

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 12 October 1988

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 Application of Meridian Oil, Inc. for CASE  
10 a horizontal directional drilling pi- 9498  
11 lot project and special operating rules  
12 therefor, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico,  
13 and  
14 Application of Meridian Oil, Inc. for 9499  
15 a horizontal directional drilling pi-  
16 lot project and special operating rules  
17 therefor, San Juan County, New Mexico.

18 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

19 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

20 A P P E A R A N C E S

21 For the Division: Robert G. Stovall  
22 Attorney at Law  
23 Legal Counsel to the Division  
24 State Land Office Bldg.  
25 Santa Fe, New Mexico

For Meridian Oil, Inc: W. Thomas Kellahin  
Attorney at Law  
KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY  
P. O. Box 2265  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X

DANA L. CRANEY	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	5
Cross Examination by Mr. Stovall	12
CRAIG A. McCRACKEN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	14
Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	22
PATRICK W. BENT	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	24
Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	30
DAVID M. POAGE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	31
Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	37
Cross Examination by Mr. Stovall	38

E X H I B I T S

Meridian Exhibit A, Montage	6
Meridian Exhibit B, Booklet	15

1 MR. CATANACH: Call next Case  
2 9498.

3 MR. STOVALL: Application of  
4 Meridian Oil, Inc., for horizontal directional drilling  
5 pilot project and special operating rules therefor, Rio  
6 Arriba County, New Mexico.

7 MR. CATANACH: Are there ap-  
8 pearances in this case?

9 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,  
10 I'm Tom Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin,  
11 Kellahin & Aubrey.

12 I'm appearing on behalf of the  
13 applicant and I have four witnesses to be sworn.

14 MR. CATANACH: Any other ap-  
15 pearances?

16 Will the witnesses please  
17 stand and be sworn in?

18  
19 (Witnesses sworn.)

20  
21 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner we  
22 would like for hearing purposes to consolidate the testi-  
23 mony of this case with the following case, which is 9499.

24 The testimony is similar and  
25 the witnesses are the same for each case.

1 MR. CATANACH: At this time  
2 we'll call Case 9499.

3 MR. STOVALL: Application of  
4 Meridian Oil, Inc., for a horizontal directional drilling  
5 pilot project and special operating rules therefore, San  
6 Juan County, New Mexico.

7 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,  
8 the exhibit book is organized where Exhibit A is the geo-  
9 logic montage, which we have put on the wall. There is an  
10 extra copy of that display in the exhibit book.

11 Exhibit B is the balance of  
12 the exhibit book. There are exhibit pages in Exhibit B  
13 numbered 1 through 49, and those exhibits refer to the re-  
14 servoir engineering witness' testimony, the drilling eng-  
15 ineer witness' testimony, and the landman's testimony  
16 about ownership and notices.

17 The exhibits are separated so  
18 that you can look behind the tab for the Sunray Well and  
19 find all the relevant exhibits for that application and if  
20 you'll look behind the tab for the 32-5 Well, you'll find  
21 all the exhibits that refer to that well.

22 Exhibit A is a montage that  
23 refers to both the wells plus other additional information.

24 The first witness is a geolo-  
25 gic witness for Meridian, Mr. Dana Craney.

1 DANA L. CRANEY,  
2 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
3 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:  
4

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

7 Q Mr. Craney, would you please state your  
8 name and occupation?

9 A My name is Dana L. Craney. My occupa-  
10 tion is Senior Staff Geologist for Meridian Oil, Inc.

11 Q Mr. Craney, as a geologist did you pre-  
12 viously testify before the Examiner in a hearing held  
13 earlier this year upon Meridian's application to increase  
14 the vertical limits for the Cedar Hills coal production?

15 A Yes, sir, I did.

16 Q And did you also testify before Examiner  
17 Catanach in the July hearing called by the Division to con-  
18 sider the adoption of special rules and regulations for the  
19 Basin coal gas production in the San Juan Basin?

20 A Yes, that is correct.

21 Q Pursuant to your employment, have you  
22 further made a geologic study of the geologic information  
23 that surrounds the two applications by Meridian to drill  
24 horizontal wells in the Fruitland coal formations?  
25

1           A           I have.

2           Q           Pursuant to that study have you caused  
3 to be prepared Exhibit A?

4           A           Yes, sir.

5                           MR. KELLAHIN: At this time,  
6 Mr. Examiner, we tender Mr. Craney as an expert geologist.

7                           MR. CATANACH: He is so qual-  
8 ified.

9           Q           Mr. Craney, if you'll take a pointer,  
10 sir, and go to the display on the wall,

11                           Before you discuss the details of your  
12 display, simply take a moment and identify the information  
13 on the display and show us how to read the information .

