JOHN D. ROBB

JOHN D. ROBB

JAMES C. RITCHIE
WILLIAM C. SCHAAB
ROBERT M. ST. JOHN
JOSEPH J. MULLINS
DUANE C. GILKEY
MARK K. ADAMS
BUSHEY
BUSHEY
MARK K. ADAMS
BUSHEY
MARK K. ADAMS
BUSHEY
MARK C. MELGORICA
MARK C. MELGORICA
MARK C. MELGRING
CATHERING
MARK MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
MARK
MOWERY
MANNE
M

TRACY E. McGEE
CHARLES E. STUCKEY
HENRY M. BOHNHOFF
MICHAEL J. CONDON
CHARLES K. FURCELL
JAMES P. FITZGERALD
ANDDEW B. FOCHULTZ
JAMES P. FITZGERALD
ANDDEW B. ROCHULTZ
JOSEPH B. ROCHELLE
SCOTT D. GORDON
SUSAN L. SNYDER
ANGELA M. MARTINEZ
PATRICIA M. MARTINEZ
PATRICIA M. MARTINEZ
PATRICIA M. MARTINEZ
HARRA M. MARTINEZ
BRIAN H. LEMATTA
MARK A. SMITH
R. NELSON FRANSE
THURMAN W. MOORE III
STEVEN G. KOPELMAN
POUR EXPONENT
JAMES P. BIEG
JAMES P. BIEG
JAY B. SIMONSON
JAY D. HILL
CHARLES J. VIGIL
MARY P. KELEHER
MARY P. KELEHER
NERSON M. MARTINES
SIERRYL M. SHANOR
SHERYL M. SHANOR
SHERYL M. SHANOR
SICAL M. WADNAIS
LISA PISTORIO-FORD
MARK L. ALLEN

RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, P. A.

COUNSELORS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MARCY PLAZA, SUITE IOI

123 EAST MARCY STREET

SANTA FE NEW MEXICO 87501

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

P. O. BOX 1357
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-1357

TELEPHONE (505) 984-0100

FACSIMILE (505) 989-9542

March 27, 1991

COUNSEL JOE C.DIAZ

OF COUNSEL
DON L.DICKASON
WILLIAM A.SLOAN
JACKSON G.AKIN
RAY H.RODEY

PEARCE C.RODEY (1889-1958)

ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE ALBUQUERQUE PLAZA 201 THIRD STREET NW SUITE 2200 P. O. BOX 1686 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87103 TELEPHONE 765-5900 AREA CODE 505 FACSIMILE 768-7395

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER

Mr. Michael E. Stogner Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Building Santa Fe, NM

Re: Case No. 10265

Dear Mr. Stogner:

At the conclusion of the March 21 hearing, you asked that I submit to you a draft of a proposed Order to be entered in the captioned case. The original and copy of such a proposed Order are handed to you with this letter.

en

Very truly yours,

RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, P.A.

By: Paul A. Cooter

PAC/bwh

. 37 UUB S - BU IS 30

GENTLING NOISIAIC BY MEZONCO THE

PM 12 30 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT FIRM 2 - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 91

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 10265 Order No. R-8332-A

APPLICATION OF NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORPORATION FOR CLARIFICATION OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-8332 RELATING TO COMPULSORY POOLING, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on March 21, 1991, at Santa Fe, New Mexico before Examiner Michael E. Stogner.

Burn 1

NOW, on the day of March, 1991, the Division Director, testimony, the record, having considered the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

(1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter hereof. dated November 4, 1986, Division

(2) The applicant, Northwest Pipeline Corporation, seeks clarification of Order No. R-8332, which Order pooled certain interests in the Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pool underlying. Section 24, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, N.M.P.M., Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, forming a standard 320 acre proration unit dedicated to the Rucker Lake Well No. 2, located 1450 feet from the South line and 1520 feet from the West line of said Section 24. at a previously approved unonthodox

(UnitK)~ (3) Subsequent thereto, Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation, a non-consenting working interest owner, asserted that it was entitled to share in the production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 from the date of first production. Northwest Pipeline Corporation believes that Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation was entitled to share in the production from that well from March

