

Said units would allow for the orderly development of both pools within the irregular sections along the western side of the subject township resulting from survey corrections in the United States Land Survey. The OCD proposes to allow two wells to be completed in both pools in each of the above-described units. Upon application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 8995: Application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc. for a non-standard oil proration unit and an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 185.84-acre non-standard oil spacing and proration unit comprising Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 7, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, Gavilan-Mancos and Gavilan Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota Oil Pools, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox oil well location 1700 feet from the North line and 600 feet from the East line of said Section 7.

CASE 8996: Application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc. for a non-standard oil proration unit and an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 186.36-acre non-standard oil spacing and proration unit comprising Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 18, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, Gavilan-Mancos and Gavilan Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota Oil Pools to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox oil well location 1920 feet from the North line and 720 feet from the East line of said Section 18.

CASE 8951: (Continued from August 27, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for the amendment of Division Order No. R-8124, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division by Division Order No. R-8124, dated January 16, 1986, issued in Case 8745, authorized the applicant to conduct a long-term reservoir pressure test in the Mancos formation using certain wells in Rio Arriba County and further authorized the shut-in of certain wells and provided six months after completion of the test during which certain accumulated underproductions can be made up. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, now seeks the amendment of said Order No. R-8124 to provide for twelve months after completion of the reservoir pressure test during which to make up the accumulated underproduction of certain wells resulting from the test. Applicant further requests that all other provisions in said Order No. R-8124 remain in full force and effect.

CASE 8952: (Continued from August 27, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for statutory unitization, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order unitizing, for the purpose of continued pressure maintenance operations, all mineral interests in the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Oil Pool underlying the Canada Ojitos Unit Area, which encompasses 69,567.235 acres, more or less, being all or portions of the following lands in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico:

Sections 6 through 8 and 17 through 20, Township 24 North, Range 1 East;
 Sections 1 through 15 and 23 through 24, Township 24 North, Range 1 West;
 Sections 5 through 8, 17 through 20 and 29 through 31, Township 25 North,
 Range 1 East;
 Sections 1 through 36, Township 25 North, Range 1 West;
 Sections 19 and 20 and 29 through 32, Township 26 North, Range 1 East;
 Sections 1 through 36, Township 26 North, Range 1 West.

Among the matters to be considered at the hearing will be the necessity of unit operations, the designation of a unit operator; the determination of the horizontal and vertical limits of the unit area; the determination of a fair, reasonable and equitable allocation of production and costs of production, to each of the various tracts in the unit area; the determination of credits and charges to be made among the various owners in the unit area for their investment in wells and equipment; and such other matters as may be necessary and appropriate for carrying on efficient unit operations, including, but not necessarily limited to, unit voting procedures, selection, removal, or substitution of unit operator, and time of commencement and termination of unit operations.

CASE 8994: Application of Union Texas Petroleum Corporation for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of production from the B.S. Mesa-Gallup, Basin-Dakota, and Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pools in the wellbore of its Jicarilla G Well No. 9 located 990 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the East line of Section 1, Township 26 North, Range 5 West.

Docket No. 29-86

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - THURSDAY - SEPTEMBER 18, 1986
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 8960: (De Novo)

Application of Marathon Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Siluro-Devonian formation underlying the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 14, Township 16 South, Range 38 East, to form a standard 40-acre spacing and proration unit for any and all formations and/or pools developed on 40-acre spacing, said unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. Upon application of Marathon Oil Company, this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 8781: (Rehearing)

Application of Petro-Thermo Corporation for an exception to Division Order No. R-3221 and for authorization to dispose of associated waste hydrocarbons and other solids, obtained in conjunction with the drilling and production of oil and gas into a disposal site on the surface, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the provisions of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, to permit the disposal of produced salt water onto the surface in the E/2 NE/4 of Section 16, Township 20 South, Range 32 East. Applicant further seeks authorization to dispose of associated waste hydrocarbons and other related solids, obtained in conjunction with the drilling and production of oil and gas, onto the surface in said area. Laguna Plata, sometimes referred to as Laguna Grande is located within a portion of the above-described area. Upon the appeal of Snyder Ranches, Inc. and Pollution Control, Inc. for rehearing, this case will be heard pursuant to the provisions of Division General Rules 1220 and 1222.

CASE 8854: (De Novo)

Application of the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for exceptions to the Special Rules for the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Order Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, respectively, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, the OCD seeks exceptions to the spacing and one well per proration unit limitation provisions of the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Orders Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, authorizing the establishment of seven non-standard oil spacing and proration units for both pools in Township 25 North, Range 2 West, as described below:

- 1) Lots 3 and 4, S/2 NW/4, SW/4 of Section 5 and all of Section 6 consisting of 505.20 acres, more or less;
- 2) All of Section 7 and the W/2 of Section 8 consisting of 505.84 acres, more or less;
- 3) W/2 of Section 17 and all of Section 18 consisting of 506.36 acres, more or less;
- 4) All of Section 19 and the W/2 of Section 20 consisting of 506.76 acres, more or less;
- 5) N/2 of Section 29 and Lots 1 and 2 of Section 30 consisting of 413.59 acres, more or less;
- 6) S/2 of Section 29 and Lots 3 and 4 of Section 30 consisting of 413.73 acres, more or less, and
- 7) All of Section 31 and the W/2 of Section 32 consisting of 507.88 acres, more or less.

