1		STA	TE O	F NEW I	MEXICO		
2	ENERGY, MINER	ALS A	ND N	ATURAL	RESOURCES	DEPARTMEI	NT
3	0	IL CO	NSER	VATION	DIVISION		
4							
5							
6		E	IMAX	NER HE.	ARING		
7							
8	IN THE MATTER OF:						
9							
10	Applicat	ion o	f Sa	nta Fe	Energy		
11	Operatin	g Par	tner	s, L.P	. for		
12	directio	nal d	rill	ing, E	ddy	Case	10098
13	County,	New M	exic	0.			
14							
15							
16							
17	Т	RANSC	RIPT	OF PR	OCEEDINGS		
18							
19	BEFORE: DAVID R. C	ATANA	CH,	EXAMIN:	ER		
20							
21							
22	S	PATE :	LAND	OFFIC:	E BUILDING		
23		SAN	TA F	E, NEW	MEXICO		
24		Se	ptem	ber 19	, 1990		
25		0 R			<b>AL</b>		

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505)984-2244

1		A P P	E A R A N C E S
2			
3	FOR THE DIVISION:		ROBERT G. STOVALL
4			Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
5			State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
6			
7			
8	OCD CHIEF ENGINEER:		JIM MORROW
9			Chief Engineer to the Division State Land Office Building
10			Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
11			
12	FOR THE APPLICANT:		TAMES DOLLOW ESO
13	FOR THE APPLICANT:		JAMES BRUCE, ESQ. HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD and HENSLEY
14			500 Marquette, N.W. Suite 800 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-2121
15			Albuquelque, New Mexico 8/102-2121
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		I N D E X	
2		Page	Number
3	Appearances		2
4		GARY GREEN	1
5		Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by the Hearing Examiner	<u>4</u> 7
6			
7		DARRELL ROBERTS Examination by Mr. Bruce	8
8		Examination by Mr. Bluce Examination by the Hearing Examiner Examination by Mr. Morrow	11 13
9		Further Examination by the Hearing Examiner	13
10			
11	Certificate	of Reporter	15
12			
13			
14			
15		EXHIBITS	
16	Exhibit No. Exhibit No.		5 6
17	Exhibit No. Exhibit No.	3	5 6 9 9
18	Exhibit No. Exhibit No.	5	10 10
19			
20			
21			
22			·
23			
24			
2.5			

1	P	R	$\circ$	C	Fit	F:	D	T	N	G	s
<u> </u>	-	T .	$\sim$	_				-	T. A.	_	-

- 2 HEARING EXAMINER: At this time we'll call Case
- 3 10098.
- 4 MR. STOVALL: Application of Santa Fe Energy
- 5 Operating Partners, L.P. for directional drilling, Eddy County,
- 6 New Mexico.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Appearances in this case?
- 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jim Bruce from
- 9 the Hinkle law firm in Albuquerque representing the applicant.
- 10 I have two witnesses to be sworn.
- 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Any other appearances?
- 12 Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn in.
- 13 GARY GREEN,
- 14 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his
- 15 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 18 Q. Will you please state your name and the city of
- 19 residence.
- 20 A. My name is Gary Green. I live in Midland, Texas.
- Q. Who are you employed by and in what occupation?
- 22 A. Employed by Santa Fe Energy Company as a landman.
- Q. And have you previously testified before the OCD as
- 24 a landman?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. And are you familiar with the land matters involving
- 2 Case 10098?
- 3 A. Yes, I am.
- 4 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is the witness acceptable?
- 5 HEARING EXAMINER: He is.
- 6 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Green, briefly what does Santa
- 7 Fe Energy seek in this case?
- 8 A. Santa Fe seeks approval to directionally drill a
- 9 well from the north half of Section 9 to the south half of
- 10 Section 4 all in Township 23 South, Range 31 East, Eddy County,
- 11 New Mexico.
- 12 Q. Why does Santa Fe Energy need to directionally drill
- 13 the well?
- 14 A. South half of Section 4 is in the pot ash area and
- 15 we're told by the BLM that we cannot have a surface location in
- 16 the south half of Section 4. Both the BLM and AMC Pot Ash
- 17 Company, the pot ash lessee, have approved our surface location
- 18 in the north half of Section 9.
- 19 (Thereupon, Exhibit 1 was
- 20 marked for identification.)
- 21 Q. Would you refer to Exhibit No. 1 and describe the
- 22 well location.
- 23 A. Exhibit No. 1 is the land plat showing the acreage
- 24 colored in yellow as acreage where Santa Fe is the operator
- 25 and/or lease owner. It shows a surface location 990 feet from