14           A           Okay. This is a montage consisting of a  
15 net coal isopach. This isopach map is from a publication  
16 by Kelso (sic) and others published in 1988. The publica-  
17 tion was prepared for GRI.

18                           In addition we have four type logs. The  
19 type log for the Fruitland Basal Pool is the Cedar Hill  
20 type log. They type logs for the two proposed horizontal  
21 wells and the well for the San Juan 404 High Angle Well in  
22 the 30-6 Unit.

23                           The -- the montage will be used to dis-  
24 cuss the regional relationships of our horizontal well  
25 pilot project.

1           Q           What is the source of the information  
2 that shows the coal thickness or the coal isopach display  
3 on the -- on the map?

4           A           Okay. The source of the information is  
5 a publication by Kelso, and others, in 1988. It was a pub-  
6 lication made for gas researching.

7           Q           Is that information utilized by you and  
8 other geologists before the Examiner in the July hearing  
9 with regards to the special rules for --

10          A           Yes, sir, it was. This is the same iso-  
11 pach map that we used (not clearly understood>)

12          Q           Last year the Division approved for Mer-  
13 idian the drilling of a horizontal or high angle well in  
14 the San Juan Basin. Can you identify that well for us?

15          A           Yes, sir. The San Juan 30-6 No. 404  
16 Well, located in the northeast of 23, 30 and 7. On the  
17 isopach map the 30 and 6 unit is this orange denoted there.

18          Q           Would you describe generally some of the  
19 background for Mr. Catanach about the geology for the San  
20 Juan 30 and 6 Unit 404 Well?

21          A           Okay, sir. The -- the geology of the --  
22 of the 404 Well consists of a target zone, which -- Meri-  
23 dian target -- would be about two-thirds of the coal in the  
24 Fruitland formation existed in the lower portion of the 404  
25 Well in 30 and 6 Unit.

1                   The 404 was used as a high angle well to  
2 test this type of technology. The wellbore intercepted the  
3 top of this coal in the target zone and extends down later-  
4 ally across approximately 38 to 40 feet of coal and it TD'd  
5 at the bottom of the basal coal.

6                   Q           That was a pilot project by Meridian to  
7 drill in the Fruitland Coal formation a horizontal well,  
8 was it not?

9                   A           Yes.

10                  Q           What were you attempting to do with that  
11 well that you could not accomplish with a conventional  
12 well?

13                  A           With a high angle well, or a horizontal  
14 well, we're attempting to extend the borehole laterally  
15 through the formation to increase the surface area exposure  
16 to the wellbore and to increase or optimize their chances  
17 of intercepting (unclear) fractures

18                  Q           And has that well been drilled and com-  
19 pleted at this time?

20                  A           Yes, sir, the well was drilled; it is an  
21 operation and production success and that will be discussed  
22 in detail in a few minutes.

23                  Q           Let's go now to the San Juan 32-5 Well  
24 and have you describe why Meridian seeks to drill that well  
25 as an additional pilot project.

1           A           Okay.    The -- the reason why Meridian  
2 has chosen two other locations to -- for our pilot project  
3 is the fact that the way that the coals are deposited in  
4 the coastal environment behind the retreating Pictured  
5 Cliff shoreline, we have a variety of situations which have  
6 occurred.

7                        We have anywhere from thin. couple inch  
8 thick coals to coals over 20 feet thick.

9                        We have different amounts of impurities  
10 in the coal from the streams that have flowed through the  
11 swamps.

12                      We have increased coal maturation to the  
13 north due to overburden and thermal maturation, so that we  
14 have different trends of coal that behave differently,  
15 would behave differently when we try to produce this.

16                      Meridian will be actively drilling in  
17 these areas. We were actively drilling in this area, so to  
18 test the technology of a horizontal well, we -- we can't  
19 drill in the same type of trend that's producing so proli-  
20 fically from our vertical wellbores in the 30 and 6 Unit.  
21 We have to try other trends where Meridian owns some pro-  
22 perty.

23                      The obvious difference on the well logs  
24 is the fact that the lower portion of the 404 Well shows  
25 about 40 feet of coal in 7 zones; whereas, in the 32-5 Unit

1 we see that we're not going after the basal coal, we're  
2 going after an upper coal which is better developed than  
3 the basal and we're looking at one single coalbed to -- to  
4 stay horizontal in during the extensive lateral, and this  
5 coalbed is approximately 25 feet.

6 So the wireline logs shows an obvious  
7 difference between these two trends, and as I gave you a  
8 brief geological description how as you go across the basin  
9 you'll see different geological trends from just the geolo-  
10 gical history of the Fruitland coal.

