1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO			
3	9 January 1986			
4	EXAMINER HEARING			
5				
6	IN THE MATTER OF:			
7	Application of Crown Central Petro- CASE			
8	<pre>leum Corporation for salt water dis- 8804 posal, Chaves County, New Mexico.</pre>			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner			
14				
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
16	APPEARANCES			
17				
18	For the Division: Jeff Taylor Attorney at Law			
19	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.			
20	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			
21	For the Applicant: W. Perry Pearce			
22	Attorney at Law MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS P. A.			
23	Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			
24	Sanda 18, non non188 67301			
25				

		2
1		
2	INDEX	
3		
4	KEN KIRBY	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce	4
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	14
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12		
13	Crown Exhibit One, Form C-108 & Att.	5
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

MR. CATANACH: We'll call next

3

Case 8804.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation for salt water disposal, Chaves County, New Mexico.

> MR. CATANACH: Are there

appearances in this case?

MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce of the Santa Fe law firm of Montgomery & Andrews, appearing in this matter on behalf of the applicant, Crown Central Petroleum.

I have one witness to be sworn.

MR. CATANACH: Are there other

appearances in this case?

Will the witness please stand

to be sworn in?

(Witness sworn.)

KEN KIRBY,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PEARCE:

Q Thank you, sir. For the record would you please state your name, place of employment, and business address?

A Okay. My name is Ken Kirby. I'm employed with Crown Central Petroleum Corporation; address is 4000 North Big Spring, Suite 213, Midland, Texas, 79705.

Q Mr. Kirby, have you ever testified before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division or one of its examiners before?

A No, I have not.

Q All right, sir. For the record, please, would you state your educational background as it relates to the oil and gas industry?

A Yes, I received a Bachelor of Science in petroleum engineering from the University of Oklahoma in 1982 and I've been working as a production engineer for Crown Central Petroleum for approximately three and a half years.

Q All right, sir, and would you please state briefly the purpose of the application today?

A Okay, the purpose of the application is to request authorization for a salt water disposal well for our Humble State "B" 1 in Chaves County.

the

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, are 1 the witness' qualifications acceptable as an expert in geol-2 ogy -- pardon me, petroleum engineering? 3 MR. CATANACH: Mr. Kirby, have you worked in the area that you are requesting the disposal 5 of water? 6 7 Α Yes, I have. I've had that area for approximately three years. 8 MR. CATANACH: The witness is 9 considered qualified. 10 11 MR. PEARCE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 12 Mr. Kirby, if I may, let me direct your 13 attention to what I have marked as Crown Central Exhibit 14 Number One, which is Oil Conservation Division Form C-108, 15 with attachments. 16 During the course of this direct testi-17 mony I will go through this form and the documents which are 18 attached to it for the examiner and those in attendance. 19 20 If you would initially, sir, please turn 21 to the first long sheet of paper in that exhibit, which is a 22 land plat, and describe that for us. 23 Okay, this is a land plat showing

with an arrow pointing to the well of interest,

Humble State "B" l, and it has a half mile radius circle

24

25

drawn around the well.

Q All right, sir. Included within that circle I see several wells. Would you please briefly summarize the status of those wells for the examiner and those in attendance?

A Okay. Crown Central owns two of these wells. The third is the disposal well, or the Humble "B" State 1, which we also own.

We have two producing wells, the Humble
State "A" 1 and "A" 2.

The "A" l is producing from the Bough C formation and the "A" 2 is a plugged back well producing from the San Andres.

"BW" 6, which was plugged and abandoned, and Baron Corporation has one well that -- the Levick State No. 1 Well, which is also plugged and abandoned.

Q Okay, and the two wells which Crown Central operates are the only producing wells within the area of interest, is that correct, sir?

A That's correct.

Q Thank you. At this time I'd ask you to turn the page to the injection well data sheet for the proposed injection well and review for the examiner the casing program of that well.

A Okay. The well has 13-3/8ths surface casing set at 409 foot with an 8-5/8ths intermediate string set at 3569 foot, and 4-1/2 inch long string set at 9,066 foot.

We propose to run 2-3/8ths SALTA-lined, which is a PVC-lined tubing with a Geiberson Uni-5 tension packer set at 8826.

Q I'm sorry, sir, if you mentioned it I missed it, what are the perforations in the well presently?

A Okay, present perforations are Bough C, Bough C Pennsylvanian perfs, 8906 to 9020.

Q And you propose to inject through those same perforations, is that correct?

A Yes, we do.

Q All right, sir. If you would please turn to the next page, which is another schematic of the "BW" No. 6 Well. This, I believe you mentioned, was an Exxon-operated well at one time?

