| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE DIVISION: ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ. General Counsel |
| 4 | Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Building |
| 5 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 |
| 6 | FOR THE APPLICANT: KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY |
| 7 | Attorneys at Law BY: CANDACE HAMANN CALLAHAN, ESQ. 117 North Guadalupe |
| 8 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 |
| 9 | |
| L O | * * * |
| 11 | |
| L 2 | |
| L 3 | |
| l 4 | |
| 1.5 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| L 9 | |
| 2 0 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 2 3 | |
| 2 4 | |
| 25 | |

1 EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time we will call Case 2 10157. 3 MR. STOVALL: Application of Grand Production Company 4 for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there any appearances in this 6 case? 7 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, sir. I'm Candace Callahan with 8 the law firm of Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey in Santa Fe, 9 appearing for Grand Production Company. I have two 10 witnesses to be sworn. EXAMINER CATANACH: Are there any other appearances? 11 12 Would the two witnesses please stand and be 13 sworn in? 14 JOHN F. VAUGHAN, 15 the Witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 EXAMINATION BY MS. CALLAHAN: 18 19 Mr. Vaughan, would you please state your full Q. 20 name? 21 John F. Vaughn. Α. 22 0. What is your occupation?? 23 I'm land manager for Grand Production Company. Α. 24 Are you familiar with the application to Q. 25 force-pool several mineral interest owners owning interests

- equal to 1.5 percent or less?
- 2 | A. Yes.

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

- Q. And have you previously testified and been qualified before the division --
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. -- as a petroleum landman?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - MS. CALLAHAN: I tender Mr. Vaughn as an expert petroleum landman.
- 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: He is so qualified.
- Q. (By Mr. Callahan) Would you look at Exhibit A, please, and describe this exhibit.
 - A. That's a plat showing the wells in the areas surrounding our proposed unit. Our proposed unit is shaded and the bottom part of the shaded unit is a small circle which indicates our proposed location of our well.
- 17 Q. What is that location?
- 18 A. It's 510 feet from the south line and 510 feet
 19 from the east line of the section.
- Q. And the spacing unit is?
- 21 A. Is the east half southeast quarter of Section 22 10, 17 South, 37 East, Lea county.
- Q. What formation is your primary objective in this application?
- 25 A. Primary objective is the Strawn formation.

- Q. Did you previously apply and have approved this spacing unit for forced pooling?
- A. Yes, we had made application last time I believe about this same time, near the end of November, for pooling.
 - Q. That application was approved?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Can you just explain to us why it is that we are here today rather than pursuing the prior application?
- A. Basically there were budget constraints that prevented us from commencing the well under the previous order, and we're now in a position to commence the well and therefore made this application.
 - Q. Would you look at Exhibit B, please?
- 15 A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

- 16 | Q. Would you please describe this exhibit?
- A. That's a tabulation of the working interest owners underlying this unit that we're seeking to pool.
 - Q. What percentage of the working interest owners have voluntarily joined so far?
- A. Obviously Grand Production and then also Scott
 Ritchie with a 25 percent. The balance of the owners have
 not, so it's roughly 85 1/2 percent have joined. The 14
 1/2 percent remainder have not.
 - Q. What attempts did you make to obtain the

- voluntary joinder of those who have not yet voluntarily
 joined?
 - A. I circulated a proposal letter back in May --
 - O. Is that Exhibit C here?
 - A. Yes, Exhibit C. -- to each of the owners, each was sent certified, and basically all of these owners are small owners. I mean their interests are small. They apparently are not very concerned about it inasmuch as none of them have responded to my letter.
 - Q. And some of them you were unable to obtain addresses for?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. What percentage are those?
- 14 MR. STOVALL: Can I interrupt you for a moment?
- 15 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- 16 MR. STOVALL: You have indicated that this has already
 17 been forced pool once; is that correct?
- 18 MS. CALLAHAN: Right. But the period has expired.
- MR. STOVALL: I understand that. The question I've got -- let me just ask your witness. Have there been any changes in the ownership?
- 22 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- MR. STOVALL: Did you have a desire to incorporate
 that record into here to substantiate attempts to
- 25 | negotiate?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.

