BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF SANTA FE ENERGY
OPERATING PARTNERS, L.P. FOR
COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO.

No. 10,211 (De Novo)

MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P. (Santa Fe)
hereby moves the Commission for an order quashing the
Subpoena Duces Tecum issued April 26, 1991, which commands
Santa Fe to appear and produce certain documents set forth
therein. As grounds therefor, Santa Fe states:

- Hanley Petroleum Inc. is not entitled to the documents identified in the Subpoena under the Division's Rules and Regulations.
- 2. The documents and information described in the subpoena are confidential and proprietary in nature, and insufficient need has been shown to justify the issuance of a subpoena or the production of the requested documents.
- 3. Hanley Petroleum Inc. is not entitled to the information because it requests information and documents pertaining to the Kachina "5" Fed. Well No. 1, which is not an offsetting well to the proposed well at issue in the above-referenced case.
- 4. Applicant also requests that the Commission quash the "instructions" portion of the Subpoena insofar as it (a) seeks to broaden the scope of the requested data, (b) is ambiguous and unclear as to what additional data may be sought thereunder, and (c) requests information that may be confidential and proprietary, constitutes privileged

attorney-client communications, or constitutes attorney work product immune from discovery.

5. If production is ordered, Santa Fe requests that the Commission order that the information be kept confidential, and otherwise protect the information from disclosure to third parties.

WHEREFORE, Santa Fe requests the Commission to quash the Subpoena Duces Tecum. Further, Santa Fe requests that the Commission protect this proprietary information and undertake adequate measures to assure that it will not be inadvertently disclosed to third parties.

Respectfully Submitted,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

James Bruce

500 Marquette, N.W.

Swite 800

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 768-1500

Abbannana fan Camba II

Attorneys for Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was mailed to W. Thomas Kellahin, P. O. Box 2265, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504, and William F. st. Carr, P. O. Box 2208, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 this day of April, 1991.

Bv

James Bruce

12

HINKLE COX, LATONON COFFIELD & HENSLEY

REC . ED ATTORNEYS AT LAW FRANKLIN H. McCALLUM

GREGORY J. NIBERT 500 MARQUETTE N.W., SUITE 800

DAVID T MARKETE! 500 MARQUETTE N.W., SUITE 800

MARK C. DOW
KAREN M. RICHARDSON
KAREN M. RICHARDSON
JAMES M. HUDSON (505) 768-1500

LEWIS C. COX PAUL W EATON
CONRAD E COFFIELD
HAROLD L HENSLEY, UR
STUART D. SHANDR
ERIC D. LARPHERE
JAMES M. HUDSON
STANLEY K. KOTOVSKY, JR.
BETTY H. LITTLE*
JEFFREY S. BAIRD*
HUTL S. MUSGRAVE
HUMAND R. THOMAS
PATRICIA A. WATTS
MACDOINELL GORDON
MELLAN ERIC JORDON
MELLEN S. CASEY
S. BARRY PAISNER
MARCHEL CATER LUDEWIG
MARTIN MEYERS PAUL W. EATON NANCY S. CUSACK
JEFFREY D. HEWETT
JAMES BRUCE
JERRY F. SHACKELFORD'
JEFFREY W. HELLBERG'
ALBERT L. PITTS
THOMAS M. HNASKO
JOHN C. CHAMBERS'
MICHAEL A. GROSS
THOMAS D. HAINES, JR.

MARTIN MEYERS MARTIN MEYERS
GREGORY S. WHEELER
ANDREW J. CLOUTIER
JAMES A GILLESPIE
GARY W. LARSON
STEPHANIE LANDRY

JOHN R. KULSETH, JR. LISA K. SMITH*

RICHARD 5, MORRIS

FAX (505) 768-1529

CLARENCE E. HINKLE (1901-1985) W. E. BONDURANT, JR. (1913-1973) ROY C. SNODGRASS, JR. (1914-1987)

April 30, 1991

700 UNITED BANK PLAZA POST OFFICE BOX IO ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88202 (505) 622-6510 FAX (505) 623-9332

2800 CLAYDESTA NATIONAL BANK BUILDING POST OFFICE BOX 3580 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702 (915) 683-4691 FAX (915) 683-6518

