

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico

8 May 1985

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a nonstandard gas proration unit and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE
8597

BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation
Division:

Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel for the Division
Oil Conservation Division
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

W. Thomas Kellahin
Attorney at Law
KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
P. O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

LES MUNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin 3

E X H I B I T S

Gulf Exhibit One, Application	4
Gulf Exhibit Two, Return Receipts	6
Gulf Exhibit Three, Plat	7
Gulf Exhibit Four, Plat	8
Gulf Exhibit Five, Curve	9
Gulf Exhibit Six, Diagram	10
Gulf Exhibit Seven, Production History	11
Gulf Exhibit Eight, C-103	12
Gulf Exhibit Nine, C-103	12

1
2 MR. QUINTANA: We'll call next
3 Case 8597.

4 MR. TAYLOR: The application of
5 Gulf Oil Corporation for a nonstandard gas proration unit
6 and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

7 MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner
8 please, I'm Tom Kellahin, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on
9 behalf of the applicant and I have one witness to be sworn.

10 MR. QUINTANA: Are there other
11 appearances in this case?

12 If not, sir, would you please
13 stand up to be sworn in at this time?

14
15 (Witness sworn.)

16
17 LES MUNSON,
18 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
19 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

20
21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

23 Q Mr. Munson, for the record would you
24 please state your name and occupation?

25 A My name is Les Munson. I'm a petroleum

1 engineer with Gulf Oil Corporation, Midland, Texas.

2 Q Mr. Munson, have you previously testified
3 before the Oil Conservation Division and had your qualifica-
4 tions as a petroleum engineer accepted and made a matter of
5 record?

6 A I have.

7 Q Pursuant to your employment with Gulf Oil
8 Corporation, have you made a study of the engineering facts
9 surrounding this application?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And have you prepared certain exhibits
12 for introduction today?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr.
15 Munson as an expert petroleum engineer.

16 MR. QUINTANA: He's considered
17 qualified.

18 Q Mr. Munson, would you summarize for us
19 what Gulf Oil Corporation is seeking to accomplish with this
20 application?

21 A We seek to -- we seek approval of an un-
22 orthodox location and nonstandard proration unit for our R.
23 R. Bell NCTC Well No. 5 in Lea County, New Mexico.

24 Q Let me direct your attention, Mr. Munson,
25 to what is marked as Gulf's Exhibit Number One and have you

1 identify that letter for us.

2 A Exhibit One is a copy of the original ap-
3 plication sent to Mr. Catanach on April 2nd, 1985.

4 In it is Form C-102 that described the
5 acreage to be dedicated in this proration unit. If you'll
6 look back, you'll see that it consists of the west half of
7 Section 15, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Lea County.

8 Q When we're dealing with gas wells in the
9 Eumont Gas Pool, Mr. Munson, what would be a standard prora-
10 tion unit to dedicate in that pool?

11 A It's my understanding it's -- the rules
12 call for 640 acres and they define spacing for 320's and
13 160's in the rules, also.

14 Q All right, sir, so you're seeking the de-
15 dication of the west half of the section and that would con-
16 stitute a nonstandard proration unit of 320 acres.

17 A That's correct.

18 Q In addition, sir, would you identify for
19 us what it is that's unorthodox about the location for the
20 No. 5 Well?

21 A The spacing rules for a 320-acre prora-
22 tion unit in the Eumont call for a well to be located at
23 least 1980 feet from the end of a proration unit.

24 This well will be located 1470 feet from
25 the end of the proration unit, and that is the -- against

1 the rule in question.

2 Q Why was this not processed administra-
3 tively through the Division, Mr. Munson?

4 A I believe it was because the application
5 or the location was not based on topographic or geologic
6 factors.

7 Q All right, sir. Let's go, then, through
8 the rest of the application.

9 We have described the C-102. Would you
10 describe the information next contained?

11 A Okay. On the next page is Form C-101.
12 That's our application to drill and submittal of casing pro-
13 gram.

14 The next page after that gives a schema-
15 tic.

16 After that, list of offset operators, and
17 these are operators who were notified of our application by
18 copy of this letter, and a plat from a commercial map is on
19 the back of that.