A Yes, this was an Exxon Bough C well, which was produced from the Bough C until 1973 when they plugged and abandoned the well.

The well was plugged and abandoned when a fish was lost in the hole. Okay, when they -- after the tubing fish was lost they set a 25-sack cement plug at 8900 foot and then they shot and pulled the 4-1/2 inch casing at

3637 foot, setting a 50-sack cement plug; and then out of the 4-1/2 inch casing stub and the 7-5/8ths casing shoe.

The 7-5/8ths was then pulled at 742 foot where they set a 60-sack cement plug in and out of the casing stub, and another 60-sack plug in and out of the 10-3/4 surface shoe, yeah, casing shoe.

Then they set a 10-sack surface plug with a dry hole marker.

Q All right, sir, and as I understand it, that plugging job was done in 1973?

A That's correct.

Q In your opinion as a petroleum engineer, does the plugging program on the well adequately separate the Bough C formation from other possible producing formations?

A Yes, it does.

Q All right, sir, I would ask you at this time to turn the page of the next exhibit, which is another well schematic, this one of the Levick State No. 1 Well.

A Okay, the Levick State No. 1 is temporarily abandoned due to collapsed casing at 5775 to 5921, and after a short workover they commenced to plug and abandon the well by setting a cast iron bridge plug at 5750, topping that with 35 sacks of cement.

They then pulled the 4-1/2 inch casing at

 3775, setting 100-foot of cement in and out of the casing stub, and another 100 foot of cement in and out of the 7-5/8ths casing shoe.

According to all Commission records, the well was then -- they then stopped operations waiting on a casing pulling rig to pull the 7-5/8ths. This was in 1975 and the well has been temporarily abandoned since then.

All right, sir, in your opinion as a petroleum engineer, do you believe that the plugging program which has been conducted on this well to date is adequate to separate the Bough C formation from other possible producing formations in the area?

A Yes, it has.

Q Mr. Kirby, out of interest, as a petroleum engineer, if you were going to proceed to complete the final plugging of this well, what additional steps would you take?

A The additional steps to be taken would be to shot and pull the 7-5/8ths casing, setting a plug in the casing stub and another plug of cement in the 10-3/4 surface pipe shoe, with a surface plug and dry hole marker.

Q In your opinion, sir, would any of those steps add additional protection separating the Bough C from other producing formations?

No, they wouldn't.

```
All right,
                                  sir. At this time, if I may,
1
                let's look back up to the front of the applica-
2
        Kirby,
    tion, the second page has some well data on the Hunble State
3
    "A" No. 1 Well and the Humble State "A" 2 Well, which are
    operated by Crown Central, and if you would review the cas-
5
    ing programs and present operation of those wells for
6
   please.
7
            Α
                       Okay, the Humble State "A" l is a produc-
8
    ing well pumping from the Bough C formation. It has
                                                             13-
9
    3/8ths surface pipe set at 420 foot; has 8-5/8ths
                                                        interme-
10
    diate set at 3566; and 4-1/2 inch long string set at 9,089
11
    foot.
12
                       The well is presently pumping approxi-
13
    mately 17 barrels a day oil.
14
15
            0
                       At this time, sir, if you would,
    refer to the land plat which we first addressed, and iden-
16
    tify which well that is you just addressed, please.
17
                       Okay, that is the well in the southwest
18
    of the southwest quarter of Section 21.
19
20
                        And
                             that is a well which produces from
21
    the zone in which you propose to inject, is that correct?
22
                       Yes, it is.
             Α
23
             Q
                       All right, let's go to the Humble
                                                           State
    "A" 2, please, sir.
24
25
                             Humble State "A" 2 is a San
             Α
                        The
```

well, which it has 13-3/8ths surface set at 417 foot; 8-5/8ths set at 3577; and 4-1/2 inch long string at 9,060.

The well was plugged back, after casing collapsed, by setting a cement retainer at 4649; let's see, casing collapsed at 5770. We set a cement retainer at 4649 and squeezed 300 sacks of Class A cement below the retainer as per Hobbs Commission Office.

Q All right, sir, at this point, if you would, let's turn the page to the item marked Roman Numeral VII, which is a general description of your expected operations of this injection well, and review those for the examiner, please.

A Okay, we expect an average injection rate of 32 barrels of water per day with a maximum of 50 barrels of water per day. It will be a closed system and the well is expected to take all water on a vacuum.

Q All right, sir, I would refer your attention to the item marked Roman Numeral XI. Are you aware of any water wells within one mile of the proposed injection well?

A No, I'm not.

Q And referring to the item marked Roman Numeral XII, is there any evidence of connection between the zone of injection, the Bough "C" Pennsylvanian, and any fresh water aquifer?

A No, there is not.