11 Q Contrast that, now to the Sunray Well.

12 A To contrast the 32-5 Well to the Sunray,  
13 we see that in the Sunray we have a very thick basal coal  
14 now developed which we're going -- which we're proposing to  
15 drill horizontally in.

16 This coal is approximately 19 feet thick  
17 in the Sunray Well and, as you see, we're going to -- we're  
18 dealing with the basal coal now instead of this upper coal.

19 These basal coals would not be the same  
20 pool between the two, would not be laterally continued over  
21 that -- that distance.

22 Q Other than the well that Meridian has  
23 drilled in the San Juan 30 and 6 Unit, plus these two other  
24 proposed permits, are there any other permitted horizontal  
25 wells in the basin area?



1 my examination of Mr. Craney.

2 We would move the introduction  
3 of Exhibit A.

4 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit A will  
5 be admitted into evidence.

6 I have no questions of this  
7 witness.

8 MR. STOVALL: I don't know if  
9 Mr. Craney is the witness to answer the question or not.  
10 You can maybe advise me at the end of it.

11

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. STOVALL:

14 Q You were present at the -- the proposed  
15 Fruitland coal rules hearing in Farmington and you testi-  
16 fied, and in those hearings part of the Committee witnesses  
17 suggested, part of what they suggested, was provisions for  
18 horizontal drilling, is that correct?

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q Are the proposed locations and  
21 techniques being used consistent with what was proposed by  
22 the -- I realize no rule has yet been entered in that case.  
23 What I'd like to know, are these -- what you're proposing  
24 -- is it consistent with what was suggested for rules for  
25 horizontal drilling in that case?

1           A           I can answer, but, yes, they are, and  
2 yes, it will be discussed in some detail further on in this  
3 case.

4                           MR. STOGNER: I have nothing  
5 further.

6                           MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,  
7 we'll call Mr. Craig McCracken. Mr. McCracken is a reser-  
8 voir engineer and he wants to discuss with you the results  
9 of the San Juan 36 Unit 404 Well, plus the reservoir infor-  
10 mation on the two wells that are the subject of these two  
11 applications.

12  
13                           CRAIG A. McCracken,  
14 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
15 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

16  
17                           DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

19                   Q           Mr. McCracken, for the record would you  
20 please state your name and occupation?

21                   A           My name is Craig A. McCracken. I'm a  
22 Senior Reservoir Engineer with Meridian Oil Corporation in  
23 Farmington, New Mexico.

24                   Q           Mr. McCracken, have you previously tes-  
25 tified before the Division as a reservoir engineer?

1           A           No, I have not.

2           Q           Would you take a moment and describe for  
3 the Examiner when and where you obtained your engineering  
4 degree?

5           A           I obtained my degree June, 1981, from  
6 the Pennsylvania State University.

7           Q           Subsequent to graduation in '81 would  
8 you summarize for us what has been your employment exper-  
9 ience as a reservoir engineer?

10          A           Up until December of 1985 I was employed  
11 in the Drilling Engineering Department. Since then I've  
12 been employed in the Reservoir Engineering Department with  
13 Meridian in Farmington, and for the last three years I have  
14 been a licensed Professional Engineer in the State of New  
15 Mexico.

16          Q           Among your duties as a reservoir  
17 engineer for your company, have you been assigned the prin-  
18 cipal task of studying either one or both of the wells that  
19 are the subject of these applications?

20          A           Yes, I have, both wells.

21          Q           Which of these wells was your principal  
22 duty?

23          A           The 32 and 5 Unit No. 100.

24          Q           And you've also studied the information  
25 on the Sunray Well?

1           A           Yes, sir, I have.

2           Q           And you've also studied the drilling,  
3 completion, and production information from the 404 Well.

4           A           Yes, I have.

5                           MR. KELLAHIN:    We tender Mr.  
6 McCracken as an expert reservoir engineer.

7                           MR. CATANACH:    He is so qual-  
8 ified.

9           Q           Mr. McCracken, let's take a moment, sir,  
10 and have you start with the exhibit booklet which is marked  
11 B, and turning to page number 1, let's talk about the well  
12 that was drilled on last year's horizontal order. It's the  
13 404 Well?

14          A           Yes.

15          Q           Would you summarize for the Examiner,  
16 first, in a horizontal perspective, what was allowed to oc-  
17 cur by the Division and then what you in fact did when you  
18 drilled the well in terms of direction.

19          A           Exhibit Number One shows a surface loca-  
20 tion plat with the 90 degree window that was permitted for  
21 the No. 404, and the dashed line represents the actual hor-  
22 izontal extent of the well the way it was drilled.

23          Q           Let me have you turn now to page 2 and  
24 show us a from a vertical perspective what occurred with  
25 the drilling of the 404 Well.

