1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT		
2 3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
	3 October 1984		
4	EXAMINER HEARING		
5			
6			
7	IN THE MATTER OF:		
8	Application of Sohio Petroleum CASE		
9	Company for a unit agreement, 8365 Lea County, New Mexico.		
10			
11	BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner		
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
14			
15			
16	APPEARANCES		
17			
18	The the oil Communities Test Meetles		
19	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division		
20	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
21	banea 1e, new nextee 0,301		
22	For the Applicant: Paul Cooter		
	Attorney at Law RODEY LAW FIRM		
23	Santa Fe, New Mexico		
24			
25			

Г

1		2	
2			
3	INDEX		
4	DDAD HENMCOHEL		
5	BRAD HENTSCHEL Direct Examination by Mr. Cooter	3	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Quintana	9	
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Taylor	9	
8	cross Endminacion Sq mr. ragion	,	
9	KATHRYN SHANKS		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Cooter	11	
11			
12			
13			
14	EXHIBITS		
15			
16	Sohio Exhibit One, Unit Agreement	5	
17	Sohio Exhibit Two, Plat	5	
18	Sohio Exhibit Three, Lease Schedule	8	
19	Sohio Exhibit Four, Montage	13	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
2
                                 MR. QUINTANA: We'll call next
3
    Case 8365.
4
                                 MR. TAYLOR: Application of So-
5
    hio Petroleum Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New
6
    Mexico.
7
                                 MR.
                                      COOTER:
                                                Mr. Examiner, my
8
    name is Paul Cooter. I'm with the Rodey Law Firm here in
    Santa Fe, representing the applicant, Sohio.
9
                                 We will have two witnesses who
10
    should be sworn at this time, Brad Hentschel and Kathryn
11
    Shanks.
12
                                      QUINTANA: Are there other
                                 MR.
13
    appearances in this case?
14
                                 Will the two witnesses please
15
    stand and be sworn in?
16
17
                          (Witnesses sworn.)
18
                          BRAD HENTSCHEL,
19
    being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
20
    oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
21
22
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. COOTER:
24
                       State your name for the record, please,
             Q
25
    sir.
```

	A
1	
2	A My name is Brad Hentschel.
3	Q And by whom are you employed, Mr. Hent-
4	schel?
5	A I'm employed by Edmondson and Associates,
	a consulting firm out of Denver, Colorado.
6	Q Your firm has been retained by Sohio to
7	do certain work for this unit?
8	A Yes, we have.
9	Q Have you previously well, first, be-
10	fore we get into that, what is the nature of the interest or
11	work of your company?
	A We are a consulting firm specializing in
12	the formation of Federal exploratory units.
13	Q Have you previously testified before this
14	Commission in other cases?
15	A Yes, I have.
16	Q State briefly what the Sohio, the appli-
17	cant, seeks by by this application.
18	A Sohio Petroleum Company is proposing the
19	formation of a Federal exploratory unit to be known as the
20	Northeast Salado Draw (Deep) Unit Area.
	The unit contains 2560.36 acres, which
21	1840.36 acres is Federal lands; 640 acres are State of New
22	Mexico lands; and 80 acres are patented lands.
23	Q What formation is the objective of this
24	unit?
25	A The Middle Morrow Shale formation is the

sion?

objective formation. We think it has the most potential.

Q Placed in front of you is the unit agreement which has been marked as Exhibit Number One.

Would you so identify it for the Commis-

A Yes. This is a standard form Federal unit agreement, 1983, reprint. It has been modified to include all the provisions required by the Commissioner of Public Lands and the Oil Conservation Division of the State of New Mexico.

Next I'll put in front of you, what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two. That is a plat of the proposed unit area, is it not?

A Yes, it is.

Q And does that -- that would be normally, or will become Exhibit A to the unit agreement?

A That's correct.

Q While you have that plat in front of you, let's review the unit acreage, the four sections which are involved that are marked by tract numbers, if you would. There aren't very many of those.

Let's start with Tract Number 1.

A Tract Number 1 is owned by Phillips Petroleum Company. They have verbally committed to participate in the drilling of the initial test.

Q Go on through that area on a tract by tract basis, Mr. Hentschel.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tract 2 is a Federal lease owned by Α Exploration and Production Company. They have farmed out their interest to Sohio Petroleum Company.

Tract 3 is a lease owned currently Superior Oil Company, which has been purchased by Sohio Drilling Company.

Tract 4 is a lease owned by Amoco Production Company. They are supporting the unit and farming out to Sohio.

Number 5 is a lease owned Tract рA HNG They are also farming out to Sohio. Oil Company.

Tract 6 is also owned by HNG Oil Company and they will be farming out to Sohio again.

Tract 7, current record title is held by Albert A. Porti, although Marshall and Winston has purchased that lease and they will be participating in the unit and the drilling of the test well.

Let me stop you right there. Tracts through 7 are all Federal leases, are they not?

Yes, they are.

And have you submitted the proposed unit 0 agreement and unit plan to the Bureau of Land Management?

Α We submitted the area in depth application to BLM on September 6th, 1984, and have received verbal preliminary approval from the BLM in Roswell.

While we're talking about the Federal leases, let me direct your attention to Lease number

not?

unit. Sohio Petroleum Company has recently acquired leases on all the mineral owners in that -- in that tract.

Q So that insofar as the unit lands and leases are concerned, could you give a percentage that is either controlled by -- directly by Sohio or acquired by Sohio, or who has indicated their intention to participate with Sohio in the unit?

A We have verbal commitments from 100 percent of the working interest owners in the unit.

Q All right. Let me direct your attention to Exhibit Number Three. Could you identify that for us?

A Yes. Exhibit Three is an exhibit to the unit agreement showing, it's a lease schedule showing the percentage of ownership of the oil and gas interests. It includes all parties that have any interest in the oil and gas rights, to the best of our knowledge.

Q And that conforms to your testimony which you have given about the various lease ownerships.

A Yes.

Q Does the unit agreement and the exhibits which are attached thereto, particularly Exhibit B, protect the correlative rights of all of the leasehold and mineral owners?

A Yes, it does.

Q It's based on an acreage basis, is it

A The mineral owners, the division of roy-