- 1 the north line and 1,980 from the west line of Section 9 with
- 2 the bottomhole location being 990 -- or 900 feet from the --
- 3 let me get my bearings right here.
- 4 (Mr. Stovall is no longer present.)
- 5 Q. Will the bottomhole location be a standard location
- 6 for the south half of section --
- 7 A. Yes, bottomhole location will be a standard
- 8 location.
- 9 O. And is this a Morrow test?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Okay. Who are the operators or lessees in Sections
- 12 9 and 4?
- 13 A. Santa Fe and its partners out in Section 9. Santa
- 14 Fe and Yates Petroleum on Section 4.
- 15 O. And was Yates notified of this case?
- 16 A. Yes, they were.
- 17 (Thereupon, Exhibit 2 was
- 18 marked for identification.)
- 19 Q. And is the notice letter and the certified return
- 20 receipt submitted as Exhibit No. 2?
- 21 A. Yes, they are.
- 22 Q. In your opinion is this case in the interest of
- 23 conservation and prevention of waste?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 25 O. And were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or under

- 1 your direction?
- 2 A. Yes, they were.
- 3 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of
- 4 Exhibits 1 and 2.
- 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be admitted
- 6 as evidence.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
- 9 O. Mr. Green, was this PG Federal 4 well No. 1 the
- 10 subject of a pooling case recently?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- 12 Q. Have you received an order in that case?
- 13 A. I believe the order was signed this morning.
- 14 Q. Now I believe your testimony was that the surface
- 15 location was 990, but the ad shows 900 feet from the north
- 16 line.
- 17 A. Yes, it is 900.
- 18 Q. Correct, it is 900 feet?
- 19 A. 900 from the north line.
- 20 Q. And 1,980 feet from the west line?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. That has been approved by the BLM?
- 23 A. No, we have not got our drilling order approved. We
- 24 have been talking to the BLM and the AMC. They have told us
- 25 that would be accepted.

- 1 Q. That will be acceptable?
- 2 A. That will be acceptable, but we have not got our
- 3 approval.
- 4 Q. Okay. You said that Santa Fe and Yates together own
- 5 the acreage colored in yellow on Exhibit 1.
- 6 A. Santa Fe and Yates own the acreage in Section 3, 4,
- 7 and 5. Yates does not own acreage in Section 9 --
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. -- 10 or 8.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER: I believe that's all I have. The
- 11 witness may be excused.
- 12 DARRELL ROBERTS,
- 13 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his
- 14 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 17 Q. Would you please state your name for the record.
- 18 A. My name is Darrell Roberts.
- 19 Q. And where do you reside?
- 20 A. In Midland, Texas.
- Q. And who are you employed by and in what capacity?
- 22 A. Santa Fe Energy Resources as a senior drilling
- 23 engineer.
- Q. Have you previously testified before the OCD as an
- 25 engineer?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And are you familiar with the engineering matters
- 3 related to Case 10098?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is the witness acceptable?
- 6 HEARING EXAMINER: He is.
- 7 (Thereupon, Exhibit 3 was
- 8 marked for identification.)
- 9 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Would you please refer to Exhibit
- 10 No. 3 and briefly describe its contents for the Examiner.
- 11 A. Okay. This is a survey plat showing the surface
- 12 location in Section 9, which is 990 from the north and 1,980
- 13 from the west. And the bottomhole location at 660 from the
- south line and 1,980 from the west line, which would give us a
- 15 total displacement of 1,560 feet. And this is to avoid the
- 16 pot ash.
- Q. Okay. And would you please move on to Exhibit No. 4
- 18 and outline the drilling procedure.
- 19 A. Okay. This is a generalized drilling procedure. It
- 20 states that we would be setting surface at 600 feet,
- 21 intermediate casing at 4,150. And at that point we would be
- 22 drilling an eight and three-quarter hole to a depth of 6,000
- 23 feet. At that point we plan to deviate the wellbore to a north
- 24 direction. And I'll go through the remaining of this
- 25 procedure. It's covered in further documents. It's submitted

- 1 as a matter of record.
- 2 (Thereupon, Exhibits 5 and 6
- 3 were marked for identification.)
- Q. Okay. Would you please refer -- why don't we refer
- 5 to Exhibits 5 and 6 together.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And outline the remaining procedure of the well.
- 8 A. Exhibit 5 is just a tabulation of the wellbore and
- 9 the directional plan as we propose giving the true vertical
- 10 depths and the vertical sections and inclinations of the
- 11 wellbore.
- 12 It is better depicted on Exhibit No. 6, which shows
- 13 that we'll be making a motor run around 6,000 feet and kicking
- 14 the wellbore to the north, and inclination of five to six
- 15 degrees. And then making a deflection assembly run to a point
- 16 of inclination of 20 degrees. At that point we will run
- another assembly that will hold the inclination at 20 degrees
- and further deviate the wellbore to a point of around 10,000
- 19 feet, which the deviation, the vertical section would be around
- 20 1,200 feet.
- 21 At that point we will run a drop assembly, whether
- 22 that will allow the wellbore to drop at one degree per hundred,
- 23 and bring the wellbore back to vertical at approximately 12,000
- 24 feet. And at that point we'll be running seven-inch casing,
- 25 seven-inch secondary intermediate casing. And from that point