CASE 8854: (De Novo) (Continued from September 18, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for exceptions to the Special Rules for the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Order Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, respectively, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The OCD seeks exceptions to the spacing and one well per proration unit limitation provisions of the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota and Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pools as promulgated by Division Orders Nos. R-7745 and R-7407, authorizing the establishment of seven non-standard oil spacing and proration units for both pools in Township 25 North, Range 2 West, as described below:

- 1) Lots 3 and 4, S/2 NW/4, SW/4 of Section 5 and all of Section 6 consisting of 505.20 acres, more or less;
- 2) All of Section 7 and the W/2 of Section 8 consisting of 505.84 acres, more or less;
- 3) W/2 of Section 17 and all of Section 18 consisting of 506.36 acres, more or less;
- 4) All of Section 19 and the W/2 of Section 20 consisting of 506.76 acres, more or less;
- 5) N/2 of Section 29 and Lots 1 and 2 of Section 30 consisting of 413.59 acres, more or less;
- 6) S/2 of Section 29 and Lots 3 and 4 of Section 30 consisting of 413.73 acres, more or less, and
- 7) All of Section 31 and the W/2 of Section 32 consisting of 507.88 acres, more or less.

Said units would allow for the orderly development of both pools within the irregular sections along the western side of the subject township resulting from survey corrections in the United States Land Survey. The OCD proposes to allow two wells to be completed in both pools in each of the above-described units. Upon application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., this case will be heard Da Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 8995: (Continued from September 18, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc. for a non-standard oil proration unit and an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 185.84-acre non-standard oil spacing and proration unit comprising Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 7, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, Gavilan-Mancos and Gavilan Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota Oil Pools, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox oil well location 1700 feet from the North line and 600 feet from the East line of said Section 7.

CASE 8996: (Continued from September 18, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of Mesa Grande Resources, Inc. for a non-standard oil proration unit and an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 186.36-acre non-standard oil spacing and proration unit comprising Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 18, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, Gavilan-Mancos and Gavilan Greenhorn-Graneros-Dakota Oil Pools to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox oil well location 1920 feet from the North line and 720 feet from the East line of said Section 18.

CASE 8951: (Continued from September 18, 1986 Commission Hearing)

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for the amendment of Division Order No. R-8124, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division by Division Order No. R-8124, dated January 16, 1986, issued in Case 8745, authorized the applicant to conduct a long-term reservoir pressure test in the Mancos formation using certain wells in Rio Arriba County and further authorized the shut-in of certain wells and provided six months after completion of the test during which certain accumulated underproductions can be made up. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, now seeks the amendment of said Order No. R-8124 to provide for twelve months after completion of the reservoir pressure test during which to make up the accumulated underproduction of certain wells resulting from test. Applicant further requests that all other provisions in said Order No. R-8124 remain in full force and effect.

CASE 9019: Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Niobrara member of the Mancos formation in the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Oil Pool underlying all of Section 19, Township 25 North, Range 1 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox oil well location 1,340 feet from the North line and 1,903 feet from the West line of said

Section 19. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 8952: (Continued from September 18, 1986, Commission Hearing)

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for statutory unitization, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order unitizing, for the purpose of continued pressure maintenance operations, all mineral interests in the West Puerto Chiquito-Mancos Oil Pool underlying the Canada Ojitos Unit Area, which encompasses 69,567.235 acres, more or less, being all or portions of the following lands in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico:

Sections 6 through 8 and 17 through 20, Township 24 North, Range 1 East;
Sections 1 through 15 and 23 through 24, Township 24 North, Range 1 West;
Sections 5 through 8, 17 through 20 and 29 through 31, Township 25 North, Range 1 East;
Sections 1 through 36, Township 25 North, Range 1 West;
Sections 19 and 20 and 29 through 32, Township 26 North, Range 1 East;
Sections 1 through 36, Township 26 North, Range 1 West.

Among the matters to be considered at the hearing will be the necessity of unit operations, the designation of a unit operator; the determination of the horizontal and vertical limits of the unit area; the determination of a fair, reasonable and equitable allocation of production and costs of production, to each of the various tracts in the unit area; the determination of credits and charges to be made among the various owners in the unit area for their investment in wells and equipment; and such other matters as may be necessary and appropriate for carrying on efficient unit operations, including, but not necessarily limited to, unit voting procedures, selection, removal, or substitution of unit operator, and time of commencement and termination of unit operations.