oil well location (Division Administ. rative Order NSL-1785

- 1, 1984, the effective date of the Division's Order No. R-7407 establishing the Special Rules and Regulations for the Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pool.
- (4) In addition, that non-consenting working interest owner questioned whether or not Northwest Pipeline Corporation acted properly by accounting to the operator, Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., for said non-consenting working interest owner's share of income received from the sale of production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2, reasonable well costs actually incurred by Northwest Pipeline Corporation in the drilling and completion of that well and the actual expenditures required for operating that well from March 1, 1984, and paying said non-consenting working interest owner's share of production, less those costs and expenses, to the operator for further disbursement in accordance with the Division's Order No. R-8332.
- (5) In Order No. R-8332, the Division expressly retained jurisdiction for entry of such further orders as it might deem necessary.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) No non-consenting working interest owner was entitled to share in the proceeds of production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 prior to March 1, 1984.
- (2) Northwest Pipeline Corporation was entitled to deduct the non-consenting working interest owner's share of drilling and completion costs of the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 and the non-consenting working interest owner's share of actual expenditures required for operating that well attributable to such non-consenting working interest owner on and after March 1, 1984 from any sums held in suspense by Northwest Pipeline Corporation for the benefit of any non-consenting working interest owner.
- (3) Northwest Pipeline Corporation acted properly and in accordance with the Division's Order No. R-8332 by accounting to and paying the operator, Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., for Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation's share of such income, less its proportionate part of the costs and expenses attributable thereto.
- (4) Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

Done at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

WILLIAM J. LEMAY, Director

STATE OF NEW MEXICO RECORD STATE OF NEW MEXICO RECORD STATE OF NEW MEXICO RECORD RECOR

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 10265 Order No. R-8332-A

APPLICATION OF NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORPORATION FOR CLARIFICATION OF DIVISION ORDER NO. R-8332 RELATING TO COMPULSORY POOLING, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on March 21, 1991, at Santa Fe, New Mexico before Examiner Michael E. Stogner.

NOW, on the _____ day of March, 1991, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

- (1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter hereof.
- (2) The applicant, Northwest Pipeline Corporation, seeks clarification of Order No. R-8332, which Order pooled certain interests in the Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pool underlying the S/2 of Section 24, Township 25 North, Range 2 West, N.M.P.M., Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, forming a standard 320 acre proration unit dedicated to the Rucker Lake Well No. 2, located 1450 feet from the South line and 1520 feet from the West line of said Section 24.
- (3) Subsequent thereto, Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation, a non-consenting working interest owner, asserted that it was entitled to share in the production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 from the date of first production. Northwest Pipeline Corporation believes that Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation was entitled to share in the production from that well from March

- 1, 1984, the effective date of the Division's Order No. R-7407 establishing the Special Rules and Regulations for the Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pool.
- (4) In addition, that non-consenting working interest owner questioned whether or not Northwest Pipeline Corporation acted properly by accounting to the operator, Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., for said non-consenting working interest owner's share of income received from the sale of production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2, reasonable well costs actually incurred by Northwest Pipeline Corporation in the drilling and completion of that well and the actual expenditures required for operating that well from March 1, 1984, and paying said non-consenting working interest owner's share of production, less those costs and expenses, to the operator for further disbursement in accordance with the Division's Order No. R-8332.
- (5) In Order No. R-8332, the Division expressly retained jurisdiction for entry of such further orders as it might deem necessary.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) No non-consenting working interest owner was entitled to share in the proceeds of production from the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 prior to March 1, 1984.
- (2) Northwest Pipeline Corporation was entitled to deduct the non-consenting working interest owner's share of drilling and completion costs of the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 and the non-consenting working interest owner's share of actual expenditures required for operating that well attributable to such non-consenting working interest owner on and after March 1, 1984 from any sums held in suspense by Northwest Pipeline Corporation for the benefit of any non-consenting working interest owner.
- (3) Northwest Pipeline Corporation acted properly and in accordance with the Division's Order No. R-8332 by accounting to and paying the operator, Mesa Grande Resources, Inc., for Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation's share of such income, less its proportionate part of the costs and expenses attributable thereto.
- (4) Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

Done at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

WILLIAM J. LEMAY, Director

TOWNSHIP 18 South	RAIGE 27 E	ast nmpm	
	新 教 教 教		
6 5 5			
		新新年等	
		新華 图像 蒙	
	神學 的話	在 图	等 图 图 图 图 图
		310,	
	Mark Mark Const.		
18 1	16	15	14 13
19 20	21-	22	23 24
	ALCOHOLD TO		
30 + 29 +	28	27	26 25
31 32	33	34	-35 - 36
	33		

Description: Ny Sec. 11 (R-1129, 2-20-58)

Ext: \$\frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 10; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 11 (R-1129, 2-20-58) - \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 2; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 3 (R-1312 1-1-59) - \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 2; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 2; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 3; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 3; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 10 (R-1355, 4-1-59) - \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 1; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 1; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 2; \frac{\pi}{4} \sec. 1; \frac{\p

spacing unit The newly sound for 320-acres under the provisions of Sections 70-2-17 and 18 NMSA 1978. (16) Prior to Month 1, 1984 the Galley Sermation was spaced on 40 acre vails and the NE/ 34/ of said Section 24 was controlled 100 persent by Aberthuest Pipeline Corporation. Therefore no The partie when intitled to share in the production form said well before the first day y March 1984, () Said But No. 12 8332 (11) Dievadory Paragraph Mr. 5 on page 4 of said Onles No R-8332 pervietes an opertunty for any non-warenting interest owner affected by said order an effortant, to object to the return was filed to with the Phrision by any party. (12) Junichtin of this cause is retained for the entry of such further finding of champitation

as may be necessary.

