1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	
6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	
10	Application of Mesa Operating Case 10076
11	Limited Partnership for compulsory
12	pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico.
13	
1.4	
1.5	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
19	
20	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
22	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	September 19, 1990
24	ORIGINAL
25	

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505)984-2244

1		A P	P E A R A N C E S
2			
3	OCD CFIEF ENGINEER:		JIM MORROW Chief Engineer to the Division
4			State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
5			Santa re, New Mexico 0/301
6			
7	FOR THE APPLICANT:		J. SCOTT HALL
8 9	TOW MIND AND DECEMBER.		MILLER, STRATVERT, TROGERSON and SCHLENKER, P.A. 125 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 303
10			Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
11.			
12			
1.3			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		l N D E X	
2			Page Number
3	Appearance	S	2
4	1.	EDWARD L. HANK WOOD	A
5		Examination by Mr. Hall	4
6	2	STEWART SAMSON	
7	∠، ه	Examination by Mr. Hall	8
8			
9	3.	THOMAS HAHN	1.1
10		Examination by Mr. Hall	11
11	Contificat	o s.E. Damouskov	1.5
12	Cercinicat	e of Reporter	15
13			
14			
15		EXHIBITS	
16	Exhibit No		5
17	Exhibit No Exhibit No	. 2	5 6
18	Exhibit No Exhibit No	• 4	9 9 9
19	Exhibit No Exhibit No	. б	1.3
20	THOUSE STATE STATE OF THE STATE	,	14
21			
22			
23			
2.4			
25			

1	P	\mathbb{R}^{-}	\bigcirc	\sim	١ ١	7	F.	D	T	N	C	S	

- 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. At this time we'll call
- 3 Case 10076, application of Mesa Operating Limited Partnership
- 4 for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- 5 Are there appearances in this case?
- 6 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall from the Miller,
- 7 Stratvert, Torgerson & Schlenker law firm of Santa Fe for the
- 8 applicant Mesa with three witnesses this morning.
- 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Any other appearances?
- 10 Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn in.
- 11 EDWARD L. HANK WOOD,
- 12 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his
- 13 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. PALL:
- 16 Q. For the record, please state your name and place of
- 17 residence.
- 18 A. My name is Edward L. Hank Wood. I live at 6006
- 19 Norwich, Amarillo, Texas.
- 20 Q. Who do you work for, Mr. Wood?
- 21 A. I am employed by Mesa Operating Limited partnership
- 22 in Amarillo.
- 23 C. What do you do for them?
- 24 A. I am a landman.
- 25 Q. I believe you have not testified before the New

- 1 Mexico OCD before. Would you please give the Hearing Examiner
- 2 a brief summary of your educational background and work
- 3 experience.
- 4 A. I graduated 1974 from New Mexico State University
- 5 with a business degree. I went to work January of 1975 for El
- 6 Paso Natural Gas Company in El Paso. And was transferred in
- 7 '77 to Amarillo with El Paso. I went to work for Pioneer
- 8 Production Corporation in 1980 in Denver. Worked with them for
- 9 five years before the merger of Pioneer and Mesa in 1985. I
- 10 handled Anadarco Basin Rocky Mountain regions for both
- 11 companies. Now currently work for Mesa in Amarillo.
- 12 Q. You are familiar with the subject well and lands?
- 13 A. I am.
- MR. HALL: We tender Mr. Wood as a qualified expert
- 15 petroleum landman.
- 16 HEARING HXAMUNER: He is so qualified.
- 17 (Thereupon, Exhibit 1 was
- 18 marked for identification.)
- 19 Q. (BY MR. HALL) Mr. Wood, let's look at some of the
- 20 exhibits we prepared for the hearing. Would you explain what
- 21 Exhibit 1 consists of and what it's intended to reflect.
- 22 A. Exhibit 1 is a land plat. It shows our porposed
- 23 proration unit for our proposed test as the west half of
- 24 Section 16, 29 North, 8 West in San Juan County, New Mexico.
- 25 It designates our proposed well location. It shows the

- 1 ownership of the proration unit indicating the working interest
- 2 owners. And it shows the various tracts where the working
- 3 interest owners interest is derived.
- 4 Q. And the footage location is reflected on the face
- 5 page of Exhibit 1, is it not?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Let's look at page two. What's that show?
- 8 A. Page two shows the breakdown of the working interest
- 9 owners both committed and noncommitted to the drilling of this
- 10 proposed test.
- 11 Q. For the record state the identity of each of the
- 12 interest owners you are seeking to pool and their ownership
- 13 percentages.
- 14 A. The pooled parties are Conoco, Inc. 18.75 percent,
- 15 Mizel Resources 1.4 percent, North Timbers Ltd. Partnership .97
- 16 percent, East Timbers Ltd. Partnership .33 percent, West
- 17 Timbers Ltd. Partnership .15 percent.
- 18 (Thereupon, Exhibit 2 was
- marked for identification.)
- 20 Q. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 2, please, sir.
- 21 What does Exhibit 2 consist of?
- 22 A. Exhibit 2 is a certified, copy of a certified letter
- 23 mailed by Mesa dated August 3rd, 1990, which proposes the
- 24 drilling of the test which we're hearing at the time. It sets
- out the footage location, the estimated depth of 3,310 feet,