> 1700 TEAM BANK BUILDING POST OFFICE BOX 9238 AMARILLO, TEXAS 79105 (806) 372-5569 FAX (806) 372-9761

218 MONTEZUMA POST OFFICE BOX 2068 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 982-4554 FAX (505) 982-8623

*NOT LICENSED IN NEW MEXICO

Mr. William Lemay New Mexico Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Case 10,211 (De Novo)

Dear Mr. Lemay:

Enclosed with respect to the above case is the Motion of Santa Fe Energy to quash the Subpoena issued by the Division on April 26th.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

JB:le Enclosure By:

N OKERLAHIN, KELLAHIN AND AUBREY

CHE CONSER - AN RECEIVED

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EL PATIO BUILDING

W. THOMAS KERTARINES AM 10 07

117 NORTH GUADALUPE POST OFFICE BOX 2265 TELEPHONE (505) 982-4285 TELEFAX (505) 982-2047

CANDACE HAMANN CALLAHAN

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

JASON KELLAHIN OF COUNSEL

April 25, 1991

RECEIVED

APR 2 [1991

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

71/9

HAND DELIVERED

William J. LeMay
Oil Conservation Division
New Mexico Department of Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources
State Land Office Building
310 Oil Santa Fe Trail
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re: Case No. 10211

Application of Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners L.P for Compulsory Pooling, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. LeMay

On behalf of Hanley Petroleum Inc., I request that the Oil Conservation Division issue the enclosed Subpoena to Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P.

Hanley Petroleum Inc. is a working interest owner in the acreage which is the subject of Santa Fe's pooling application in the Undesignated South Corbin-Wolfcamp Pool. The subpoena seeks data from Santa Fe's newest Wolfcamp well, a northeast diagonal offset to the area involved in this case.

This case is currently scheduled for hearing on May 9, 1991 before the Commission. If we receive the information covered by this subpoena, we will need at least one week to review this data and prepare our case. We, therefore, request that the documents be produced at the Division Examiner hearing now set on May 2, 1991.

Mr. William J. LeMay April 25, 1991 Page 2

Your attention to this request is appreciated.

Yery truly yours,

Thomas Kellahin

WTK/tic Enclosure

cc: Mr. Jim Rogers
Hanley Petroleum Inc.
415 West Wall, Suite 1500
Midland, Texas 79701

James Bruce, Esq. Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley 500 Marquette, N.W. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

William F. Carr, Esq. Campbell & Black, P.A. 110 North Guadalupe Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCESEIVED BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APR 25 1991

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA FE ENERGY OPERATING PARTNERS, L.P. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 10211

SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

TO: Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P. c/o James Bruce, Esq.
Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley
500 Marquette, N.W.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

Pursuant to the power vested in this Division, you are commanded to produce at 8:15 A.M., May 2, 1991, to the offices of the Oil Conservation Division, State Land Office Building, 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 and make available for copying, all the following documents under the possession or control of Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P.:

For the following well:

Kachina "5" Federal Well No. 1 located in SW/4SE/4, Section 5, Township 18 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

Produce the following data:

1. Any and all pressure data, including but not

limited to bottom hole pressure surveys;

- Mechanical logs and mud logs, if any;
- 3. Any and all Gas Oil Ratio Tests;
- Any and all specific gravity information on the liquids;
- 5. Any and all production information including test data; and
- 6. Complete daily drilling and completion reports from inception to the latest available data for this well.

INSTRUCTIONS

This Subpoena Duces Tecum seeks all information available to you or in your possession, custody or control from any source, wherever situated, including but not limited to information from any files, records, documents, employees, former employees, counsel and former counsel. It is directed to each person to whom such information is a matter of personal knowledge.

When used herein, "you" or "your" refers to the person or entity to whom this Subpoena Duces Tecum is addressed to include all of his or its attorneys, officers, agent, employees, directors, representatives,

officials, departments, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, or predecessors.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

WILLIAM J. LeMAY Director

ISSUED THIS _____ day of ______, 1991, at Santa Fe, New Mexico.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA FE ENERGY OPERATING PARTNERS, L.P. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 10211

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have caused to be served
the original Subpoena Duces Tecum to Santa Fe Energy
Operating Partners, L.P., c/o James Bruce, Esq.,
Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley, 500 Marquette,
N.W., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102, on this day
of, 1991.
PROCESS SERVER
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day
of, 1991.
Notary Public
My Commission Expires:

1987/subt425.215

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY. MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT



OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

April 30, 1991

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. Kellahin, Kellahin and Aubrey P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265

Re: Cases Nos. (10211 and 10219 De Novo

Dear Mr. Kellahin:

I will attempt to respond to your numerous concerns and questions raised in your April 26, 1991 letter.

