

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

11 July 1984

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF

Application of Alpha Twenty-One
Production Company for hardship
gas well classification, Lea
County, New Mexico.

CASE
8252

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation
Division:

For the Applicant:

Robert H. Strand
Attorney at Law
ATWOOD, MALONE, MANN & TURNER
P. O. Drawer 700
Roswell, New Mexico 88201

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ROBERT WAYNE LANSFORD

Direct Examianation by Mr. Strand 3

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 13

E X H I B I T S

Applicant Exhibit One, Document 6

Applicant Exhibit Two, Reserve Study 9

Applicant Exhibit Three, Document 5

REPORTER'S NOTE: El Paso Natural Gas Company statement
included in original transcript.

1
2
3 MR. STAMETS: We'll call next
4 then Case 8252, which is application of Alpha Twenty-One
5 Production Company for hardship gas well classification, Lea
6 County, New Mexico.

7 MR. STRAND: Mr. Examiner,
8 Robert H. Strand of the firm of Atwood, Malone, Mann and
9 Turner in Roswell, appearing for the applicant, and Mr.
10 Lansford will also be the witness in this case.

11 MR. STAMETS: The record will
12 show that Mr. Lansford is previously sworn and qualified for
13 this case.

14 ROBERT WAYNE LANSFORD,
15 being previously sworn upon his oath, testified as follows,
16 to-wit:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. STRAND:

19 Q Mr. Lansford, are you familiar with the
20 application in Case Number 8252?

21 A Yes, sir, I am.

22 Q And have -- was there previously an ap-
23 plication filed, an administrative application filed in this
24 matter, dated May 31st, 1984?

25 A Yes, sir, there was.

Q And was that application prepared by you

1
2 or under your supervision?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q Would you please state for the record the
5 purpose of this application?

6 A Alpha Twenty-One Production Company seeks
7 an order designating its El Paso Smith No. 1 Well as a hard-
8 ship gas well pursuant to Commission Order No. R-7453.

9 Q And for the record what is the location
10 of this well?

11 A Unit N, Section 21, Township 24 South,
12 Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

13 Q From what formation does that well pro-
14 duce and from what pool?

15 A It produces from the Yates-Seven Rivers
16 in the Jalmat Pool.

17 Q And who is the transporter and gas pur-
18 chaser from that well?

19 A El Paso Natural Gas Company.

20 Q Would you state in some detail the record
21 -- for the record the problems that you would see resulting
22 from shutting in the El Paso Smith No. 1 Well or curtailing
23 it below its ability to produce?

24 A Yes. We've experienced, each time the
25 well has been shut in, that the corrosion and scale build-up
downhole would plug the well off and each time the well was
shut in we had a rig up on it and change out tubing, pump,
and reacidize the well to get it back on production.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q Mr. Lansford, can you summarize what steps, if any, you've taken to remedy this problem that results after shutting in of the well?

A I have aided the formation with scale inhibitors and I have a pump jack over the hole to pump the fluid out. When the well is shut in I'm not able to get any chemicals circulated through the wellbore system to protect it from corrosion or the scale build-up.

Q Mr. Lansford, I refer you to what we've designated as Exhibit Number Three. Would you please describe that?

A Exhibit Number Three is a laboratory analysis from Halliburton Services, showing our analysis of the scale that we retrieved out of the Smith No. 1 Well.

Q And this, is this the scale problem that you have referred to in your previous testimony?

A Yes, this is the scale build-up along with the corrosion problem.

Q And has Halliburton recommended a chemical treatment?

A Yes, sir, they recommended that each time I treat this well with an non-sequestering acid.

Q And are you currently utilizing this procedure?

A Yes, sir, I am.

Q What is the problem that results then when you shut in the well? This treatment does not work at

1
2 that point in time?

3 A It helps the well after the initial clean
4 up of the scale build-up and the well will go ahead and pro-
5 duce as long as the well is producing but when it's shut in
6 it tends to go ahead and just plug off the tubing, the pump,
and corrodes the tubing, tubular goods.

7 Q Would it be correct to say that at the
8 point you shut in the well this particular chemical treat-
9 ment is no longer effective?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q Mr. Lansford, are there any, to your
12 knowledge are there any mechanical means of preventing this
particular problem?

13 A The only one I can think of now is weekly
14 chemical treatment and the only way that would be effective
15 is to pump the well.

16 Q So then is it your opinion that it is ne-
17 cessary to keep this well pumping to remove the fluid on a
18 continual basis in order to prevent these problems which
19 you've testified to?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q I refer you to what we've designated Ex-
hibit Number One. Will you please describe that?

22 A Our Exhibit Number One shows our shut-in
23 occurrences and our well problems encountered after each
24 shut-in. Shown also is the cost it took to replace the tub-
25 ing that was eat up from the corrosion; the pump, and we al-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

so have an analysis from the pump repair showing, you know, what the problem was, it was definitely corrosion and the scale build-up in our pump. The tubing was eaten through by corrosion.