- 1 and the proposed formation is of Fruitland Coal, and sends with
- 2 it appropriate exhibits.
- 3 Q. All right. It transmits the JOA and AFE, does it
- 4 not?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And this was sent to each of the interest owners
- 7 listed on page two?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 C. All right. Would you please briefly summarize the
- 10 efforts that you undertook to secure the voluntary joinder of
- 11 the parties you are seeking pooling from today.
- 12 A. The letter was mailed out on August 3rd, followed up
- 13 with phone calls to each working interest owner to inform them
- 14 of the necessity, the urgency to receive a response from them,
- 15 numerous times in each case. And of course then they were sent
- 16 copies of the pooling notice.
- 17 Q. All right. Did you have any response at all from
- 18 any of these parties?
- 19 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. What was the nature of that response?
- 21 A. Well, from the parties shown on Exhibit 2 we've had
- 22 a number of them that have agreed to participate. The
- 23 pooled -- the parties that we're attempting to pool at this
- 24 time have not been able to respond to us at this time.
- 25 Q. You've had no communication whatsoever?

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 Q. In your opinion, Mr. Wood, have you made a good
- 3 faith effort to seek the voluntary joinder of those interest
- 4 owners you are seeking to pool?
- 5 A. We have, we have.
- 6 Q. And in your opinion will granting the application be
- 7 in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and
- 8 protection of correlative rights?
- 9 A. Yes, it would.
- 10 Q. Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or at your
- 11 direction?
- 12 A. They were.
- MR. HALL: We'd move the admission of Exhibits 1 and
- 14 2 at this time. We have nothing further of this witness.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be admitted
- 16 as evidence.
- I don't believe I have any questions of the witness.
- 18 He may be excused.
- 19 STEWART SAMSON,
- 20 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his
- 21 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. HALL:
- 24 Q. For the record, please state your name and place of
- 25 residence.

- 1 A. Stewart Samson in Amarillo, Texas.
- 2 Q. And who do you work for and in what capacity?
- 3 A. For Mesa Limited Partnership as supervisor of
- 4 geophysics.
- 5 Q. Mr. Samson, you previously testified before the New
- 6 Mexico division in the Fruitland pooling cases and had your
- 7 c:edentials made a matter of record; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 (Thereupon, Exhibits 3 5
- 10 were marked for identification.)
- 11 Q. All right. Let's look at Exhibits 3, 4, and 5. If
- 12 you would, please, explain those to the Examiner.
- 13 A. Exhibit 3 is a basin-wide isopach of the entire
- 14 Fruitland Coal section showing the location of the proposed
- 15 well, the FC State Com No. 27. As you can see, the well is
- 16 located very nearly the maximum thickness trend throughout the
- 17 basin. This map is a industrywide-accepted map of the coal in
- 18 the basin. We do feel like we will encounter somewhat less
- 19 than the 60 feet indicated here, but we do feel like we'll have
- 20 sufficient thickness to make a well.
- 21 Exhibit 4 is another critical parameter for the
- 22 establishment of coal production. This is a bottomhole
- 23 pressure map. Also generally released to the industry as a
- 24 whole. And we expect to encounter sufficient pressure to make
- an economic well.

- 1 And the last exhibit that I was to talk about here
- 2 is Exhibit 5, comes in a little closer to the location of our
- 3 well and shows the location of our well as an open circle in
- 4 Section 16. The solid red circles surrounding this area show
- 5 where all Fruitland Coal completions to date reported by
- 6 Dwight's are. And indicates that although the entire proration
- 7 unit pattern is not full at this time, we do have a substantial
- 8 amount of offset information.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, although you testified that you
- 10 expect to make an economic well, does there exist a risk that
- 11 you may not?
- 12 A. Yes, there is.
- 13 Q. What's the basis of that risk?
- 14 A. The biggest risk here, of course, is not
- 15 encountering sufficient coal. We do feel like we'll do that.
- 16 But there is a risk in encountering permeability which would be
- 17 high enough to establish economic rates.
- The wells in the area do have a substantial variance
- 19 in productive characteristics which Mr. Hahn will talk about.
- 20 So we do feel like there is a local variation in permeability
- 21 due to fracture intensity.
- Q. What risk penalty is Mesa seeking for this well?
- 23 A. We would like the 256 percent penalty, which is
- 24 customary.
- 25 Q. All right. Were Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 prepared by