I acknowledge seeing your application on behalf of Hanley for a non-standard 40-acre proration unit for Hanley's 40-acre tract. I also acknowledge that application does not appear on the docket along with the <u>De Novo</u> cases. When that application was received there was some discussion among staff as to whether that should be docketed for hearing by the Commission or, because it was a new application, placed on an examiner docket for hearing. It is not clear whether the decision was definitively made not to include it on the Commission docket or whether it was an error or oversight. Nonetheless the problem does exist that that application is not on the docket and it does concern the same properties as are involved in the <u>De Novo</u> hearings.

I will recommend to the Commission that evidence which would support an application for 40-acre non-standard proration unit is relevant in the Commission case as an alternative remedy and if the Commission believes that it is an appropriate remedy, it can deny both applications for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit case can be docketed for an examiner hearing with guidance from the Commission.

Although you complain that the transcript is not available, Examiner Morrow has had the transcript since April 25, 1991. Apparently you did not order your own copy of the transcript and if you need to borrow the Commission's copy, please make arrangements through Florene and Mr. Morrow.

I believe a pre-hearing meeting would be appropriate to discuss how to conduct this case. Given the time-frame, I suggest that perhaps the best time for such a conference is Friday morning at 9:00 a.m. in the OCD Conference Room. I will schedule that time and reserve the conference room unless I hear otherwise from counsel. By copy of this letter I am also notifying Mr. Carr and Mr. Bruce of that scheduled conference. It will be the purpose of that meeting to iron out all procedural and evidentiary questions which may arise at the Commission hearing. I request that all parties bring a pre-hearing statement to that conference to be the basis for discussion.

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. April 30, 1991 Page 2

Mr. LeMay has addressed your request for an emergency order in a separate response. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

ROBERT G. STOVALL,

General Counsel

cc: William F. Carr, Esq. James Bruce, Esq.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

April 30, 1991

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. Kellahin, Kellahin and Aubrey P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265

Re: Cases Nos. 10211 and 10219 De Novo

Dear Mr. Kellahin:

Hanley Petroleum Inc.'s request for an emergency order to shut in the Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners Kachina "8" Federal No. 1 well is hereby denied. There is no showing of emergency or any other basis to justify the shutting in of a well.

The Kachina "8" Federal No. 1 Well is drilled at a standard location in a standard spacing unit and is producing in accordance with the Division Rules and Regulations. The Division entered Order No. R-9480 on March 29, 1991, pooling the interests of Hanley Petroleum into a well to be drilled by Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners in the SW/4 NW/4 of Section 8, Township 18 South, Range 33 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico to test the Corbin-Wolfcamp Pool. This well would protect Hanley's acreage in the NW/4 NW/4 from drainage.

Hanley Petroleum Inc. filed a request for <u>De Novo</u> Hearing in Cases 10211 and 10219 from which Order No. R-9480 was entered, and upon Hanley Petroleum's application, without opposition from the other parties in the case, said order was stayed pending hearing of the <u>De Novo</u> cases.

The fact that Hanley is dissatisfied with a Division order and has sought a hearing before the Commission does not constitute an emergency which would justify the Division shutting in a well producing from a legal location on a legal proration unit in accordance with Division Rules and Regulations.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM J. LEMAY,

Director

cc: William F. Carr, Esq. James Bruce, Esq.

CAMPBELL & BLACK, P.A.

LAWYERS

JACK M. CAMPBELL
BRUCE D. BLACK
MICHAEL B. CAMPBELL
WILLIAM F. CARR
BRADFORD C. BERGE
MARK F. SHERIDAN
WILLIAM P. SLATTERY
ANNIE-LAURIE COOGAN

JEFFERSON PLACE

SUITE I - IIO NORTH GUADALUPE POST OFFICE BOX 2208

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2208

TELEPHONE: (505) 988-4421
TELECOPIER: (505) 983-6043

April 29, 1991

HAND-DELIVERED

William J. LeMay, Director Oil Conservation Division New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503 RECEIVED

APR 2 9 1991

OIL CONSERVATION DIV. SANTA FE

Re: Oil Conservation Division Cases Nos. 10211 and 10219 (<u>De Novo</u>): Application of Hanley Petroleum Inc. for an Emergency Order

Dear Mr. LeMay:

On Friday, we received the request of Hanley Petroleum Inc. for an emergency order in the above referenced cases, shutting in the Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P. Kachina "8" Federal No. 1 Well located in the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 8, Township 18 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico. We represent Harvey E. Yates Company in this matter, a working interest owner in the Kachina "8" Federal No. 1 Well.