1           A           The 404 was first drilled vertically  
2 through the coal seam and then plugged back.

3                        What Exhibit Two represents is a verti-  
4 cal cross section of the way the well was actually drilled.  
5 As you can see, it is a highly deviated well as opposed to  
6 a true horizontal well.

7           Q           More, there'll be more detail gone into  
8 later as to why the well was drilled the way it was.

9           Q           The next witness is a drilling engineer  
10 that actually conducted the drilling of the well and pro-  
11 poses to supervise the drilling of the other two wells?

12          A           That is correct.

13          Q           Turn to page 3 now, Mr. McCracken, and  
14 identify and describe the information on that exhibit page.

15          A           Exhibit Number Three, and perhaps I  
16 should go back to Exhibit Number Two, Exhibit Number Two  
17 was presented during the original hearing to permit the  
18 highly deviated well.

19                        Exhibit Number Three is a description of  
20 the actual conditions and the way the well was actually  
21 drilled and casing was actually set. Again, more detail  
22 will be gone into by our drilling engineer later.

23          Q           Have you made a study of the production  
24 information that's available on the 404 Well, Mr.  
25 McCracken?

1           A           Yes, I have.

2           Q           And is that information shown on page 4?

3           A           Yes, it is.

4           Q           Would you review with Mr. Catanach the  
5 information on page 4 and describe for us what you conclude  
6 as a reservoir engineer based upon this information?

7           A           On Exhibit Four there is a production  
8 curve showing the weekly rates that the 404 has made since  
9 being completed in December of 1987. The red curve repre-  
10 sents the gas production. The blue curve represents the  
11 water production and the black curve represents the water  
12 cut in barrels per MMCF of gas.

13                       What this shows is that the production  
14 from this well has steadily increased since it was first  
15 produced, which is fairly typical of a coal well, and what  
16 I conclude from this curve is that it is too early to tell  
17 when or what the peak rate on this well might be. As com-  
18 pared to other Fruitland coal wells in the 30 and 6 unit,  
19 this well makes approximately 2.5 to 2.6-million cubic feet  
20 a day. The average for the area is somewhat under 2.0 MMCF  
21 per day, so we can conclude from that that this well, pro-  
22 ductionwise, is a better well generally than wells in the  
23 area.

24           Q           With that basis of information, Mr.  
25 McCracken, have you then studied to determine whether or

1 not Meridian ought to drill further horizontal pilot pro-  
2 jects in the basin?

3 A Yes, I have.

4 Q Let me direct your attention now, sir,  
5 to the Sunray Well.

6 Page 5 is the first display for that  
7 well?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q Let's take a moment and have you des-  
10 cribe horizontally what you propose to do with the drilling  
11 of that well?

12 A Exhibit Number Five shows the surface  
13 plat of Section 11, Township 30 North, Range 10 West. We  
14 propose to drill the well in the southwest quarter 660 from  
15 the south line, 270 feet from the west line for a surface  
16 location of said Section 11.

17 This is an unorthodox location which has  
18 previously been approved.

19 What we propose to do is to permit ap-  
20 proximately a 30 degree window with the bottom hole loca-  
21 tion, as the exhibit shows, being within the orthodox  
22 location box in order to protect the correlative rights of  
23 the interest owners.

24 Q What is the significance of the dashed  
25 line running from the point where you commence drilling at

1 the surface location and running towards the northeast?  
2 See the dashed line?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q What's the purpose of that line?

5 A The dashed line represents the orienta-  
6 tion of the well the way we would like to go in order to  
7 maximize the horizontal distance of the well while still  
8 staying within an orthodox location box as specified by the  
9 red squares.

10 Q What is the purpose, then, of the cross  
11 hatched area on each side of the dashed line?

12 A We would like to have the horizontal  
13 borehole permitted within this range.

14 Q For what purpose, Mr. McCracken?

15 A In order that if any deviation were en-  
16 countered on either side of the way we would like to go, we  
17 would have approval to have some leeway on either side of  
18 the wellbore.

19 Q It will give you the flexibility, then,  
20 to follow within that approved area and not have to come  
21 back for further approval.

22 A That is correct.

23 Q Have you had an opportunity, Mr.  
24 McCracken, to review the prior order the Division entered  
25 for the 404 Well, which was Order No. R-8526? Have you

1 seen that?

2 A Yes, I have.

3 Q Have you proposed actual language that  
4 Mr. Catanach might utilize in the drafting of a similar  
5 type order for the Sunray Well?

6 A Yes, I have.

7 Q And where would he find that language?

8 A Exhibit Number Six.

9 Q What have you done with page 6 of  
10 Exhibit B?

11 A What we have done is specified the sur-  
12 face location as it is specified on the plat, Exhibit  
13 Number Five, specified the bottom hole locations represent-  
14 ed by the wellbore ending at various points within the or-  
15 thodox location box.

