

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

31 October 1984

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Stevens Operating	CASE
Corporation for an unorthodox well	8391
location, Chaves County, New Mexico.	

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation	Jeff Taylor
Division:	Attorney at Law
	Legal Counsel to the Division
	State Land Office Bldg.
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:	Ernest L. Padilla
	Attorney at Law
	Santa Fe, New Mexico. 87501

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WILBUR WILSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 3

E X H I B I T S

Stevens Exhibit One, Log 5

Stevens Exhibit Two, Seismic Map 7

1
2
3 MR. STOGNER: We will now call
4 Case Number 8391.

5 MR. TAYLOR: The application of
6 Stevens Operating Corporation for an unorthodox well loca-
7 tion, Chaves County, New Mexico.

8 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I'm
9 Ernest L. Padilla, Santa Fe, New Mexico, for the applicant
10 in this case.

11 I have one witness to be sworn.

12 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
13 other appearances in this matter?

14 Will the witness please stand
15 and be sworn?

16 (Witness sworn.)

17 WILBUR WILSON,
18 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
19 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. PADILLA:

22 Q Mr. Wilson, for the record would you
23 please state your full name and where you reside, and would
24 you also at the same time tell us what your connection is
25 with the applicant in this case?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A Yes. My name is Wilbur D. Wilson. I'm Exploration Manager for Stevens Operating Corporation and I reside in Roswell, New Mexico.

Q Have you previously testified and had your credentials accepted as a matter of record before the -- in a hearing before the Oil Conservation Division?

A Yes, I have.

Q Are you familiar with the purpose of today's hearing?

A Yes, sir, I am.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. STOGNER: They are.

Q Mr. Wilson, will you briefly tell us what the purpose of the hearing is?

A Yes. Our purpose of a hearing is to make application before the Commission for the approval of a non-standard location for the No. 2 Lynx, which will be a second well to be drilled in the Lynx Fusselman Field.

Q And is that -- can you give us a legal description of where that well is going to be located or the intended proration unit for that well?

A Yes. It will be 2310 from the south and 1760 feet from the east line of Section 19, Township 8 South, Range 29 East.

Q And the south half of that section will be dedicated to the well?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A Yes, sir.

Q Have you prepared and made a study of --
in connection with this hearing?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q And you have prepared certain exhibits
for introduction.

A Yes.

Q Let's turn now to what we have marked as
Applicant's Exhibit Number One and have you tell us what
that is and what it contains.

A Exhibit Number One is a copy of the com-
pensated neutron formation density log on the Stevens Oil --
or Stevens Operating Corporation No. 1 Lynx.

This well was drilled 1815 feet from the
north and 1980 from the east of Section 19 of 8, 29. It was
spudded May 31st, 1984, and completed July 16th, 1984.

TD was 7491 feet in Granite. Top of the
Fusselman was hit at 6850 feet. We had mud log show in the
top 30 feet of the Fusselman. We had 550 units of methane;
350 units of ethane.

No DST's were run. We ran a Schlumberger
compensated neutron formation density curve duolateral log,
the Micro SFL.

We plugged back to 7451 feet and ran 5-
1/2 inch 17-pound casing to 7448 feet.

We perforated the top of the Fusselman
from 6852 to 64. We acidized with 250 gallons of acetic

1 plus 2000 gallons of 15 percent MSR-100, plus 1000 standard
2 cubic feet of nitrogen per barrel of acid.

3 The CAOF on this well was 1323 Mcf plus
4 78 barrels of condensate plus 15 barrels of water through 2-
5 3/8ths inch tubing.

6 The packer was set at 6785 feet. At this
7 time the well was shut in for lack of a market.

8 To give you a little idea of where we're
9 we located with respect to the other Fusselman production,
10 the nearest Fusselman production is four miles north in the
11 South Elkins Field and three and a half miles southwest in
12 the abandoned Twin Lakes Fusselman Field.

13 And on the top of the Fusselman, our No.
14 1 Lynx is 103 feet high with the Jake Hamon Salisbury Well,
15 which is 7390 foot Granite test three miles north, or 719
16 feet high to the NRN No. 1 Fradees (sic), which is about a
17 mile and a half southwest, and we're 1416 feet high to the
18 Stevens Operating No. 1 Red Lake Ridge, which is 1-3/4 miles
19 east.

20 The well went on production October 19,
21 1984. The gas purchaser is Liquid Energy and the condensate
22 purchaser is Navajo Refining. The well is producing flowing
23 about 850 to 875 Mcf; about 55 to 65 barrels of condensate
24 and 5 to 10 barrels of water on an 18/64ths choke.

25 Okay. On the exhibit there's columns to
the right. One is porosity, the other water saturation, and
the other figure is the bulk volume water. The top of the

1
2 Fusselman is so indicated at -2889, and then it is color
3 coded red, green, and blue.