2 MR. STOVALL: Do you remember the case number by any 3 chance.

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, I have it. It's Case 9835, order

No. 9077.

EXAMINER CATANACH: 9077?

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.

MR. STOVALL: I take that as a motion to incorporate that record.

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, I so move. Thank you.

EXAMINER CATANACH: The record in Case 9835 will be incorporated into this record.

MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.

- A. But to answer your question, approximately 7 1/2 percent or roughly half of the interests for pooling is unlocatable.
- Q. (By Ms. Callahan) And could you just briefly describe what attempts you made to find addresses for these people?
- A. Grand initially acquired the interest in this unit through a farm out from B.P., Bruce Petroleum. They have actually done the initial work and had the initial ownership reports taken, and made attempts to contact these individuals. When we received the farm out from B.P., we received their file and notes over the unit. Prior to the

last hearing, last year, I went to the Lea County -- to the county courthouse in Lovington and made a personal examination of the records in an attempt to obtain addresses.

2.4

And in addition to that all of these interest owners acquired their interests through a company by the name of Harding Oil. These were investors of Harding Oil. I contacted the principal of Harding Oil to request addresses for these individuals, and he did not have current addresses for them, and did not know where they were. And I also contacted the owners that I did have addresses to inquire if they just happened to know who they were, and I think I was successful on one event which I do have their address now.

Since that time I have hired another landman just recently to go to the records, to Lea County to verify that there has been nothing filed of record that would indicate that these people -- with an updated address or whatever.

- Q. I'd like you now to look at Exhibit D. Would you describe this exhibit for us?
- A. That is Grand's AFE for the drawing of our proposed well.
 - Q. And this AFE is dated what date?
 - A. November the 9, 1990.

- Q. And the AFE that you sent with your letter
 proposing joinder to the various working interest owners is
 about a year --
 - A. Yes, it was dated November 1st.
- 5 Q. -- prior to this?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. However, would you please tell us what the estimated dry hole costs and producing costs are for both of these AFE's?
- 10 A. \$395,800 for a dry hole cost.
- 11 Q. And producing cost?
- 12 | A. \$650,640.
- Q. So there has been no change?
- A. Their has been no change. I just had our engineer review the AFE to make sure the costs are still relevant.
- Q. Are these costs within the range that other operators in the area use for a comparable well?
- 19 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Did you prepare an operating agreement for this well?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Can you tell us what the overhead rates in that operating agreement were?
- 25 A. They were \$5,000 a month for a drilling well,

1 \$500 a month for a producing well. 2 And those rates are within the average for rates 0. 3 -- for wells of that depth according to the Ernst & Young 4 survey? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And are those the rates that you would recommend 7 that the division accept for this order --8 Α. Yes. 9 -- if it is granted? 0. 10 And I might add those are the same rates that we Α. 11 used in the previous order. 12 Q. And does Grand Production Company ask to be 13 designated as operator for this well? 14 Α. Yes. 15 MS. CALLAHAN: At this time I would also like the 16 record to reflect that Exhibit E is the certificate of 17 mailing in compliance with order R-8054 prepared by our law 18 firm, Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey. 19 I have no further questions of this witness. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: We will enter Exhibits A through E 21 at this time. 22 (Applicant's Exhibits A through E 23 were admitted in evidence.) 24 EXAMINATION 25 BY EXAMINER CATANACH:

