

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 6 June 1984

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF

9 The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
10 vation Division on its own motion for 8222
11 an order creating, abolishing, con-
tracting and extending certain pools
in Chaves, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties,
New Mexico.

12 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner

13
14 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

15
16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17
18
19 For the Oil Conservation W. Perry Pearce
20 Division: Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
21 State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

22 For the Applicant:
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

I N D E X

ROY E. JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce

3

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 8222, which is in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating, abolishing, contracting and extending certain pools in Chaves, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

Call for appearances in this case.

MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce, general counsel for the Division, and I have one witness who needs to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

ROY E. JOHNSON,
being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PEARCE:

Q Thank you, sir. For the record would you please state your name, employer, and position of employment?

A Roy E. Johnson. I'm a geologist for the Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

Q Do you have an exhibit prepared pertinent to the nomenclature of certain pools in Chaves, Lea, and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico?

A Yes, I have.

Q And have you compared that exhibit with the docket which has been prepared and distributed in this matter?

A Yes, I have.

Q And are there any discrepancies between the exhibit and the docket?

A There are no discrepancies between the exhibit and the docket; however, we would like to dismiss paragraph (j) as listed on the docket.

Q Do you have anything further to add to your testimony in this matter?

A No, I don't.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, we have nothing further on direct and we would offer Exhibit One in this matter.

MR. STAMETS: Exhibit One will be admitted.

Does anyone have any further questions of this witness? He may be excused.

If there is nothing further, Case Number 8222 will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY
that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Con-
servation Division was reported by me; that the said tran-
script is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing,
prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8222
heard by me on 6-6 19 84.
Richard L. Starn, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division