Q Are the shut-in periods or the shut-ins that you have listed on -- on that exhibit simply a sample of the shut-ins that you've had on the well?

A Yes, sir, this is just an example of four instances where we've done this but this has been occurring for the last several years.

Q What is the approximate cost each time you shut in the well to bring it back to a producing condition?

A Approximately \$3000. I didn't include the price of the -- cost of the acid jobs because I didn't feel like it was relevant because we had to do that anyway to get the scale away from the wellbore in the formation.

Q If the order requested in this application is not granted, how often would you anticipate the well would be shut in over the next one to two years?

A Well, that would depend on the market demand and I feel confident that it probably would be shut in at least every month.

Q And how long would it have to be shut in each time before this problem would occur?

A From past experience I would say a week.

Q And would you anticipate that such shut-

1
2 in periods would extend for a week or longer?

3 A Yes, sir, I would.

4 Q Mr. Lansford, what -- at what rate is the
5 well currently producing?

6 A The well is currently producing 87 Mcf
7 per day now.

8 Q Do you feel if the well was shut in, for
9 example, at least once a month and it was necessary to ex-
10 pend \$2 to \$3000, say, each month to get it back going, that
11 that well would be an economical producer at that point?

12 A Yes, sir, I would.

13 Q It would be an economical producer or
14 not?

15 A No, it wouldn't be. If it had to be shut
16 in and we had to rig up on it and acidize it each time, the
17 economics involved would be too much. We'd more than likely
18 plug the well.

19 Q How many more shut-in periods would you
20 estimate it would require before Alpha Twenty-One Production
21 Company as operator would probably plug the well?

22 A Well, it's getting pretty close now. Of
23 course our production is falling down fairly rapidly. I be-
24 lieve we could probably stand three or four more shut-ins.

25 Q Mr. Lansford, is the corrosion problem
that you've discussed here and the costs involved in reme-
dying that problem the primary basis for this application?

A Yes, sir, it is.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q You do not see any substantial problem of formation damage resulting from these shut-ins?

A I feel like the only formation problem we're going to see on this is from scale build-up inside the formation.

The Yates-Seven Rivers, the bottom hole temperature is around 95 to 98 degrees and I feel like we could keep the production up by acid and I don't think we'll see damage occur from any shut-in other than the scale build-up.

Q Have you seen any specific decrease in production rates after these shut-ins that you testified to?

A Yes, sir, I have. And it usually causes us to go ahead and reacidize the well and try to get the production increase back.

Q But you normally do get it back?

A Close, but it is falling off.

Q Mr. Lansford, I refer you to Exhibit Number Two. Will you please describe that?

A Exhibit Number Two is the computer design showing the reserves and economics on the Smith No. 1 Well.

Q Have you analyzed that reserve study to determine what total reserves might possibly be lost if this well was plugged?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q Would you please testify as to that total figure of reserves?

1
2 A Okay. Total ultimate reserves, 381-mil-
3 lion. And the lost estimated production to July the 1st,
4 1984 to December the 31st, 1984, would be 10-million cubic
5 feet.

6 Q What would be your estimate then of the
7 total amount of reserves?

8 A 201-million.

9 Q That, in reaching that figure did the re-
10 serve study show cumulative production through July 1st,
11 1984 of 170-million cubic feet?

12 A Yes, sir, it did.

13 Q And subtracting that figure plus the es-
14 timated reserves on July 1st, 1984 through December 31st,
15 1984 from your total ultimate production of 381-million, you
16 then arrive at the figure of 201-million cubic feet?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q Mr. Lansford, have you run any type of
19 minimum flow or log-off test on this particular well?

20 A No, sir, I have not.

21 Q Do you feel there's any type of procedure
22 that could be utilized to determine the ultimate minimum
23 flow that you would request in this case?

24 A Yes, sir. I think we are at the minimum
25 flow of 87 Mcf per day. I mean, I'd like to get it up to
100 Mcf a day.

 MR. STRAND: Can we go off the
record? He needs some medication.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A All right.

Q Okay. As part of your original application filed in this case, Mr. Lansford, did you include a production summary?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q And that shows production on the well on a monthly basis from 1980 through April of 1984.

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Lansford, is it your opinion that the well is currently at its lowest economic point as far as maintaining it as a producer?

A Yes, sir, it is.

Q And you do not feel that it could be lowered any further considering the possibilities of excess scale build-up?

A Right, yes, sir.

Q So your best estimate of a minimum sustainable producing rate then is producing the well at approximately the 87 Mcf per day that it's currently producing.

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Lansford, does this well produce from a prorated pool?

A Yes, sir, it produces from the Jalmat Pool.

Q And is there any over or under production on this well at the present time?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A No, sir, it's classified as marginal.

Q And under that classification you're allowed to produce it at its maximum rate of production?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Lansford, was notice of this application given to all offset operators and to El Paso Natural Gas Company as transporter?