- 1 Mr. Vaughan, can you identify on Exhibit B which Q. 2 entities you were not able to locate? 3 Yes. Running down the list, beginning with E. Α. 4 J. Eckels, Jr., and all parties listed below his name are 5 the ones that were unlocatable. 6 You believe you've made an adequate attempt to 0. 7 find the current addresses of these interest owners? 8 Α. Yes, I have. 9 Have you had any response from the other Q. 10 interest owners who are not participating? 11 No, sir. No response whatsoever. In fact, Α. 12 there were quite a few more owners that we were able to 13 require that obviously are not pooling today. I can just 14 say that most of those people didn't even know they owned 15 an interest. It's just a small interest that it's almost 16 -- seemed irrelevant to them. I received no response 17 whatsoever from any of the balance. 18 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have no further questions of the 19 witness. 20 GEORGE W. VELOTTA, SR., 21 the Witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. CALLAHAN:

Q. Mr. Velotta, would you please give your full

name and occupation?

1

4

5

- A. George W. Velotta, Sr. I am a geologist in 3 Oklahoma City for Grand Production Company.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the Grand Production Company application to force pool in this matter?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you undertaken a study of the geology 8 in this area?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And you stand ready to offer an opinion on the geology in this area?
- 12 A. This proposed location --
- Q. I'm just asking if you are ready --
- 14 A. Oh, excuse me. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- to render an opinion.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Have you previously testified before the 18 division?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Would you please briefly describe your education?
- A. Yes, I received my bachelor of science degree
 from the University of Kentucky in 1947. Subsequent times
 I've worked for Phillips Petroleum Company for
- 25 approximately seven years, Sohio, Standard Oil Company of

Ohio, for 20 years, Kerr McKee Corporation for couple of years, consulting for about 16 and with Grand for the last three years approximately. Various parts of the United States from Oklahoma to the Appalachian area. I have done extensive coal exploration work for Standard Oil of Ohio's coal subsidiary in the Rocky Mountain area. That's about it as far as a brief resume.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Examiner, are this witness' qualifications acceptable as an expert geologist?

EXAMINER CATANACH: Yes, they are. Would you spell your last name for us?

THE WITNESS: V-e-l-o-t-t-a.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Callahan) Mr. Velotta, do you have an opinion regarding the geologic risk involved in drilling a dry hole or obtaining a producing well that does ultimately pay out?
- A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- Q. Is that a significant geological risk?
- A. Yes. It exists in every well you drill, regardless whether you're wildcatting or offsetting production.
 - Q. Okay. In terms of the maximum statutory risk factor penalty of 200 percent to be assessed against any nonconsenting working interest owners and to be recovered

out of their share of production, do you have an opinion, is that 200 percent justified in this case?

- A. I think that would be a minimum penalty to be applied.
- Q. I'd like you to look at Exhibit F, please. Would you please describe this exhibit for us?
- A. Exhibit F is a structural map prepared on top of the Lower Strawn carbonate. This map is prepared as a combination subsurface and seismic. The seismic line running north-south on the east side of Sections 10 and 15 as shown by the small circles that break the section line. In addition, an east-west line running across the north side of Sections 10 and 11, continuing east is also prepared.
- Q. Would you feel more comfortable using Exhibit A or this exhibit to talk about the dry holes surrounding your proposed test?
- 18 A. Either one.

- Q. Would you please tell us --
- map, would probably have more bearing on the Strawn than the structure map.
 - Q. Okay. Then do you want to look at Exhibit G, and would you please describe this exhibit for us?
 - A. This is the gross isopach of the Lower Strawn,

- 1 | which includes the gross intervals potentially productive.
- 2 | This does not get into the net aspects of the formation.
- 3 Porosity is the determining factor, one of the main
- 4 determining factors, in the production of the Strawn.
- Q. Is your proposed test surrounded by any dry holes --
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