7	1110	*17.	_4	<u> </u>	Sou	7.		IAI\	CLT.		- 1	14.	5 5		IVI	IPM							
ļ					1			*		ldp.				ļ.,									
ŀ	E					 5	1			. .				}] 2				l l	
1	_			_		<u></u>			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>				Ĺ				ļ			ļ		L
	,								130 A														L
					<u> </u>		ne e		ÿri. ¥														
	1	,		,		Ţ.,.		•							1								
1	_ (/ -				8 - Վ <i>ե</i> տ		. 5 &		9 — 1044	p= 4			0 - 1			- 1	1-			-1	2 —	
Ī							18.	14.16	7.	温み費	10.7												
Ť						127			100														
†				一	 	1 200				1			 		1	 	-		-	1-	 	 	-
†	-1	8 –			-1	.7 -	1,22		-1	.6 - 		1944	-1	5 -	-	\vdash	1	4 –	一	t^-	1	3	 -
†					†	1			le v	-	4			-	1		 	<u> </u>	 	 	 	-	-
†				\vdash	-			js 2	ng si	- 1 i					 	I^-	<u> </u>	 	 -	十	 	 	
\dagger			 	┢	-		35~	3,50		77.			1.77	-	 	 	-	-	-	1-	 	 	\vdash
\dagger	-1	9 -	-	-	- 2	20 ~ [第]		-	- 2	1-		170	- 2	2-	+-	├	- 2	3-	├	╂	 2	4-	╁
\dagger			 	\vdash		+	-	 	1914 - 1	-	 	7.	 	-	+-	 	├	-	-	╂─	-	-	├-
+				\vdash	1	+		-				1-	a dig	 	┼	-	├	-	-	╂	-	┼	╁╴
+		_	-	┢			9	}		8.48			+	-	-	\vdash	100	-	-	╂	┼	├	┼
+	-3	0 -	-	-	2	9 -	\$	-	 2	8 -	 		- 2	7-	1	├	- 2	6 –	-		 2	5	╀
+		-	-	-	100	19	*		├	Je39		-	-	-	+-	┨—	├	-	-	╂-	-	-	┼-
1			-	-	+				-	*	-	-		22. 4)*/}e	╀╌	┼	-	╀┈	╀	┼	├	┾
+			\vdash	-	┼			ļ		-	-	3-			+	-	-		\vdash	╁	-	-	╁
+	- 3	1-	-	2	+.	32 -	3% · 3	V\$.0 13	-3	33-	. sak	-	-3	4-	+	-	- 3	5 -	H	╁	 3	6-	╀
+		- V* - Very	3	ijη.	100	٠		<u> </u>	1200		34. 1	1	44		+	\vdash	iae.	_	-	╁	┼	├	╁
_			1	§	1	1	<u> </u>	L	. <u>t</u>	Γ	1	1	L	Nu	1	<u></u>	1		 			<u> </u>	
	5	ec.	6,	<u>(</u>	ec e-/	. 6, '63	3,4	<u>e- </u>	55 -60	9,1)-	54	60 43	j Sec	. 6	4.	R-	. 4, 170	0,	2 S 7-/	ec -60	.5		Z4
_							Harif A	44 ." 	1.47 1.47		· .		2 i	2.7									
) (AF			14		·								
_					11,3	y, v.fe	<u>* </u>	48°U	₹ <u>`</u> `	-	46.5	10 Tg /	1275. · ·										
_					্	å.	<u>.</u>	· "'.		·					* .								
					12		er vi	18	. h	F 4.		es, de M	1	· (-	i, i								
						3					29	4. *											
_					54			, - y , \	*****			, ÷								·			
					·	1	Ç. 5	<i>1</i> 2	1 .			7			£1		:	<i>Y</i> :					
				, S	84 J. 3	Ag	(1 ° 5	- 44.5															
																						7	

(() Division Order No. N-8332 also provided the Sellowing:

operator of the subject well and united (Decratory operator of the subject well and united for faragraph No.(2))

2) dismissed that portion of the application (No.(2))

for a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the subject well: (Decratory Paragraph No. (7))

Finding Baragraph

Nos, 13), (10)(11)

(12), (13) and

Becratory

Nos. (3), (4), (5)

(6))

dilling and completion costs attributable to said will from any and all nonconstanting working interest owners; and,

4) authorized the operator to recover its share of all costs of operations and owerhead charges (8475. 4 per month while drilling and producing) since March 1, 1984.

