

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 25 July 1984

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
10 vation Division on its own motion for 8284
11 an order reclassifying, contracting
12 and extending certain pools in Chaves,
13 Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

14 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17
18
19 For the Oil Conservation Division: W. Perry Pearce
20 Attorney at Law
21 Oil Conservation Commission
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

22 For the Applicant:
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

I N D E X

GILBERT P. QUINTANA

Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce

3

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. STOGNER: We'll now call
Case Number 8284.

And this is the matter of the
hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own
motion for an order reclassifying, contracting or extending
certain pools in Chaves, Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New
Mexico.

MR. PEARCE: May it please the
Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce appearing in this matter on
behalf of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

I have one witness who needs to
be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

Are there any other appearances
in Case Number 8284?

Continue, Mr. Pearce.

MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir.

GILBERT P. QUINTANA,
being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PEARCE:

Q For the record will you please state your name, your employer, and position of employment?

A My name is Gilbert P. Quintana. I work for the Oil Conservation Division of the State of New Mexico. My position with the Oil Conservation Division is Petroleum Engineering Specialist.

Q Mr. Quintana, has an exhibit been prepared for this case today describing the changes in nomenclature contained in this case?

A Yes. That exhibit is marked Number One.

Q Okay, and has Exhibit One been compared with the docket prepared for distribution at this hearing?

A Yes.

Q And have any substantial differences between those two listings been found?

A No, there are no differences.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further on direct at this time.

I would move the admission of Exhibit One to Case 8284.

MR. STOGNER: That exhibit will be admitted into evidence.

Is there anything further in Case Number 8284 or anything further of this witness?

He may be excused and Case Num-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

ber 8284 will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY
that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Con-
servation Division was reported by me; that the said tran-
script is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing,
prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8284.
heard by me on July 25 1984.
Michael J. Meyer, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division