```
1
    alties is determined by the participating area, which is ap-
2
    proved by the Bureau of Land Management, and the State of
3
    New Mexico.
4
                                      COOTER: I have no further
                                 MR.
5
    questions of this witness.
6
7
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
8
    BY MR. QUINTANA:
                      Mr. Hentschel, you said you had testified
             Q
9
    before the Commission before?
10
                       Yes, sir.
11
                       Was that recently?
             Q
12
                       I would say it was approximately two
13
    years ago.
14
                                      COOTER: If the Examiner
                                 MR.
15
    likes, we'll cover his qualifications very quickly.
                                       QUINTANA: All right,
16
                                 MR.
    that's fine. No further questions of the witness. He may
17
    be excused.
18
                                 MR.
                                      TAYLOR: I've got a couple
19
    of questions.
20
21
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. TAYLOR:
23
                       First of all, we don't have a copy of the
24
    letter from the Commissioner filed. Could you give us a
    copy of that --
25
```

11 1 location in the west half of Section 6, 660 feet from 2 the west line and 1980 feet from the north line, which would 3 place it in Lot 2 of the -- in the west half of Section 6, 4 which will be a standard location, or yeah, orthodox loca-5 tion for the well. MR. QUINTANA: Would you state that footage location again, please? 7 MR. COOTER: 660 feet from the 8 line and 1980 feet from the north line of Section 6, 9 which would place it in the center of Lot 2. 10 MR. OUINTANA: The witness may 11 be excused. Is there any further questions of the witness? 12 MR. COOTER: Next call Kathryn 13 Shanks. 14 KATHRYN SHANKS, 15 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon her oath 16 testified as follows, to-wit: 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. COOTER: 20 Would you state your name for the record, 0 21 please? 22 Α Kathryn Shanks. And by whom are you employed and in what 23

Sohio Petroleum Company as an exploration

capacity?

Α

24

25

12 1 geologist. 2 0 Have you previously testified before this 3 Commission? 4 Α No, I have not. 5 Would you please give the Examiner, 6 state for the record, a brief resume of your education and 7 your professional experience to date? 8 Α 1976 in August I graduated from SMU in Dalla with a BS degree in geology. 9 in August, a Master of Science 1979 10 energy and natural resources from the University of Texas at 11 Dallas. 12 November of 1976 I started work for CORE 13 Laboratories as a reservoir geologist. I worked with CORE 14 Labs until November of 1979, at which time I went to work 15 for REGO Associates in Willison, North Dakota, as a consul-16 ting geologist. I've been employed with Sohio as an ex-17 ploration geologist since March of 1983 to the present. 18 Are you familiar with the application now 19 being considered by the Commission for the unit, Northeast 20 Salado Draw (Deep) Unit? 21 Yes, I am. Α 22 0 Were you active and take part in the 23 of the geological information for the proposal to make 24 that unit, form that unit?

Α

25

Yes, I am.

MR. COOTER: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable for the Commission?

MR. QUINTANA: Yes, the wit-

ness' qualifications are accepted.

Q Let me direct your attention, if I may, to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Four. If you'll open yours, I won't open mine.

A Okay.

Q First let me ask you, was that exhibit prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?

A Yes, it was prepared by me.

Q Let me ask you then to proceed to relate what is shown in the various portions of that exhibit and commence wherever you so desire, but please identify the portion of the exhibit for the Examiner and Mr. Taylor.

A Okay. This a montage which summarizes the Northeast Salado Draw prospect.

First I'd like to direct your attention to the map just above the title block, which will show the location in Lea County.

The proposed unit is in the northeast portion of the Delaware Basin. It is situated approximately 18 miles west of Jal, New Mexico.