- Q. -- out of the primary objective for this test?
- A. Yes. There are dry holes to the east, southeast and south that penetrated the Strawn section.
- 11 Q. These wells that are now dry, did they produce 12 for a substantial amount of time?
- A. These were never completed. They were dry
 holes. You're talking about the abandoned producers to the
 north and west?
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. Yes. I believe that north produced some seven years.
- Q. And your concern is, in terms of risk, that
 these wells may have drained a substantial amount of oil
 from the objective zone?
- A. Yes. That is a distinct possibility. Suffered partial drainage anyway. Hopefully we can find an area that has not really been affected.
 - Q. What is the closest producing well from your

primary objective?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. It would be in Section 10, well denoted No. 5, which was approximately the south half of the northeast of the southeast of Section 10-17-37. And the west offset in the southwest of the southeast, Section 10-17-37, was a strong producer.
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't make myself clear. I meant a current producing well.
- A. No current producing well offsetting this tract. They have produced but they are abandoned.
- 11 Q. What is the closest producing well from that 12 now?
- A. Closest producing well at this time is Section 14 11, the northwest of the southeast.
- MS. CALLAHAN: I have no further questions. I'd like to offer into evidence Exhibits F and G --
- Q. (By Ms. Callahan) I would like to first ask my witness were these exhibits prepared by you or at your direction?
- 20 A. Both, in conjunction with seismic.
- 21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits F and G will be admitted
 22 as evidence in this case.
- 23 (Applicant's Exhibits F and G were admitted in evidence.)

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 3 Q. Mr. Velotta, the wells shown on your isopach, 4 Well No. 5 --5 Α. Yes. -- that has been drilled and produced from the 6 Q. 7 Strawn formation? 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. And what's the status of that well? 10 It's P&A. May I -- I have abandonment dates on Α. 11 the same plat in my briefcase, if I may refer to it. 12 0. Okay. 13 That well was P&A'd in 1979. Α. 14 How long did it produce for? Q. 15 It was completed initially in '72, 1972. Α. 16 So by drilling a well in the southeast-southeast Q. 17 quarter you hope to recover oil which may not have been 18 recovered by that No. 5 well? 19 Α. Yes. You believe that to be a substantial amount? 20 0. 21 I think the seismic indication of both Α. 22 structural position and possibly showing a build-up of the 23 Strawn carbonate justify the risk involved. 24 Has your company ever drilled a Strawn well in 25 this area?

1 We have just set pipe probably yesterday in Α. 2 Section 5 of 17-37 just west of here a couple of miles. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: I believe those are all the 4 questions I have. The witness may be excused. 5 MS. CALLAHAN: I have one further question of the 6 witness. 7 FURTHER EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. CALLAHAN: 9 Mr. Velotta, is it your opinion that approval of 10 this application will be in the interest of conservation of oil and gas, prevention of waste and protection of 11 12 correlative rights? 13 Α. Yes. 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: Anything further in this case? 15 MS. CALLAHAN: Nothing further. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Case 10157 will be taken under advisement. 17 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF SANTA FE) |
| 3 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, Susan G. Ptacek, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and |
| 6 | Notary Public, do HEREBY CERTIFY that I stenographically |
| 7 | reported the proceedings before the Oil Conservation |
| 8 | Division, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and |
| 9 | accurate transcript of the proceedings of said hearing as |
| 10 | appears from my stenographic notes so taken and transcribed |
| 11 | under my personal supervision. |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to nor |
| 1 3 | employed by any of the parties hereto, and have no interest |
| 14 | in the outcome thereof. |
| 15 | DATED at Santa Fe, New Mexico, this 10th day of |
| 16 | December, 1990. |
| 17 | Classical Defaces |
| 18 | SUSAN G. PTACEK My Commission Expires: Certified Shorthand Reporter |
| 19 | December 10, 1993 Notary Public |
| 20 | |
| 21 | l do S erveze, kom in villem Stephenspelannin |
| 2 2 | de c entre de la companya della companya de la companya della com |
| 2 3 | heura or a a November of 1998. |
| 2 4 | Oil Conservation Division |
| 2 5 | On Conservation Diagnon |