

Dockets Nos. 40-84 and 41-84 are tentatively set for October 17 and October 31, 1984. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - OCTOBER 3, 1984

8 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Michael E. Stogner or Gilbert P. Quintana, Alternate Examiners:

CASE 8353: Application of Pogo Producing Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location 660 feet from the South and East lines of Section 14, Township 24 South, Range 28 East, Malaga Atoka and Malaga Morrow Fields, the S/2 of said Section 14 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 8336: (Continued from September 5, 1984, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Kaiser-Francis Oil Company for HARDSHIP GAS WELL CLASSIFICATION, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a determination that its Pure Gold "A" Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit A of Section 21, Township 23 South, Range 31 East, West Sand Dunes-Morrow Pool, is a hardship gas well which should be granted priority access to pipeline takes in order to avoid waste.

CASE 8348: (Continued and Readvertised)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location 660 feet from the North line and 1100 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, Casey-Strawn Pool, the N/2 NW/4 of said Section 27 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 8354: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Santa Fe County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the La Mesa Unit comprising 80,000 acres, more or less, of State, Federal and Fee lands in Townships 15, 16, 17 and 18 North, Ranges 8 and 9 East.

CASE 8355: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Chaves and Otero Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the One Three Unit comprising 176,800 acres, more or less, of State, Federal and Fee lands in Townships 16, 17, 18 and 19 South, Ranges 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 East.

CASE 8356: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Santa Fe and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Caja Del Rio Grande Unit comprising 122,600 acres, more or less, of State, Federal and Fee lands in Townships 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 North, Ranges 6, 7, and 8 East.

CASE 8357: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the top of the Wolfcamp through the base of the Morrow formation underlying the S/2 of Section 2, Township 20 South, Range 29 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 8303: (Continued from September 19, 1984, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for an exception to Rules 2(B) and 5(A) of Division Order R-1670-I, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 2(B) of Division Order R-1670-I to permit applicant to complete its well at an unorthodox oil well location 1650 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East line of Section 20, Township 8 South, Range 38 East, Bluit-San Andres Associated Pool. Applicant also seeks an exception to Rule 5(A) of Division Order R-1670-I for approval of a non-standard 80-acre oil proration unit comprising the SW/4 NE/4 and NW/4 SE/4 of said Section 20 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 8348: (Continued from September 19, 1984, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location 950 feet from the North line and 1500 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 16 South, Range 37 East, Casey-Strawn Pool, the N/2 NW/4 of said Section 27 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 8358: (This Case will be dismissed)

Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Morrow formation underlying the S/2 of Section 24, Township 16 South, Range 27 East, to be dedicated to a gas well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 8226: (Continued from September 19, 1984, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Doyle Hartman for HARDSHIP GAS WELL CLASSIFICATION, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a determination that its South Empire State Com Well No. 1 located in Unit M of Section 24, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, South Empire-Morrow Gas Pool, is a hardship gas well which should be granted priority access to pipeline takes in order to avoid waste.

CASE 8359: Application of Doyle Hartman for the reinstatement of cancelled underproduction, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order to reinstate the cancelled underproduction assigned to the existing 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprised of the S/2 of Section 17, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, and dedicated to its Late Thomas Wells Nos. 1, 2, and 3 located in Units M, L, and J, respectively, of said Section 17.

CASE 8360: Application of Doyle Hartman for the reinstatement of cancelled underproduction, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order to reinstate the cancelled underproduction assigned to the existing 80-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprised of the E/2 SW/4 of Section 36, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, and dedicated to its Maralo State Well No. 1 located in the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 36.

CASE 8361: Application of Doyle Hartman for the reinstatement of cancelled underproduction, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order to reinstate the cancelled underproduction assigned to the existing 80-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprised of the SE/4 NW/4 and SW/4 NE/4 of Section 36, Township 24 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, and dedicated to its Custer State Well No. 1 located in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 36.

CASE 8362: Application of Southland Royalty Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the W/2 of Section 16, Township 18 South, Range 29 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 8363: Application of Southland Royalty Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 24, Township 24 South, Range 33 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.