4 The red zone, which represents the gas
5 condensate reservoir, extends from the top of the Fusselman
6 at 6850 feet down to 6895. We feel there's 45 feet of gross
7 pay and 27 feet of net. The porosities range from 8 to 13
8 percent and the water saturation from 32 to 52 percent, and
9 that zone is represented in red.

10 Immediately below that is a gas conden-
11 sate reservoir with possible high water cut. That extends
12 from 6895 to the transition zone, 6923 to 27. Porosities
13 there range from around 18 percent and the water saturations
14 are 18 to 32 percent. That's the green zone.

15 And the lower zone there in blue is a
16 possible gas condensate (not understood) reservoir with pro-
17 bable high water cut. That extends from 6927 feet on the
18 log down deeper, down probably a little above 7000 feet.
19 The porosities there are 12 to 21 percent and the water sat-
20 urations are 37 to 53 percent.

21 Q Are you ready to go on to Exhibit Two?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Would you go on to and explain what we
24 have marked as Exhibit Number Two?

25 A Okay. Exhibit Two is a seismic map on
top of the Fusselman. The contour interval is 25 feet; hor-
izontal scale is one inch equals 1000 feet, and also shown
on the map are the shallow oil wells, which are producing

1 from the San Andres. I might point out that in the mapped
2 area the No. 1 Lynx is the only well that is drilled below
3 the San Andres. All the other, the oil wells scattered
4 around in there are all shallow San Andres producers.

5 The No. 1 Lynx is shown on this map in
6 the north half of Section 19. The proposed location of the
7 No. 2 Lynx is shown with a circle with the red and the stan-
8 dard location to the southwest is shown in lavender.

9 The yellow outline of the 320-acre prora-
10 tion unit that is assigned to the No. 1 Lynx is shown in the
11 north half of 19. Also there's an east/west cross section
12 A-A', which goes through the discovery well, the No. 1 Lynx,
13 and is also shown on the bottom of that exhibit, and the
14 seismic faults are shown in orange. There's three of them,
15 one to the east, one to the west, and one to the north.

16 The seismic data is 96-channel Vibrocize
17 and the interpretation was based on 24-fold final static.

18 The discovery well, the No. 1 Lynx, was
19 drilled on the basis of two seismic lines and these are
20 shown on this exhibit, RL, which stands for Red Lake Ridge,
21 Line 1, is the east/west line going through the subject No.
22 1 Lynx, and then also the north/south line, which is the RL-
23 5 line. This was the basis for the drilling of the No. 1
24 Lynx, and after we drilled that, we went back and shot RL-6,
25 which is an east/west line immediately south of the No. 1
Lynx, and this was the basis to help us locate the optimum
location for the No. 2 Lynx Well.

1
2 The trapping of gas and condensate in the
3 Lynx Field is controlled by a combination of east/west turn-
4 over of approximately 110 feet and south dip exceeding
5 several hundred feet, and three normal faults bounding the
6 structure to the north, east, and the west.

7 The north/south trending regional fault
8 to the east, which is a very extensive regional fault, you
9 can trace this for eight miles, has a throw of about 800-900
10 feet.

11 Of lesser displacement the north/south
12 fault that bounds the structure on the west has approximate-
13 ly 400 feet of throw, and immediately north of the Lynx is
14 an east/west fault, which has approximately 100 feet of
15 throw and possibly limits the hydrocarbon entrapment in this
16 direction.

17 Okay, shown on this map by color, the
18 color of the red, the green, and the blue, relates to the
19 pay section that we drilled in the No. 1 Lynx and it's based
20 on the subsea elevations that were found in the discovery
21 well.

22 Structurally the nonstandard location of
23 the No. 2 Lynx, which is shown on this exhibit in lavender,
24 or excuse me, in red, would be approximately 20 feet, 25
25 feet high to the standard location, which is shown in laven-
26 der, and this would place the upper part of the Fusselman
27 within the gas condensate reservoir interval, which is shown
28 in red. That would be between the 2889 and 2939 interval

1 that you see on the discovery well, and this is the zone
2 which is perforated in the discovery well.

3 The corresponding underlying zones, which
4 are colored green and blue, would also be structurally
5 higher and contribute to a lower water cut on production,
6 and in addition, the closer proximity to the crest of the
7 structure should contribute the higher porosity and perme-
8 ability due to bending and fracturing the Fusselman forma-
9 tion.

10 Q Mr. Wilson, would approval of the appli-
11 cation result in the best interest of conservation --

12 A Yes.

13 Q -- in your opinion?

14 A Yes, it would.

15 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner,
16 I'll offer Exhibits One and Two and I have nothing further
17 to add.

18 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One and
19 Two will be admitted into evidence.

20 And I have no questions of Mr.
21 Wilson.

22 Are there any other questions
23 of this witness this morning? If not, he may be excused.

24 Mr. Padilla, do you have any-
25 thing further in this case?

MR. PADILLA: Nothing.

MR. STOGNER: Does anybody else

1 have anything further in Case Number 8391?

2
3 If not, this case will be taken
4 under advisement.

5 (Hearing concluded.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