- 1 you or at your direction?
- 2 A. Yes, they were.
- 3 Q. In your opinion will granting this application be in
- 4 the interest of conservation and prevention of waste and
- 5 protection of correlative rights?
- 6 A. Yes, it will.
- 7 MR. HALL: We'd move the admission of Exhibits 3, 4,
- 8 and 5, and that concludes our direct of this witness.
- 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 3 through 5 will be
- 10 admitted into evidence.
- I have no questions of the witness.
- 12 THOMAS HAHN,
- 13 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his
- 14 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. HALL:
- 17 Q. For the record please state your name.
- 18 A. My name is Thomas Hahn.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Hahn, where do you live, by whom are you
- 20 employed, and in what capacity?
- 21 A. I live in Amarillo, Texas. I work for Mesa
- 22 Operating Limited Partnership as a reservoir engineer.
- 23 Q. And you've previously testified before the division
- 24 or one of its examiners and had your credentials made a matter
- 25 of record; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 Q. You prepared certain exhibits in connection with
- 3 your testimony today?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let's first look at Exhibit 2, the AFE. If you
- 6 could review the costs on that exhibit, please, sir.
- 7 A. Exhibit 2 is an AFE cost estimate for drilling
- 8 casing and completing the FC State Com No. 27. As shown on the
- 9 AFE cost estimate, Mesa estimates the total cost of this well
- 10 to be \$362,500.
- 11 Q. Are those costs in line with what's being charged
- 12 for other like wells in the area?
- 13 A. Yes, they are, for cased and frac'd wells.
- 14 O. Mesa has drilled other Fruitland wells in the
- 15 immediate vicinity, has it not?
- 16 A. Yes, we have.
- 17 Ω. Have you made an estimate of the overhead and
- 18 administrative costs while drilling an operating well?
- 19 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. What are they?
- 21 A. While drilling the well is overhead cost is \$3,831
- 22 per month. While producing the well the cost is \$382 per
- 23 month.
- Q. And those costs are also in line with what's being
- 25 charged in the area, are they not?

- 1 A. Yes, they are.
- 2 Q. You are recommending those figures be made a part of
- 3 any order that results from this hearing?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 (Thereupon, Exhibit 6 was
- 6 marked for identification.)
- 7 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 6 briefly. If you would
- 8 explain that to the Examiner.
- 9 A. Exhibit 6 shows offset production detail for wells
- 10 that are -- that have been drilled, completed, and may be
- 11 producing offsetting FC State Com No. 27. Two important items
- 12 on this exhibit are the surface shut-in pressure and the
- 13 current production rate from these offset wells.
- 14 The surface shut-in pressure information is intended
- 15 to show basically what some of the pressure is in the area. Ir
- 16 some areas in the basin higher pressures to do indicate higher
- 17 deliverability wells. The pressures we're seeing here indicate
- 18 to us that the wells will probably be lower deliverability and
- 19 there is risk inherent there.
- The production information that I am showing is very
- 21 comparable to the surface information. We're looking at rates
- 22 on offset wells as low as 70 MCF per day up to 210. So there
- 23 tends to be quite a bit of variability in the production rates
- 24 in the offsetting wells. We feel that there is enough
- 25 variability in the production rates that there is risk

- 1 associated with drilling a Fruitland Coal well in this section.
- 2 Q. And you concur in the request for the 156 percent
- 3 zisk penalty?
- 4 A. 156 plus costs.
- 5 Q. All right. Do you have anything further you wish to
- 6 add?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Was Exhibit 6 prepared by you?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- MR. HALL: Nothing further of this witness.
- We'd move the admission of Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7,
- 12 which is the Rule 1207 notice to pool parties.
- 13 (Thereupon, Exhibit 7 was
- marked for identification.)
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibit 6 and 7 will be admitted
- 16 as evidence in this case.
- 17 And I have no questions. This witness may be
- 18 excused.
- 19 Anything further in this case?
- MR. FALL: We're done.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER: In that case Case 10076 will be
- 22 taken under advisement.
- 23 (Thereupon, a lunch recess was taken.)
- 24 do her let a letters the foregoing is
- 25 Be Elian Sylaburg 1007h

Oll Conservation Division (505) 984-2244

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Diane M. Winter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and
7	Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of
8	proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported
9	by me; that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my
10	personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
11	accurate record of the proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or
13	employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this
14	matter and that I have no personal interest in the final
15	disposition of this matter.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 1, 1990.
17 18	plane M. Winter
19	DIANE M. WINTER
20	CSR No. 414
21	
22	My cormission expires: December 21, 1993
23	
24	
25	NOTACY PUBLIC — STATE OF NEW MEXICO My Come secon Empires _/2-2(-93)

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505)984-2244