16 As you can see, if we went for an arc on  
17 this well, as far as approval, an arc may take us outside  
18 of that orthodox location box. We would like to stay in  
19 it, so depending on how close we're able to stay to our  
20 proposal of going right for the corner of the northeast or-  
21 thodox location box, if we're deviated from that a few de-  
22 grees from either side the well would be shorter to stay  
23 within that box.

24 The coordinates at the bottom of the  
25 application represent those end points which are drawn on

1 the plat, Exhibit Five.

2 Q All right. Does that conclude your pre-  
3 sentation on the Sunray Well?

4 A Yes, it does.

5 Q Let's turn now to the tab on the 32 and  
6 5 Well commencing at page 36.

7 A What page 36 shows is the same type of  
8 surface location plat. We have proposed this well to have  
9 a surface location of 1765 feet from the south line and  
10 1485 feet from the west line of Section 23, Township 32  
11 North, Range 6 West.

12 This is an orthodox surface location,  
13 and what the dashed line represents is that we propose to  
14 drill this well directly south with a radius of 1600 feet.  
15 The arc on either side, 30 degrees on either side, repre-  
16 senting a total of 60 degrees, is again the window that we  
17 would like to have approved so that if we deviate slightly  
18 from the due south direction, we can -- we won't have to  
19 get another approval.

20 And again on page 37 we have styled an  
21 order which verbally expresses what is shown in the plat on  
22 page 36.

23 Q In summary, Mr. McCracken, what do you  
24 as a reservoir engineer seek to accomplish with the appro-  
25 val and drilling of these two horizontal wellbores?

1           A           Mainly we seek to get a better areal  
2 extent of the basin and see in a couple areas that we have  
3 shown previously are geologically significantly different  
4 than our 404 Well to allow us to evaluate the horizontal  
5 drilling technique in general.

6                               MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes  
7 my examination of Mr. McCracken, Mr. Catanach.

8

9

CROSS EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. CATANACH:

11           Q           Mr. McCracken, neither of these wells have  
12 been drilled yet, is that correct?

13           A           That's correct.

14           Q           You say the Sunray already has an ap-  
15 proved unorthodox location?

16           A           To the best of my knowledge, yes, it  
17 does.

18           Q           Can you get that number for me?

19           A           Certainly.

20           Q           On the Sunray Well, explain to me why  
21 you couldn't go with the arc again? You wanted to --

22           A           Because of we go with an arc and go with  
23 the longest distance, which is represented by the line, the  
24 dashed line going from the surface location to the  
25 northeast corner of that box, an arc would take us outside

1 the orthodox location box and we're concerned with the  
2 protection of correlative rights.

3 Q That would be an orthodox bottom hole  
4 location under -- under the current rules.

5 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

6 Q Neither of these wells are -- they're  
7 not in the Cedar Hill Pool, are they?

8 A No, they are not. I believe that they  
9 would be in undesignated pools.

10 Q Do you know what Meridian intends to do  
11 if the rules for the Fruitland Pool are -- say, go to  
12 320-acre spacing, do you know what acreage you will dedi-  
13 cate to the two wells at this point?

14 A I believe our land department witness  
15 is prepared to testify on that.

16 Offhand, I don't know.

17 Q The coordinates that you -- that you  
18 have on page six, those are just the coordinates of the two  
19 outer lines, is that correct?

20 A That's correct.

21 MR. CATANACH: I think that's  
22 all I have at this time.

23 The witness may be excused.

24 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Catanach,  
25 our next witness is the drilling engineer for Meridian.

1 His name is Patrick Bent.

2

3

PATRICK BENT,

4

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
5 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

6

7

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

9

Q Mr. Bent, for the record would you  
10 please state your name and occupation?

11

A My name is Patrick Bent. I'm the  
12 Regional Drilling Engineer for Meridian Oil in Farmington,  
13 New Mexico.

14

Q Mr. Bent, have you previously testified  
15 before the Oil Conservation Division?

16

A No, I have not.

17

Q Would you summarize for Mr. Catanach  
18 what has been your educational background?

19

A Yes, sir. I graduated from the Univer-  
20 sity of Wyoming in 1980 with a degree in petroleum engi-  
21 neering, Since then I have been employed with Meridian  
22 Oil, previously El Paso Exploration, in the Drilling De-  
23 partment for the last eight years.

24

Q Were you involved in the drilling of the  
25 404 Well?