20 Q All right, sir, now let's turn to Exhibit
21 Number Two and have you identify that.

22 A Exhibit Number Two is a copy of return
23 receipts and returned from the operators listed on the oper-
24 ators list in the application. They were all returned.

25 Q Let's turn now to Exhibit Number Three,

1 Mr. Munson, and talk about Gulf's reasons for its proposed
2 No. 5 Well.

3 A Okay. The reason for that location comes
4 as a result of the Eunice-Monument South unitization.

5 Q Let's describe and refresh for the Exami-
6 ner's memory what the situation is with the Eunice-Monument
7 South Unit, and what are the obligations of the working in-
8 terest owners in terms of contributing wellbores to that
9 unit.

10 A Okay. The consenting -- the operators
11 who join the operating agreement are bound by the agreement
12 to donate either a wellbore or pay 250 -- \$200,000 penalty
13 for each wellbore that can't produce for the 40-acre prora-
14 tion that's in that unit.

15 Q And this is a unit that is for secondary
16 recovery of oil.

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Would you be contributing a wellbore to
19 the unit within this northwest quarter of Section 15?

20 A We will -- we will have four wellbores to
21 contribute but the R. R. Bell "C" No. 4 Well is dually com-
22 pleted in both the Eunice-Monument Grayburg-San Andres and
23 the Eumont Gas.

24 Q The No. 4 Well is depicted on Exhibit
25 Number Three?

1 A That's right.

2 Q All right, let's look at the same time at
3 Exhibit Number Four and have you describe what type of wells
4 are identified on that exhibit.

5 A Okay. Exhibit Four shows completions in
6 the Eunice-Monument Grayburg-San Andres Field.

7 This is a nine-section area that sur-
8 rounds and includes Section 15, subject of today, and you
9 can see on that in the northwest quarter of Section 15 the
10 four wells in the unit and also the Bell "C" No. 4 that is
11 dually completed in both fields.

12 Q All right. Again, when we look at the
13 Gulf No. 4 Well, what is -- what is Gulf going to do with
14 that wellbore?

15 A The operating agreement stipulates that
16 none of the wellbores dedicated to the Eunice-Monument South
17 Unit may be dually completed.

18 It is Gulf's intention to abandon the Eu-
19 mont gas in this well and redrill the No. 5 Well to recover
20 reserves from this -- from this northwest quarter.

21 Q Is the No. 4 Well located in such a posi-
22 tion in Section 15 that it is suitable for purposes of the
23 unit?

24 A It is located in an ideal position for
25 the waterflood pattern we wish to institute in that unit.

1 Q Is the No. 5 Well, the proposed well that
2 you're to drill pursuant to this order, is that located at a
3 point in the reservoir that will allow Gulf to recover gas
4 from the Eumont Gas Pool that would not otherwise have been
5 recovered from the No. 4 Well?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q All right, sir, let's turn to the reasons
8 you can reach that conclusion, Mr. Munson, and have you
9 identify for us Exhibit Number Five, first of all.

10 A Number Five is a copy of Dwight's curve.
11 The data included on that curve include bottom hole pressure
12 over Z plotted as a function of --

13 Q This is for which well?

14 A It's for the R. R. Bell NCTC Well No. 4.

15 Q All right.

16 A And it applies to the Eumont gas comple-
17 tion in that well.

18 Plotted on this exhibit, some of the data
19 plotted on this exhibit includes bottom hole pressure over Z
20 versus cumulative production.

21 A standard analysis of this data indi-
22 cates that ultimate recovery from the Eumont gas at this lo-
23 cation could be as high as 11 BCF.

24 In practicality the abandonment pressure
25 will probably be around 175 psi.

1 Q How long has this -- how long has the No.
2 4 Well been producing from the Eumont Gas Pool?

3 A It's been producing since 1937. Excuse
4 me, since 1955.

5 Q And can you approximate for us the cumu-
6 lative production from the Eumont Gas Pool?

7 A Yes, cumulative as of March, 1985, was
8 approximately 8.16 BCF and that represents 91 percent of the
9 calculated recoverable reserves from this well, and we be-
10 lieve it has nearly reached its productive life.

