

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

17 February 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, contracting the vertical limits, and extending certain pools in Chaves and Lea Counties, New Mexico. CASE  
9315

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

For the Applicant:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X

JAMI BAILEY

Questions by Mr. Catanach

3

Division Exhibit One, Documents

4

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case 9315, which is in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, contracting the vertidal limits, and extending certain pools in Chaves and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

Are there appearances in this case?

JAMI BAILEY,

being called as a witness responded as follows to the questions posed by Mr. David Catanach:

Q Would you please state your name, by whom you are employed, and in what capacity?

A I am Jami Bailey with the Oil Conservation Division, geologist, in Santa Fe.

Q Ms. Bailey, have you previously testified before the Commission or its Examiners and had your credentials accepted?

A Yes.

Q Are you prepared to make recommendations to the Examiner today concerning the nomenclature of certain pools in Eddy and Chaves Counties?

A Yes.

1           Q           And are your recommendations prepared in  
2 the form of an exhibit?

3           A           Yes, they are.

4           Q           And how is that exhibit denominated?

5           A           Exhibit Number One.

6           Q           Would you please refer to Exhibit Number  
7 One and to the docket that's been distributed for the hear-  
8 ing today and point out any differences between the two?

9           A           There are no differences.

10          Q           Was Exhibit Number One in this case pre-  
11 pared by you or under your supervision and control or have  
12 you examined the contents of the documents and assured your-  
13 self of their accuracy?

14          A           Yes.

15          Q           Do you have anything further to add to  
16 your testimony?

17          A           No.

18                       MR. CATANACH: Exhibit One will  
19 be admitted into evidence in this case and this case is --  
20 will hereby to taken under advisement.

21                       And this hearing for Docket No.  
22 5-88 is hereby adjourned.

23

24                       (Hearing concluded.)

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY  
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the  
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;  
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record  
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a correct and true copy of the transcript as in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9315,  
heard by me on February 17, 1968.

David R. Catanzano, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division