Harvey E. Yates Company vigorously opposes the request of Hanley for an emergency order for the following reasons:

- 1. The Kachina "8" Federal No. 1 Well is drilled at a standard location on a standard spacing or proration unit from which it is producing oil from the Undesignated Corbin-Wolfcamp Oil Pool.
- 2. That Division Order No. R-9480 which granted the application of Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P. for compulsory pooling and denied the application of Hanley Petroleum Inc. to pool the same acreage, has been stayed by the Division with the consent of all parties to the Division proceeding.

William J. LeMay, Director Oil Conservation Division April 29, 1991 Page Two

- 3. Harvey E. Yates Company will present testimony and otherwise actively participate in the May 9, 1991 Commission <u>De Novo</u> hearing which has been called on the application of Hanley Petroleum Inc. seeking review of Division Order No. R-9480. HEYCO will be ready to proceed whether or not the transcript of the Examiner hearing is ready for review prior to that time.
- 4. Hanley Petroleum Inc. has filed a supplemental application seeking, in the alternative to its 80-acre pooling application, authority to develop its acreage as a non-standard 40-acre spacing unit in the Wolfcamp formation. This application is inconsistent with its claim that wells in the area are draining in excess of 40-acres and therefore adversely affecting its interests in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 8.
- 5. Harvey E. Yates Company will vigorously oppose any continuance of the currently scheduled May 9, 1991 hearing in the above-referenced matter on the grounds that such continuance is unnecessary to enable all parties to be adequately prepared. HEYCO believes that any continuance of the May 9th hearing will result in unnecessary and unreasonable delays in the development of its acreage in the Wolfcamp formation.

Your consideration of this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM P. CARR

WFC:mlh

cc: W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. (Hand-Delivered) Jim Bruce, Esq.

> Ms Melissa Randall Harvey E. Yates Company Post Office Box 1933 Roswell, New Mexico 88202

KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN AND AUBREY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EL PATIO BUILDING
117 NORTH GUADALUPE
POST OFFICE BOX 2265

TELEPHONE (505) 982-4285 TELEFAX (505) 982-2047

CANDACE HAMANN CALLAHAN

JASON KELLAHIN OF COUNSEL

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

KAREN AUBREY

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

April 26, 1991

Robert G. Stovall, Esq. General Counsel Oil Conservation Commission State Land Office Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

HAND DELIVERED

Re: Hanley Petroleum Inc. NMOCD Case No. 10219 Order No. R-9480

Santa Fe Energy Operating Partners, L.P. NMOCD Case No. 10211 Order No. R-9480

Dear Mr. Stovall:

The referenced cases are set for a DeNovo hearing before the Commission on Thursday, May 9, 1991.

On April 11, 1991, I filed with the Division a Supplemental Application in the Hanley case pleading an alternative remedy. This application was filed in sufficient time to have this matter docketed in conjunction with the DeNovo case.

However, in receiving my copy of the Commission docket, I am alarmed to find that this request has not been docketed.

In addition, I am distressed to continue to be told that the transcript for the examiner hearing of this case on March 7, 1991 is still not available. It is becoming very difficult to adequately prepare for the DeNovo case in absence of the transcript and now with the failure to docket our request for alternative relief, there may be a notice defect in the case.

Robert G. Stovall, Esq. April 26, 1991 Page 2

As a result of the foregoing, please find enclosed an Application for an Emergency Order requiring Santa Fe Energy to shut in the immediate offsetting well which is draining and continues to drain the Hanley reserves while this matter is pending.

In addition, I request a pre-hearing conference of counsel for all parties so we can address procedure for presenting this case to the Commission. At present I anticipate that the Hanley case will require two days to hear.

W Thomas Kellahir

WTK/tic Enclosure

cc: William F. Carr, Esq.
James G. Bruce, Esq.
Mr. James Rogers

1987/ltrt425.215