



MEMORANDUM OF MEETING OR CONVERSATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal	Time 11:15	Date 9/28/88
---	-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------

Originating Party

Other Parties

*James Bailey - Santa Fe Biologist Paul Kautz - Hobbs District Biologist*

Subject *District Mail Delivery*

Discussion *Nomenclature Case 9494 scheduled for today's  
examiner hearing had to be continued until October 12  
because the exhibit prepared<sup>by</sup> the Hobbs office was not  
received in Santa Fe in time. The exhibit was mailed  
on September 22.*

Conclusions or Agreements

Distribution *Case 9494 files  
Bob Howell*

Signed *James Bailey*

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 28 September 1988

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 In the matter of the hearing called CASE  
10 by the Oil Conservation Division on 9494  
11 its own motion for an order creating,  
12 contracting, and extending certain  
13 pools in Lea and Roosevelt Counties,  
14 New Mexico.


15 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

16 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

17 A P P E A R A N C E S

18 For the Division:

19 Robert G. Stovall  
20 Attorney at Law  
21 Legal Counsel to the Division  
22 State Land Office Bldg.  
23 Santa Fe, New Mexico



1 MR. STOGNER: Call Case Num-  
2 ber 9494. In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil  
3 Conservation Division on its own motion for an order  
4 creating, contracting and extending certain pools in Lea  
5 and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

6 MR. STOVALL: Let the record  
7 reflect that it appears that the state central mailing  
8 system may have misplaced the exhibits and therefor we are  
9 going to ask that this case be continued until the next  
10 examiner hearing.

11 MR. STOGNER: Case Number 9494  
12 will be continued to the Examiner's hearing scheduled for  
13 October 12th, 1988.

14  
15 (Hearing concluded.)  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9494, heard by me on 28 Sept. 1988.

Michael E. Stevens, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

12 October 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called by CASE  
the Oil Conservation Division on its 9494  
own motion for an order creating, con-  
tracting, and extending certain pools  
in Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New  
Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Robert G. Stovall  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
State Land Office Bldg.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

For the Applicant:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X

JAMI BAILEY

Direct Examination by Mr. Stovall 3

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Document 4

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case  
Number 9494.

MR. STOVALL: In the matter of  
the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its  
own motion for an order creating, contracting, and extend-  
ing certain pools in Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New  
Mexico.

MR. CATANACH: Are there ap-  
pearances in this case?

MR. STOVALL: Robert G. Sto-  
vall, appearing on behalf of the Division. I have one  
witness.

MR. CATANACH; Will the wit-  
ness please stand and be sworn in?

(Witness sworn.)

JAMI BAILEY,  
being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon her  
oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOVALL:

Q Would you please state your name, by

1 whom you are employed and in what capacity.

2 A I'm Jami Bailey, geologist for the Oil  
3 Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

4 Q Ms. Bailey, have you previously testified  
5 before the Commission or its Examiners and had your creden-  
6 tials accepted?

7 A Yes, I have.

8 Q Are you prepared to make recommendations  
9 to the Examiner today concerning the nomenclature of cer-  
10 tain pools in Lea and Roosevelt Counties?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Are your recommendations prepared in the  
13 form of an exhibit?

14 A Yes, they are.

15 Q And how is that exhibit denominated?

16 A As Exhibit Number One.

17 Q Would you please refer to Exhibit Number  
18 One and to the docket that's been distributed for the  
19 hearing today and point out any differences between the  
20 two?

21 A In paragraph (n) there is a typo. Where  
22 it should read Section 29, southwest quarter and west half  
23 southeast quarter.

24 Q That's N as in Nancy, is that correct?

25 A Right.

1 MR. CATANACH: Go through that  
2 again, please.

3 A There is a typo in paragraph (n). Where  
4 it should read Section 29, southwest quarter and west half,  
5 southeast quarter.

6 Paragraph (s) should be dismissed.

7 Q Was Exhibit One in this case prepared by  
8 you or under your supervision and control or have you exa-  
9 mined the contents of the documents and assured yourself of  
10 their accuracy?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Do you have anything further to add to  
13 your testimony?

14 A No, I don't.

15 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner,  
16 I guess for the sake of clarity we should continue the case  
17 with respect to paragraph (n) for readvertising.

18 MR. CATANACH: Okay.

19 MR. STOVALL: It appears there  
20 is obviously an error but it's impossible to tell what the  
21 error is.

22 In all other cases I have nothing fur-  
23 ther.

24 MR. CATANACH: Okay, we'll  
25 continue that portion of the case referring to paragraph

1 (n) for readvertisement of this and dismiss that portion  
2 designated by (s).

3 Is there anything further in  
4 this case?

5 Okay, this case will be taken  
6 under advisement and this hearing for Docket No. 30-88 is  
7 hereby adjourned.

8

9

(Hearing concluded)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9484 heard by me on October 12, 1988.  
David R. Catanal, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division