A Yes, sir, it was.

Q Is it your opinion that in the even this application is not granted and that the El Paso Smith No. 1 Well continues to be shut in periodically that underground waste will occur as a result of having to prematurely plug the well?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is it further your opinion that granting this application will promote conservation, prevent waste, and protect correlative rights?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were Exhibits Number One through Three prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, sir, they were.

MR. STRAND: Mr. Examiner, I would move admission of Exhibits One through Three.

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be admitted.

MR. STRAND: And also ask that administrative notice be taken of the materials filed with

1
2 the prior administrative application.

3 MR. STAMETS: We will take note
4 of those.

5 MR. STRAND: I have nothing
6 further.

7 CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. STAMETS:

9 Q Mr. Lansford, how are the chemicals which
10 you use put into this well?

11 A Initially we put in scale inhibitors in
12 the stimulation job and on each stimulation job, and we're
13 putting in scale inhibitors and corrosion inhibitors on a
14 biweekly treatment schedule by (not understood) and by a
chemical pump.

15 Of course the chemical treatment biweek-
16 ly, you know, won't do any good if the well is shut in and
17 no longer treating it.

18 Q When the treatment occurs immediately be-
19 fore shut-in, would that be sufficient to alleviate the
20 problem?

21 A I don't think so. Our biggest problem is
22 the iron sulphide scale and really the only effective treat-
23 ment for that is really soap, as bad as I hate to say it.
24 We do have polyacrylimides in there but that's mostly for
25 your calcium and bicarbonates and sulfates and that does
help to a certain extent but on your iron sulphide scale

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

it's most effective is just constant treatment of soap.

Q But if you give it this treatment before it's shut in will that last?

A No, sir, not -- I've tried it.

Q Okay. How much water is produced by this well?

A Okay. The Smith No. 1 is producing 225 barrels of water per month.

Q And that's at what gas rate or does that come regardless of the rate?

A Regardless of the rate. I do have it on pump jack.

Q Is your problem in this well gas production or just being able to keep the water producing?

A Just keep the water producing.

Q What's the minimum volume of water that you've got to produce in any day to keep the gas on, and what, then, is that gas volume?

A Okay, in that well we have about a 69 pound bottom hole pressure so you're talking about two or three barrels of water in the wellbore to kill that well.

So when you shut the pump off in about thirty minutes the well is dead.

Q Is this unique to your well or are most wells in this pool in this same shape?

A That's the only one I know of that's having that bad a problem.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q You show us on Exhibit One four periods of shut-in and some rather high costs, but then you mentioned that these were not the only shut-in periods.

A No, sir. I just took it -- that's on what I could dig out of the records before I came up here.

Q Now, did you have similar expenses after each shut-in period?

A Every one of them.

Q Every one, so you're looking, your average then at \$3000 that you spoke about earlier would be for all the shut-in periods, not only what's shown here but the ones that have not been shown.

A Yes, sir, I think for the unit on the hole each time I've had to shut it in.

Q What's the monthly income from this well?

MR. STRAND: Mr. Lansford, can you provide a monthly breakdown of income?

A Yes, sir, but it has been varying. It normally runs from \$2000 to \$3200 a month, depending on how much it's shut in.

Q Could you supply me with a breakdown which would show your income, your costs, these extra costs, and you indicated you can only afford to do this two or three more times, some demonstration that that is a fact, that these costs would be so high that you would not be able to keep the well on production?

A No problem, I've got that.

1
2 Q How -- how would you do a log off test
3 on this well? You've got the well pumping and it's also
4 flowing gas, flowing gas to the line. I presume after you
5 pinch the well back so far it's not going to pump water any
6 more.

7 A Right.

8 Q Now, could that sort of a test be done on
9 this well?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay, and that could be done without any
12 material damage to the well?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q Okay. We'd like to have that kind of a
15 test and ask that you let our Hobbs Office know when that
16 test is to be done.

17 MR. STAMETS: Are there any
18 other questions of the witness?

19 Aside from the El Paso state-
20 ment which is incorporated into this record, does anyone
21 else have anything to say in this case, as to this case?

22 Recognizing the additional ma-
23 terial to be supplied, the case will be taken under advise-
24 ment.

25 (Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY
that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Con-
servation Division was reported by me; that the said tran-
script is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing,
prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8252
heard by me on 7-11 1984.
Rickie J. Hunt Examiner
Oil Conservation Division



P. O. BOX 1492
EL PASO, TEXAS 79978
PHONE: 915-541-2600

El Paso Natural Gas Company neither concurs with nor objects to this application. El Paso recognizes that some wells should definitely be recognized as "hardship" wells. El Paso believes it must express to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division that anytime a well is declared a "hardship" well, then the extra production from that well must be taken from the total production from all other wells on our system. This increases the non-controllable gas taken into our system thereby reducing our flexibility of pipeline operations to take ratably and protect correlative rights.