of testimony.

(3) The applicant, Richmond Petroleum Inc., seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool underlying Lots 1 through 4 and the S/2 N/2 of Irregular Section 11, Township 32 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, forming a non-standard 232.80-acre gas spacing and proration unit for said pool. Said unit is to be dedicated to the applicant's proposed Miller "11" Well No. 1 to be drilled at an unorthodox coal gas well location 1130 feet from the North line and 760 feet from the West line (Unit E) of said Section 11.

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178
Page -2-

(4) The applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill its Miller "11" Well No. 1 at the unorthodox location described above.

(5) There are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(6) The evidence presented indicates that the proposed unorthodox gas well location is necessitated by surface obstructions and topographical features within said Section 11, including the presence of Navajo Reservoir.

(7) The evidence further indicates that the proposed non-standard gas proration unit is necessitated by a variation in the United States Public Lands Survey.

(8) No offset operator and/or interest owner appeared at the hearing in opposition to the proposed unorthodox gas well location or non-standard gas proration unit.

(9) To avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, to avoid waste, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the production in any pool completion resulting from this order, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(10) The applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(11) Any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(12) The applicant has proposed that a 200 percent risk penalty be assessed against those working interest owners subject to the forced-pooling provisions of this order, and in support thereof presented evidence and testimony at the hearing.

(13) Based on precedent established in previously heard compulsory pooling cases in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool, the proposed 200 percent risk penalty is excessive and should therefore be reduced to 156 percent.

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178
Page -3-

(14) Any non-consenting working interest owner who does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 156 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(15) Any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(16) Following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner who has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(17) At the time of the hearing, the applicant proposed that the reasonable monthly fixed charges for supervision while drilling and producing be \$4500.00 and \$450.00, respectively.

(18) Also based on established precedents from prior compulsory pooling cases in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool, the above drilling and producing charges are in excess of the normal monthly fixed charges in this area for a well to a comparable depth and should therefore be adjusted to reflect a more reasonable rate.

(19) \$3500.00 per month while drilling and \$350.00 per month while producing should be fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(20) All proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178
Page -4-

(21) Upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence the drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before August 1, 1990, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

(22) Should all the parties to this forced pooling reach voluntary agreement subsequent to entry of this order, this order shall thereafter be of no further effect.

(23) The operator of the well and unit shall notify the Director of the Division in writing of the subsequent voluntary agreement of all parties subject to the forced pooling provisions of this order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) All mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool underlying Lots 1 through 4 and the S/2 N/2 of Irregular Section 11, Township 32 North, Range 6 West, NMPM, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, are hereby pooled forming a non-standard 232.80-acre gas spacing and proration for said pool, said unit to be dedicated to the applicant's Miller Well No. 1 to be drilled at an unorthodox coal gas well location 1130 feet from the North line and 760 feet from the West line (Unit E) of said Section 11.

PROVIDED HOWEVER THAT, the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of August, 1990, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool.

PROVIDED FURTHER THAT, in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 1st day of August, 1990, Ordering Paragraph No. (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Division Director for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER THAT, should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Ordering Paragraph No. (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) Richmond Petroleum Inc. is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

CASE NO. 9394
Order No. R-9178
Page -5-

(3) After the effective date of this order and within 90 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) Within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) The operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, if there is objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) Within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner who has paid his share of estimated well costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) The operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178
Page -6-

(B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 156 percent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) The operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) \$3500.00 per month while drilling and \$350.00 per month while producing are hereby fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) Any unleased mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) Any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interest's share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) All proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.

(13) Should all parties to this forced pooling order reach voluntary agreement subsequent to entry of this order, this order shall thereafter be of no further effect.

CASE NO. 9894
Order No. R-9178
Page -7-

(14) The operator of the well and unit shall notify the Director of the Division in writing of the subsequent voluntary agreement of all parties subject to the forced pooling provisions of this order.

(15) Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION


WILLIAM J. LEMAY
Director