Next I'd like to talk about the geology a little bit. The proposed unit area covers an anticipated accumulation of G sandstone in the Pennsylvanian Upper Morrow formation.

This sand sits directly on top of the Middle Morrow Shale sequence, as illustrated by the Middle Morrow Shale structure map in the middle of the montage.

Gas is expected to be trapped stratigraphically due to the loss of porosity and thinning of the objective G sandstone in all directions away from the low, as mapped to the left on the montage.

This G sand in the Upper Morrow formation is composed of deep water sandstone, believed to have been deposited by submarine channels.

A thick accumulation of G sandstone has been seismically identified and mapped in the Morrow sediment Isopach, shown to the left on the montage.

This sand produces in the Pitchfork Ranch Field to the north and is considered a time equivalent of the G sand proposed in the unit area.

This relationship is demonstrated in the cross section at the top of the montage, showing the G sand in the productive HNG Oil Company Diamond 5 Federal No. 1. This is a north/south cross section, the location of which is shown on the structure map in the center of the montage, extending from the Diamond 5 Well to the north, south to the Pogo Producing No. 1 Mosbacher 20.

The initial test well is located approximately 660 feet from the west line and 1980 feet from the north line of Section 6, Township 26 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

,	
1	15
2	If successful, offsets to this well will
3	be drilled inside the proposed unit area.
4	The delineation of the unit area will in-
5	clude a 160-acre block inside the 200-foot contour line
_	shown on the Isopach map on the montage.
6	This completes my presentation. If you
7	have any questions I'd be happy to answer them.
8	MR. QUINTANA: I don't have any
9	questions at this time, no, sir.
10	Q Let me ask just a couple of questions
11	more. They're almost by rote but they're important.
12	In your opinion would the granting of the
13	application, the approval of the unit and the drilling of
14	the wells under the unit plan of development, be in the best
15	interest of conservation and prevent waste?
	A Yes.
16	MR. COOTER: That's all I have,
17	Mr. Examiner.
18	MR. QUINTANA: Are there any
19	other questions of this witness? If not, the witness may be
20	excused.
21	MR. COOTER: We would offer Ex-
22	hibits One through Four at this time.
23	MR. QUINTANA: Exhibits One
	through Four will be admitted into evidence.

MR. COOTER: And that concludes

case, but I once again would plead that if -- if it's

24

25

possible, there just remains so much to be done, that if this could have priority on the Examiner's consideration and resolution of it, assuming a favorable resolution, then they've got to go back and get approvals, as I said, from the BLM and the Commissioner and ratification, and be drilling by the end of the month, assuming all of those approvals are in hand.

MR. TAYLOR: We're going to have to tend to the error in the application. We're going to have to readvertise, but we can go ahead and do that.

MR. COOTER: That really wasn't in the advertisement, as such.

MR. TAYLOR: It wasn't?

MR. COOTER: And I don't believe, and we didn't -- that, of course, is an unorthodox location and had the decision been to drill at that point I think we would have had to readvertise and be heard. There was a possibility for administrative approval if that had been dropped to 990 feet, but as it is a standard location, we really would urge that you reconsider and not readvertise.

MR. QUINTANA: Mr. Cooter, you are correct, it was not in the advertisement, so we will do our best to get it out so you will not run into problems as far as the --

MR. COOTER: We'd appreciate it.
MR. QUINTANA: -- acreage there.

```
17
1
                                  MR. COOTER: Thank you.
2
                                  MR. QUINTANA: Case 8365 will
3
    be taken under advisement.
4
                                  Is there any further business
5
    today?
6
                                  If not, this hearing is con-
7
    cluded.
8
                         (Hearing concluded.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

_

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Jarry W. Boyd Corz

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8365. heard by me on Oct. 3 19.84.

Oil Conservation Division