1 A Yes, I was. I was the Project Engineer.

2 Q And have you reviewed the orders and the  
3 transcripts and the testimony from that case?

4 A Yes, I have.

5 Q And have you prepared a drilling program  
6 for the Sunray Well and the 32 and 5 Well?

7 A Project engineers under my direct super-  
8 vision have.

9 Q And you have reviewed those drilling  
10 programs?

11 A Yes, I have.

12 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr.  
13 Bent as an expert drilling engineer.

14 MR. CATANACH; He is so qual-  
15 ified.

16 Q Let me direct your attention, sir, to  
17 page number seven.

18 Well, let's go back. Let's talk about  
19 the 404 Well, bring him up to date with where we are with  
20 that. Let's start with Exhibit Three.

21 A Page number three is a cross-sectional  
22 of the actual wellbore on the 36 Unit No. 404.

23 Q Let's take a moment and remind Mr. Cat-  
24 anach of what the Division authorized Meridian to do for  
25 the drilling of that well and then what you, in fact, did

1  
2 do.

3           A           The Division authorized the drilling of  
4 a high angle Fruitland coal well within a 90 degree arc  
5 east to south.

6                       The direction was -- the window was  
7 given in order to allow Meridian Oil leeway in determining  
8 the optimum wellbore direction from an oriented course  
9 taken during the drilling of the vertical portion of the  
10 well.

11                      The well was drilled vertically through  
12 the Fruitland coal, course taken in the coal, orientation  
13 determined. The well was logged, plugged back to a prede-  
14 termined kickoff point. At that point we began building  
15 our curve at 12 degrees per 100 foot. We built our curve  
16 to an inclination of 83 degrees at which time we were at  
17 the top of the target zone. We ran 7-inch casing back to  
18 surface. We then drilled through the targeted Fruitland  
19 interval as seen on the montage map to a true vertical  
20 depth of 3036, giving us a lateral extension in the Fruit-  
21 land coal of 557 feet.

22                      Q           What did the Commission or the Division  
23 authorize for a lateral extension?

24                      A           The (unclear) lateral extension as seen  
25 by the arc on page 1, was 1470 feet. This allowed for a

1 962-foot exposed coal wellbore in the targeted formation.

2 Q Let's turn now to the Sunray Well and  
3 contrast what you did with the 404 Well with what you pro-  
4 pose to accomplish with the Sunray Well.

5 A Okay.

6 Q Let's start now with page 7.

7 A On page 7 is the proposed cross section-  
8 al of the Sunray H 201. The operational aspect is very  
9 similar. We will drill the well vertically through the  
10 Fruitland coal, log the well, plug back to a predetermined  
11 kickoff point.

12 We will begin building angle at 12 de-  
13 grees per 100 foot; drill our radius of curvature to the  
14 top of the Fruitland formation at approximately 89 degrees  
15 inclination. We will then drill through the Fruitland for-  
16 mation laterally for approximately 1554 feet.

17 Q Have you had an opportunity to review  
18 the order in the 404 well?

19 A Yes, I have.

20 Q And do you have proposed written lan-  
21 guage that Mr. Catanach might utilize in drafting the order  
22 for the Sunray Well?

23 A Yes, I do, and that in on page 8.

24 Q What have you done on page 8?

25 A Verbally explained again the proposed

1 operational aspects.

2 Q And how does the operational aspects for  
3 the Sunray Well differ from the 404 Well?

4 A The 404 was permitted as a high angle  
5 Fruitland coal well, whereas the Sunray is considered a  
6 horizontal, a few degrees difference.

7 Q Let's go now to the 32-5 Well and look a  
8 the drilling program for that well, and I think that starts  
9 on page 38.

10 A Page 38, again, is a proposed cross sec-  
11 tional of the 32-5-E No. 100. Again the operational  
12 aspects are very similar. We will drill vertically through  
13 Fruitland coal, log the well, plug back to a predetermined  
14 kickoff point, build angle at 12 degrees per 100 till we  
15 reach an inclination of 89 degrees at the top of the Fruit-  
16 land coal.

17 At that point we will drill through the  
18 Fruitland coal for a horizontal displacement in the coal  
19 seam of 1062 feet.

20 And again page 39 is a verbal explana-  
21 tion of the cross section.

22 Q Mr. Catanach, if he desires, can use the  
23 written narrative on 39 as the order portion of this order  
24 to approve the drilling program for the well?

25 A If he so desires.

1 Q When do you propose to commence these  
2 wells, Mr. Bent?

3 A We would like to begin drilling oper-  
4 ations on the 32-5 No. 100 by November 1st due to the  
5 limitations of the Big Game Use Area, as seen on page 36.

6 Q 36, you're adjacent to the Navajo Lake.  
7 You're in a wildlife habitat area?

8 A That's correct; also a Big Game Use  
9 Area which BLM has restrictions as far as activity is con-  
10 cerned. We have to begin construction or drilling prior to  
11 the December 1st deadline. We would like to start drilling  
12 approximately in November 1st.