Q All right, sir, at this time I would ask you to refer to the first short page behind the well schematics which we addressed earlier. This page is entitled Produced Water Analysis.

Would you review the contents of this document for the Examiner, please?

A Okay. We took water analyses from both wells producing from the Bough C in the San Andres which are listed here. From these analyses we could not find any problems with precipitants or any other problems from combining the two waters.

We have also taken a sample jar and combined the two waters in their approximate percentages, and we have found no precipitants to be formed when you combine these two waters.

All right, sir, and for the record, as I understand it, the Humble State "A" No. 1 Well, the water of lower quality shown on this water analysis is water taken from the proposed injection zone; the better quality water is water to be mixed with that and injected from the San Andres, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q All right, sir, at this time I would ask you to turn the page. I find a letter from you to the Ros-

well Daily Record requesting that a legal notice be published. Attached to that is an affidavit of publication in the Roswell Daily Record, notifying the public of the proposal to convert this well to salt water disposal.

Did in fact Crown Central Petroleum cause this legal notice to be given?

A Yes, we did.

All right, sir, I would ask you to turn the page and I find a page which has a number of xeroxed copies of postal return receipts. Could you discuss each of those receipts, the party by whom it was received, and the reason notice was given to that party?

A Okay, we sent these three certified receipts to, one to the State of New Mexico, since they're the surface owner. We sent one to Exxon and Baron Corporation as offset operators, and another one to Union Texas Petroleum, on the next page, as an operator.

Deach to the land plat which we used earlier in the case. As I understand it, the State of New Mexico has the surface interest in these properties. Baron Corporation operated the plugged well to the west of the proposed injection location. Looking at that plat it appears that Union Texas Petroleum has acreage to the south of the section in question; therefore received notice.

1	I also note, sir, that there appears to		
2	be a corner of a tract which is also encompassed by the one-		
3	half mile radius circle. Could you describe the status of		
4	that land for us?		
5	A Yes. The northeast corner of this Sec-		
6	tion 28, is not leased. The last lease was expired in 5-		
7	19-1980, so it's unleased State land.		
8	Q Okay, and by whom was that lease held at		
9	that time?		
10	A Up to 1980 it was Boston Energy Company.		
11	Q All right. Thank you, sir.		
12	Do you have anything further in this case		
13	at this time?		
14	A No, I don't.		
15	MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I		
16	have nothing further at this time. I would tender the wit-		
17	ness for any questions you may have.		
18			
19	CROSS EXAMINATION		
20	BY MR. CATANACH:		
21	Q Mr. Kirby, referring to the schematic on		
22	the Exxon Corporation's Well "BW" No. 6, I don't see where		
23	it shows cement behind the 4-1/2 inch casing. Are you aware		
24	of how much cement they used to cement the casing?		
25	A No, I'm not. I got my information from		

1 Dwight's here and they did not show how much cement was run 2 behind the 4-1/2. 3 Okay. Can you provide me with that 4 formation if -- I believe it can be obtained from the well 5 file? 6 Α Yes, it can. 7 0 Also, on the Baron Corporation Levick 8 State No. 1, would you provide the same information on that 9 well for me? 10 Α Okay. 11 0 The produced water analysis you have sub-12 mitted, was that done by your company? 13 No, it was not. It was done by a company 14 in Levelland, Texas, and I believe it was B & R Petroleum. 15 I might have that --16 MR. PEARCE: If the Examiner 17 would like us to, we'll be happy to provide that information 18 for the record subsequent to this hearing when we provide 19 the information on cement behind the 4-1/2 on the other two 20 wells. 21 MR. CATANACH: That would be 22 fine, Mr. Pearce. 23 MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir. 24 Q One more question, you stated in your ap-25

plication that there were no fresh water wells within

16 1 one mile radius? 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 0 How was that information obtained, Mr. 4 Kirby? 5 Α It was obtained in the field by me 6 the foreman. 7 Mr. Kirby, to be certain on that point, 8 can I have you check with the State Engineer's Office they have all that information, and just to be sure, and if 10 you also would provide that information to me. 11 MR. PEARCE: We'll be happy to 12 do that, Mr. Examiner. 13 MR. CATANACH: Thank you. 14 have no further questions of this witness. 15 MR. PEARCE: I have nothing 16 further at this time, Mr. Examiner. 17 I would move the admission of 18 Crown Central Petroleum Exhibit Number One. 19 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number 20 One will be admitted into evidence. 21 MR. PEARCE: Thank you, Mr. 22 Examiner, I have nothing further. 23 MR. CATANACH: Is there any-24 thing further in Case 8804? 25 If not, it will be taken under advisement.

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Saly les. Boyd CSTZ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8804, heard by me on fam 9 1986.

Oil Conservation Division