

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

25 May 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE  
vation Division on its own motion for 9394  
an order creating and extending cer-  
tain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties,  
New Mexico.

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Charles E. Roybal  
Legal Counsel for the Division  
Oil Conservation Division  
State Land Office Building  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X

JAMI BAILEY

Direct Examination by Mr. Roybal 3  
Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner 5

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Documents 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOGNER: Let's call next Case Number 9394, which is in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating and extending certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

Call for appearances.

MR. ROYBAL: Charles Roybal for the Division. We have one witness, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. STOGNER: Are there any other appearances?

There appearing there is none. Will the witness please stand and be sworn at this time?

(Witness sworn.)

JAMI BAILEY,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROYBAL:

Q Will you please state your name, by whom you're employed and in what capacity?

A I am Jami Bailey, geologist for the Oil

1 Conservation Division.

2 Q Ms. Bailey, have you previously testified  
3 before the Commission or its Examiners and had your creden-  
4 tials accepted?

5 A Yes, I have.

6 Q All right, Ms. Bailey, are you prepared  
7 to make recommendations to the Examiner today concerning the  
8 nomenclature of certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties,  
9 New Mexico?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Are your recommendations prepared in the  
12 form of an exhibit?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And how has that exhibit been denomin-  
15 ated?

16 A As Exhibit One.

17 Q Would you please refer to Exhibit Number  
18 One and to the docket that's been distributed for hearing  
19 today and point out any differences between the two?

20 A There is a difference for paragraph (g).  
21 The extension of the Diablo San Andres Pool was advertised  
22 to be in Eddy County when it is actually in Chaves County.

23 Q Are there any other differences?

24 A No.

25 Q All right. Was Exhibit Number One in

1 this case prepared by you or under your supervision and con-  
2 trol or have you examined the contents of the documents and  
3 assured yourself of their accuracy?

4 A Yes.

5 Q All right. Do you have anything further  
6 to add to your testimony?

7 A No, I don't.

8 MR. ROYBAL: Mr. Hearing Exam-  
9 iner, we move the admission of Exhibit Number One in this  
10 case and we have no more -- further questions.

11 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Number  
12 One is admitted into evidence and Ms. Bailey is so quali-  
13 fied, if we didn't do that earlier.

14

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. STOGNER:

17 Q Ms. Bailey, in exhibit number (g) was the  
18 advertisement in the paper, did it specifically show whether  
19 it was Eddy County or Chaves County or -- I can't remember?

20 A The docket that was distributed showed it  
21 in Eddy County.

22 Q Oh, the docket that was distributed.

23 A That's right.

24 Q But as far as the advertisement that ap-  
25 peared in the papers, was that correct?

1           A           I did not check that.

2           Q           All righty, and that's the only changes  
3 you see.

4           A           Yes.

5           Q           Okay.

6                           MR. STOGNER: Is there anything  
7 else further in this case?

8                           MR. ROYBAL: Nothing further,  
9 Mr. Hearing Examiner.

10                          MS. STOGNER: Ms. Bailey, you  
11 may be excused.

12                                 Since there's nothing further,  
13 we'll take this case under advisement.

14

15   (Hearing concluded.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9394 heard by me on 25 May 1988.  
[Signature] Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 22 June 1988

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 In the matter of the hearing called CASE  
10 by the Oil Conservation Division on 9394  
11 its own motion for an order extend-  
12 ing a certain pool in Chaves County,  
13 New Mexico.

14 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17 For the Division:

18 Robert G. Stovall  
19 Attorney at Law  
20 Legal Counsel to the Division  
21 State Land Office Bldg.  
22 Santa Fe, New Mexico

23 For the Applicant:  
24  
25

1 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case  
2 Number 9394, which is in the matter of the hearing called  
3 by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an  
4 order extending a certain pool in Chavez County, New  
5 Mexico.

6 This case was originally heard  
7 at the Examiner's Hearing on May 25th, 1988; however, due  
8 to an advertisement error, one particular pool was misadver-  
9 tised as in the wrong county.

10 I will now call for appear-  
11 ances in this matter.

12 There being no appearances, we  
13 will continue. We have one witness today. Would you  
14 please identify yourself?

15 MS. BAILEY: I'm Jami Bailey,  
16 geologist for the Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

17 MR. STOGNER: In the matter of  
18 Case Number 9394 do you have anything to be added?

19 MR. BAILEY: I'd like to point  
20 out that that pool name is the Abo-San Andres, for the re-  
21 cord.

22 MR. STOGNER: And it did make  
23 it in the advertisement and the readvertisement, did it  
24 not?

25 MS. BAILEY: Yes, it did.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. STOGNER: And it did it up here on today's docket; however, since it did make it on the advertisement, there should be no problem.

Do you have anything further?

MS. BAILEY: That is all.

MR. STOGNER: Case Number 9394 will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9394, heard by me on 22 June 19 88.  
Michael E. Shepard, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

8/12/88