13 Q Do you have similar environmental con-  
14 straints on the Sunray Well?

15 A No, there are not.

16 Q Is that an area that the surface is sub-  
17 ject to winter hazards where you have access problems dur-  
18 ing the winter?

19 A The 32-5 Well is; the Sunray not as  
20 much.

21 Q And when do you propose to commence that  
22 well?

23 A Immediately following the 32-5 Unit No.  
24 100.

25 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes

1 my examination of Mr.Bent.

2

3

CROSS EXAMINATION

4

BY MR. CATANACH:

5

6

Q Mr. Bent, what kind of problems, if any, did Meridian have in drilling the 404 Well?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A Our only considered problem was the fact that we could not extend the wellbore in the Fruitland coal horizontally as far as we had initially planned. This was due to the unconsolidated nature of the coal as we drilled through it. We were not able to maintain our high angle approach due to the softness of the coal and we lost approximately 5 degrees inclination in a short period, which prevented us from reaching that maximum lateral extent. All efforts to regain that orientation directionally failed.

17

18

Other than that there were no operational problems.

19

20

Q You don't think you'll have the same kind of problems with the two proposed wells?

21

22

23

A At this time, no. Again, the Sunray Well appears to be a more consolidated coal, as does the 32-5.

24

25

MR. CATANACH: That's all I have of the witness. He may be excused.

1 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Catanach,  
2 our final witness is a landman for Meridian, Mr. David  
3 Poage.

4  
5 DAVID M. POAGE,  
6 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
7 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

8  
9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

11 Q Mr. Poage, would you please state your  
12 name and occupation?

13 A My name is David M. Poage and I work as  
14 a Senior Staff Landman for Meridian Oil, Inc.

15 Q Mr. Poage, have you previously testified  
16 as a landman before the Division?

17 A I have not.

18 Q Would you take a moment and describe  
19 what has been your educational background and employment  
20 experience?

21 A I graduated in 1972 from Western New  
22 Mexico University with a Bachelor's degree in marketing  
23 and graduated in 1973 with a Master of Arts degree in  
24 business administration.

25 Went to work thereafter in 1973 for El

1 Paso Natural Gas Company as a landman and have worked for  
2 El Paso Natural Gas Company and El Paso Exploration Com-  
3 pany and now Meridian Oil.

4 Q Do your duties as a landman for Meridian  
5 Oil, Inc., include determining the ownership and royalty  
6 owners of the various spacing units that will be involved  
7 in the two subject wells?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And are you also familiar with the own-  
10 ership of those spacing units that surround the two subject  
11 spacing units?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Let's turn to the exhibit book and let's  
14 use page 5 of the exhibit book as a reference point.

15 The Sunray Well is to be located in the  
16 southwest quarter of Section 11 and the initial spacing is  
17 160 acres based upon the statewide rules for the Fruitland.  
18 Is that not true?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q What do you propose to do in the event  
21 the Division adopts 320-acre spacing for this formation?

22 A This particular well, if 320-acre spac-  
23 ing were adopted, we would still -- Meridian would still  
24 own -- have 100 percent ownership of the well.

25 Q Regardless of the 320 ownership --

1 orientation, whether it's west half or southwest -- south  
2 half, Meridian would have the 100 percent working interest  
3 owner (sic) in Section 11.

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And if we turn, then, to the 32 and 5  
6 Well, and look at page 36, for example, what's the situa-  
7 tion for that well?

8 A The situation would be identical there  
9 in that Meridian would be 100 percent owner on either 160-  
10 acre basis or 320-acre basis.

11 Q Let's go now to your particular involve-  
12 ment with the Sunray application, and I direct your atten-  
13 tion to page number 9.

14 Would you identify the information on  
15 page 9?

16 A The exhibit on page 9 shows the spacing  
17 unit for the Sunray Well in the southwest quarter of Sec-  
18 tion 11, as well as the eight surrounding 160-acre spacing  
19 units.

20 The area colored in yellow is owned 100  
21 percent by Meridian and operated by Meridian.

22 Q Describe what you have caused to occur  
23 when we look at the document on page 10.

24 A On page 10 it is just a matter of pro-  
25 tection, we notified all of the overriding royalty interest

1 owners by letter of this hearing and of our intention to  
2 drill this well.

3 Q There is a small difference in over-  
4 riding royalty owners in the 160-acre spacing units sur-  
5 rounding this spacing unit, are there not?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q And so to avoid any possible conflict  
8 with those overriding royalty owners, you have notified  
9 those parties of this application.