(A) The applicant. Northwest Pipeline Corporation, drilled the Rucker Lake Well No. 2 at a standard location in the NE/4 SW/4 of said Section 24 and completed the same to the Gallup Formation, with a total depth of approximately 8,155 feet on August 25, 1983 and 40 acres was dedicated as the standard spacing or proration unit for that well at that time.

% (8) Subsequent thereto, the Division entered Order No. R-7407 effective March 1, 1984 establishing the Gavilan-Mancos Oil Pool and the Special Rules and Regulations, including a provision establishing the standard spacing or proration unit as 320 acres.

(7) Only after March 1, 1984 was it necessary for the operator of said Rocker Lake Well to. I to file with the Division an application to part any and all seem son-consending parties water in

TC	WN:	SHI	P. /	7.50	uth	<u> </u>	_	RAI	IGE	28	Ea	56		,	N	IPM							
		 	<u> </u>	L		<u> </u>	L.		_	_													L
		 6 –			Ŀ	 5		_		 4				 3—			L.	 2			<u>L</u> .	 	L
		 		_		<u>,</u>				<u>.</u>				Ĺ				_			ļ	<u> </u>	L.
								L															L
		_		<u>L</u>		_		_		<u> </u>	· .						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	<u>L</u> .		L
		' 7 –	<u> </u>		L ,	} B —			Ĺ	। 9 —			L 1	0 -			- 1	 1 —			L,	 2	L
			-	*	***	1			_	ļ				Ĭ		L.		<u>-</u>				Ī	Ļ
_		_					_	Ŀ	_									<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	L	Ļ
-				<u> </u>	_	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						_			<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	Ŀ	\downarrow
	<u>ر</u> –ا) .8 –		_	-1	; .7 –	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-1	.6			-1	յ 5 —			- 1	1 4 —	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\lfloor_1	ا .3—	L
		 		_		1		<u> </u>	_	L				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	_	_	L.	_	\downarrow
				L	_	<u> </u>	ļ	_	_	-						_		_		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	\downarrow
		_			· .		-	ļ	_	<u> </u>						_	_	ļ		_	<u> </u>	_	Ļ
	-1	.9 –		L	- 2	0		215	2	1-			- 2	2-		_	- 2	3-		<u> </u>	- 2	' 4 –	Ļ
-	_	-	_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-	ļ		-				-	_	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	\downarrow
			-	-	-	-	-	 -		_						H	_		-	-	-	_	+
-		<u> </u>	ni s	-	1.5		-				-					-	_	_	•	3	<u> </u>	\vdash	╀
	— 3	0 -		-	- 2	9 –	-		- 2	β-	\vdash		-2	7-		-	- 2	k _			- 2	k _	+
-	3						-) () (-	-			Н			-	-	-	-			-	╀
		-		-	\ .\~	,:						\vdash				 		-				-	+
	-		-	-		-	_		H							-		-		>	-	-	+
	-3	1		ते द्वा	3	2_	S.	×		3_	<u> </u>		-3	4-			-	5 -	_	-	 3	6 –	+
	-			*		-	*	72,43			\vdash		\vdash					-	 	-	 	-	+
	<u> </u>	Щ.		چِــا				ب			<u> </u>							<u> </u>	<u> </u>				上

Ext: \(\forall \) Sec. 31; \(\forall \) Sec. 32 (R-1633, 4-1-60) - \(\forall \) Sec. 33 (R-1652, 5-1-60)
-\(\forall \) \(\forall \) \(\forall \) Sec. 34; \(\forall \) Sec. 34; \(\forall \) Sec. 35; \(\forall \) Sec. 34; \(\forall \) Sec. 35; \(\forall \) Sec. 35(R-1749, 9-160)
-\(\forall \) Sec. \(\forall

er de la companya de

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

THE STATE OF THE S

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

April, 1991

RODEY, DOCKASON, SLOAN AKIN & ROBB, P.A. Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 1357 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

RE:

CASE NO. 10265

ORDER NO. R-8332-A

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

Florene Davidson

OC Staff Specialist

FD/sl

cc:

BLM Farmington Office

Florene Clavidson

Paul Pratt James Bruce

OCD Aztec Office

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT



BRUCE KING

GOVERNOR

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

May 8, 1991

RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN AKIN & ROBB, P.A. Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 1357 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

RE: CASE

CASE NO. 10265

ORDER NO. R-8332-A-1

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

Florene Davidson

OC Staff Specialist

FD/sl

cc:

BLM Farmington Office

Florene Davidson

Paul Pratt James Bruce

OCD Aztec Office