

CASE 8863: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to permit Alana Oil & Gas Corporation, Fidelity and Deposit Company, and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Samantha Wells Nos. 1, 2, and 3 located 1580 feet from the South line and 1202 feet from the West line (Unit L), 1985 feet from the South line and 2290 feet from the West line (Unit K), and 1105 feet from the South line and 2490 feet from the West line (Unit N), respectively, all in Section 26, Township 28 North, Range 1 East, and the Alana Well No. 1 located 511 feet from the South line and 2144 feet from the West line (Unit N) of Section 11, Township 27 North, Range 1 East, all in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Division-approved plugging program.

CASE 8864: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to permit Suntex Energy Corporation, Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the following wells in Township 28 North, Range 1 East, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Division-approved plugging program:

Suntex #1
1824 feet from the North line and 570 feet from the West line
(Unit E) of Section 26;

Suntex #2
660 feet from the South line and 365 feet from the East line
(Unit P) of Section 22;

Suntex #3
1858 feet from the North line and 1800 feet from the West line
(Unit F) of Section 14;

Suntex #4
935 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the West line
(Unit C) of Section 23;

Suntex #5
2004 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the West line
(Unit K) of Section 11;

Suntex #6
1980 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line
(Unit L) of Section 23.

CASE 8855: (Continued from March 19, 1986, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Loco Hills Water Disposal Company for an oil treating plant permit, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority for the construction and operation of an oil treating plant for the purpose of treating and reclaiming sediment oil at the site of its commercial salt water disposal facility located in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 17 South, Range 30 East.

CASE 8773: (Continued from March 19, 1986, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Bliss Petroleum, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for its existing J. W. Grizzell "A" Well No. 1 located 1034 feet from the South line and 2635 feet from the West line of Section 5, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, San Andres formation, the SW/4 of said Section 5 to be dedicated to said well.

CASE 8865: Application of Santa Fe Exploration Company for Hardship Gas Well Classification, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks a determination that its Exxon State Com Well No. 1 located 1980 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line (Unit L) of Section 2, Township 20 South, Range 25 East, Cemetery-Morrow Gas Pool, is a hardship gas well which should be granted priority access to pipeline takes in order to avoid waste.

CASE 8805: (Continued from February 5, 1986, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Gary-Williams Oil Producer for a pressure maintenance project, Sandoval County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project in the Rio Puerco-Mancos Oil Pool by the reinjection of natural gas into the perforated interval from approximately 3691 feet to 4127 feet in its San Isidro "13" Well No. 11 located 1980 feet from the South and West lines of Section 13, Township 20 North, Range 3 West.

Nos. 12-86 and 13-86 are tentatively set for April 16 and April 30, 1986. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 2, 1986
8 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Michael E. Stogner, Examiner, or David R. Catanach, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 8852: (Continued from March 19, 1986, Examiner Hearing)

Application of the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider establishing six non-standard proration and spacing units for all formations and/or pools developed on 160-acre spacing from the base of the Blanco Mesaverde Pool downward in Sections 6, 7, 18, 19, 30, and 31, Township 26 North, Range 2 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Said non-standard units are necessitated by irregular Sections resulting from survey corrections in the United States Public Lands Survey.

CASE 8853: (Continued from March 19, 1986, Examiner Hearing)

Application of the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider establishing six non-standard proration and spacing units for any and all formations and/or pools developed on 320-acre spacing from the base of the Blanco Mesaverde Pool downward in Sections 5 through 8, 17 through 20, and 29 through 32, all in Township 26 North, Range 2 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Said non-standard units are necessitated for the orderly development of this area due to the irregular sections resulting from survey corrections in the United States Public Lands Survey.

CASE 8854: (Readvertised)

Application of the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for exceptions to the Special Rules for the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Order Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, respectively, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, the OCD seeks exceptions to the spacing and one well per proration unit limitation provisions of the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Orders Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, authorizing the establishment of seven non-standard oil spacing and proration units for both pools in Township 25 North, Range 2 West, as described below:

- 1) Lots 3 and 4, S/2 NW/4, SW/4 of Section 5 and all of Section 6 consisting of 505.20 acres, more or less;
- 2) All of Section 7 and the W/2 of Section 8 consisting of 505.84 acres, more or less;
- 3) W/2 of Section 17 and all of Section 18 consisting of 506.36 acres, more or less;
- 4) All of Section 19 and the W/2 of Section 20 consisting of 506.76 acres, more or less;
- 5) N/2 of Section 29 and Lots 1 and 2 of Section 30 consisting of 413.59 acres, more or less;
- 6) S/2 of Section 29 and Lots 3 and 4 of Section 30 consisting of 413.73 acres, more or less, and
- 7) All of Section 31 and the W/2 of Section 32 consisting of 507.88 acres, more or less.

Said units would allow for the orderly development of both pools within the irregular sections along the western side of the subject township resulting from survey corrections in the United States Land Survey. The OCD proposes to allow two wells to be completed in both pools in each of the above-described units.

CASE 8862: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to permit Gipson Oil Corporation and other interested parties to appear and show cause why the John Bergin Well No. 2 located 2440 feet from the North line and 1520 feet from the West line of Section 21, Township 29 North, Range 11 West, San Juan County, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Division-approved plugging program.