10 A That is correct.

11 Q Have you received any objection from any  
12 of those royalty owners?

13 A No, sir, we have not.

14 Q In fact, have you received any objection  
15 from anyone?

16 A No, sir.

17 Q Included with the letter sent on page  
18 10, then, was a copy of the application letter for hearing  
19 and the application itself?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q And when we turn then to page 16 what do  
22 we find there?

23 A On page 16 and also on -- continuing on  
24 page 17, 18, 19 and 20 are the names and addresses of the  
25 overriding royalty interest owners on the (unclear).

1 Q And the following pages 21 through 35  
2 are what, sir?

3 A They are copies of the certified return  
4 receipts that were sent out with all these letters.

5 Q Okay. Let's turn to the 32 and 5 Well  
6 now, and start with page 40, if you will.

7 What is shown on page 40?

8 A Page 40 is a plat showing the present  
9 outline of this San Juan 32-5 Unit and also spotted in the  
10 southwest quarter of Section 23 is the proposed well.

11 Q What type of unit is this?

12 A This is a Federal unit.

13 Q And for what formations is this acreage  
14 unitized?

15 A For all formations.

16 Q The unit ownership will be the same for  
17 spacing units that surround this spacing unit?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q Identify for us page 41, if you will,  
20 please.

21 A Page 41 again is a plat showing the  
22 160-acre tract upon which the well is to be located and the  
23 8 surrounding 160-acre tracts.

24 It again in yellow, colored in yellow,  
25 shows that acreage owned 100 percent by Meridian.

1 Q And identify the document on page 42.  
2 What have you caused to occur here?

3 A Page 42 is a copy of the letter sent to  
4 all the working interest owners in the San Juan 32-5 Unit  
5 notifying them of -- of the wells proposed to be drilled  
6 and the ownership, how they were going to be borne 100  
7 percent by Meridian.

8 Q And page 43?

9 A 43, page 43, is a list of those working  
10 interest owners.

11 Q And then 44.

12 A Page 44 is a copy of the plat of devel-  
13 opment furnished to the regulatory bodies in compliance in  
14 compliance with the terms and provisions of the unit agree-  
15 ment.

16 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes  
17 my examination of Mr. Poage.

18 Just to check back, I believe  
19 Mr. McCracken misspoke awhile ago when he said the Sunray  
20 Well's current surface location had been approved. In fact  
21 it has not been approved.

22 What he was confused about is  
23 the original location for the well was a standard location  
24 and that had been approved, or was subject to approval.

25 We thereafter move the surface

1 location to accommodate the horizontal drilling for the  
2 well and so we won't have an order yet until you enter one  
3 in this case.

4 MR. CATANACH: Okay.

5 MR. KELLAHIN: We would at  
6 this time move the introduction of Exhibit B.

7 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit B will  
8 be admitted as evidence.

9

10 CROSS EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. CATANACH:

12 Q Mr. Poage, at this time you don't know  
13 the orientation of the 320 unit if that becomes appropriate  
14 for the pool?

15 A If this becomes appropriate we would an-  
16 ticipate that these would be west half dedications.

17 Q For both wells?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q All the drilling costs for the 32-5  
20 Well, those are all going to be Meridian's costs?

21 A Initially as a drilling block -- a 100  
22 percent drilling block owner these will be paid for by Mer-  
23 idian.

24 Q Is there a Fruitland participating area  
25 in this unit?

1           A           None presently exists.

2

3                           MR. CATANACH: I don't have  
4 any more questions.

5

6

CROSS EXAMINATION

7

BY MR. STOVALL:

8

9           Q           Looking at the 32-5, Mr. Poage, I think  
10 it's the 32-5, the San Juan Unit on your page 41, you  
11 identify that as El Paso Natural Gas Company as operator.

12

13                           What -- what is the relationship between  
14 Meridian and El Paso Natural Gas with respect to this unit  
15 and the ownership?

16

17           A           Meridian and El Paso Natural Gas are af-  
18 filiated companies and Meridian would be operating on be-  
19 half of El Paso Natural Gas Company, who is presently the  
20 unit operator.

21

22           Q           Record -- record ownership of the inter-  
23 est is in El Paso Natural Gas and not in Meridian, is that  
24 correct?

25

26           A           Technically it's in El Paso Production  
27 Company's name, but El Paso Natural Gas Company is desig-  
28 nated unit operator. Meridian will just be acting on their  
29 behalf in matters of drilling, engineering and geology.

30

MR. CATANACH: That's all the

1 questions we have of the witness. He may be excused.

2 Is there anything further in  
3 9498 or 9499?

4 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.

5 MR. CATANACH: If not, they  
6 will be taken under advisement.

7

8 (Hearing concluded.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9498 heard by me on October 12, 1988.  
David R. Catant, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division