11 Q You've reached that conclusion based upon
12 information including Exhibit Number Five, which is the P/z
13 curve?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q All right, sir. Would you now turn to
16 Exhibit Number Six and identify that for us?

17 A Exhibit Number Six depicts the Well No. 4
18 and also the proposed Well No. 5.

19 A drainage radius for the No. 4 Well has
20 been calculated at 1648 feet. This represents an area of
21 196 acres.

22 A similar circle was drawn around --
23 around the proposed well to indicate what we expect to re-
24 cover from that well.

25 The cross hatched area indicates addi-

1 tional reservoir, or additional reserves that will be re-
2 covered from the No. 5 Well that would not have been re-
3 covered otherwise from the No. 4 Well.

4 The calculated recovery for this area in
5 the Eumont is 51.5 MMCF per acre.

6 The area represented cross hatched is ap-
7 proximately 52 acres and we calculate an additional recovery
8 of approximately 2.7 BCF from that area.

9 Q That is what you calculate to be the ad-
10 ditional recovery of gas from Eumont Gas Pool that would not
11 have been recovered from the No. 4 Well?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q In your opinion is the No. 5 Well needed
14 at this location in order to effectively and efficiently
15 drain Eumont Gas Pool?

16 A I believe so, and the reason being that
17 there are reserves to be -- there are reserves to be re-
18 covered up there yet, and that's what we intend to do.

19 Q In your opinion would the No. 4 Well,
20 should it have been produced all the way through abandon-
21 ment, produce the reserves that you would now produce from
22 the No. 5 Well?

23 A I don't -- no, I don't believe so.

24 Q All right, sir. Let's go now to Exhibit
25 Number Seven and have you identify that for us.

1 A Exhibit Seven is a production history
2 from the -- from both zones completed in the R. R. Bell NCTC
3 Well No. 4.

4 As you can see, production began in 1937
5 from the Eunice-Monument Grayburg-San Andres Pool.

6 Production from the Eumont prorated gas
7 pool began in 1955.

8 Cumulative production from each of those,
9 for the Eunice-Monument Grayburg-San Andres, 470,007 barrels
10 of oil and the Eumont prorated -- the Eumont Gas has pro-
11 duced 8,158,000,000 cubic feet.

12 Q All right, sir, let's go to Exhibit Num-
13 ber Eight and have you identify that.

14 A Exhibit Eight is a copy of Form C-103
15 that was filed indicating our intention to plug the Eumont
16 Gas in the "C" No. 4 Well. It was filed February 28th,
17 1985, signed by our area engineer in Hobbs.

18 Exhibit Number Nine is a copy of Form C-
19 103 filed subsequent to the plugging of the Eumont zone, and
20 it's dated May 6th, 1985. It's also signed by our area en-
21 gineer in Hobbs.

22 Q All right, sir, in summary, then, Mr.
23 Munson, what conclusions do you reach from your engineering
24 study of the No. 4 Well and the proposed No. 5 Well in terms
25 of recovering additional reserves underlying this proration

1 unit?

2 A The abandonment of the Eumont No. 4 Well
3 was stipulated by the operating agreement. There are re-
4 serves to be -- there are reserves yet to be recovered from
5 this portion of the proration unit and we feel the No. 5
6 Well is in a good position to recover those reserves, and
7 the additional recovery of 2.7 BCF from the No. 5 Well we
8 feel to be not an insignificant amount of gas to be re-
9 covered from this well.

10 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes
11 our examination of Mr. Munson.

12 We move the introduction of Ex-
13 hibits One through Nine.

14 MR. QUINTANA: Exhibits One
15 through Nine will be entered into evidence.

16 I have no questions of the wit-
17 ness.

18 Are there further questions of
19 the witness?

20 If not, he may be excused.

21 Is there anything further in
22 Case 8597?

23 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.

24 MR. QUINTANA: If not, it will
25 be taken under advisement.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of case No. 8597 heard by me on May 8 1985.
Silbert P. Quintana Examiner
Oil Conservation Division