- 1 we will drill the well vertically into the Morrow section at a
- 2 depth of 15,000 feet.
- 3 Q. And will Santa Fe Energy comply with all
- 4 requirements of Rule 111?
- 5 A. Yes, we will.
- 6 Q. In your opinion would the granting of this
- 7 application be in the interest of conservation and prevention
- 8 of waste?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. And were Exhibits 3 through 6 prepared by you or
- 11 under your direction?
- 12 A. Yes, they were.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the admission of
- 14 Exhibits 3 through 6.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 3 through 6 will be
- 16 admitted as evidence.
- 17 (Mr. Stovall is now present.)
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
- Q. Mr. Roberts, has Santa Fe drilled directional wells
- 21 in this area?
- 22 A. No, they haven't. This will be the first one.
- 23 Q. How confident are you that you can get to the
- 24 proposed bottomhole location?
- 25 A. Well, there is offset wells that have been drilled

- 1 this same manner. Santa Fe was not the operator, but we feel
- 2 real confident that we can. We can steer the wellbore, it's a
- 3 common practice, that will allow us to direct the wellbore
- 4 where we desire.
- 5 Q. What type of surveys or pools do you run while
- 6 drilling or after you finish drilling to ensure the -- to
- 7 determine the location?
- 8 A. Before we make our motor run we run a gyro surveys
- 9 that will take surveys at hundred foot intervals. Then while
- 10 we're directionally drilling we'll be running a steering tool
- 11 that allows us to monitor the inclination out so the azimuth of
- 12 the wellbore -- and if the wellbore is not going in the
- 13 direction we desire then we can make motor runs to correct and
- 14 direct the wellbore in a northerly direction.
- Okay. I'd like to point out on, I guess this is
- 16 Exhibit 1, there is Section 7 and then also in Section 6 to the
- 17 west of our proposed location, Bass Enterprises has drilled two
- 18 directional wells through the Morrow. And this, both of these
- 19 were drilled to avoid the pot ash.
- 20 Q. I see. Do you run a directional survey prior to
- 21 kicking off?
- 22 A. Yes, we do. We do that to know where we are or
- 23 where the wellbore is. And then we can direct the bit in a
- 24 direction from that point. So we'll have the entire wellbore
- 25 survey both, azimuth and inclination.

- 1 Q. The special casing provisions in this area, do you
- 2 know if those have been fully complied with or been approved?
- 3 A. Yes. Santa Fe has drilled two vertical wells in
- 4 this area, so we're in full compliance with all that.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. MORROW:
- 7 Q. Would that still be a regular location if you were
- 8 150 foot off in the south direction?
- 9 A. I don't think so. No, I don't think so. You know,
- 10 it's going to be a lay down, and the closest we can be to the
- 11 south line is 660 feet.
- 12 Q. I understood when you target you gave yourself that
- 13 much room.
- 14 A. Yeah. We were asking for a tolerance of 150-foot
- 15 radius to allow us to hit that target.
- 16 The primary reason for that is just the fact that
- 17 it's not an exacting science and this allows us some tolerance
- 18 to be able to hit the target.
- 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 20 BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
- Q. Now your target is 1,980 from the west, 660 from the
- 22 south?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. That's the target. Now you want - you are asking
- 25 for 150 tolerance?

1	A. Right.
2	Q. Which would put the well at an unorthodox bottomhole
3	location.
4	A. (Witness nodding head.)
5	Q. If you in fact go south of the 660.
6	A. That's true.
7	HEARING EXAMINER: It might be wise to point out at
8	this time that if you in fact bottom at an unorthodox location
9	you may be required to come back in for approval.
10	MR. BRUCE: We understand that, Mr. Examiner.
11	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	I have no further questions. Any other questions?
13	The witness may be excused.
14	Anything further in this case?
15	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
16	HEARING EXAMINER: If not, Case 10098 will be taken
17	under advisement.
18	
19	I de Landa de la
20	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in
21	heard by me on clear A. 10098.
22	David R. Catant, Examiner
23	Oil Conservation Division
24	

1.	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER					
2						
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )					
Ţ	COUNTY OF SANTA FE )					
5						
6	I, Diane M. Winter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and					
7	Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of					
8	proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported					
9	by me; that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my					
10	personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and					
11	accurate record of the proceedings.					
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or					
13	employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this					
14	matter and that I have no personal interest in the final					
15	disposition of this matter.					
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 28, 1990.					
17	Reine M. (1) meter					
18	- Mille 1 1 Willy					
19	DIANE M. WINTER CSR No. 414					
20						
21	My commission expires: December 21, 1993					
22	The second of th					
23	OFFICIAL SEAL					
24	DIANE M. WUVIER					
25	NOTARY PUBLIC — STATE OF NEW MEXICO					
	Sy Conceinson Expire: 12-21-93					