1	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
3	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
4	3 January 1985
5	EXAMINER HEARING
6	
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	Application of Harper Oil Company CASE for a unit agreement, Chaves County, 8426
10	New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE: Micnael E. Stogner, Examiner
15	MDANGODIDE OF USADING
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
17	APPEARANCES
18	
19	For the Oil Conservation Division:
20	
21	
22	For the Applicant:
23	
24	
25	

ſ

1 2 MR. STOGNER: The hearing will 3 please come to order. 4 We will first call Case Number 5 8426, which is the application of Harper Oil Company for a 6 unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. 7 This case was heard on December 19th, 1984, before Gilbert Quintana. 8 Due to a mistake on the 9 applicant's same, this case was continued for today. 10 We will at this time call for 11 appearances. 12 Being none, this case will be 13 taken under advisement. 14 15 (Hearing concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Salay W. Bayd Core

I do hereby to add the formoing is a construction of the fact of t

	•	I	Page 1
	NEW MEXICO	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
•	EXA	MINER HEARING	
	<b>€</b>	SANTA FF , NEW MEXICO	
•	:	SANTA BE	
Hearing Date_		DECEMBER 19, 1984	Time: 8:00 A.M.
)			
NAME		REPRESENTING	LOCATION
L.J. Tacconi		Inexco Oil Company	Houston, Tx
Joel Carlisk		Texus Eastern Trans (TRONSMESTERN)	Haudon, TX
LA bro. 90	uny K	ellahm Kellahin	Santa De
Maried	1	-	Sata Fa
year Jeb "	<u></u>	ortgonery of Andrews	3014
Bul Hul	ur P	man	Senta Z
	>	K I SI DA	
Dilliam & C	The C	temp beel black th	guta te
Kevin J. B	~ 1	Marbob Energy Corp	Artegia V
M. J. England	 	ii n	Jenury Colo
Mula	for	Harpe oir	Rossel 1
JOE A. Boyd FARNER L. WIL		Harper Oil Company	Midland, Texas
FARNER L. WIL	DE	"	"
Chad Dic	· I	Love Count Diskun	arteria
2.2. Pen	1	ing Expl + Pres	Dallas
	1 (- )	va Cabe 1	.,
and bu	lon	il .	11
Jan Mic Con	nell		
Dale		4 0 0	10
		Narbah	Rosnell NW artesin nm
Ray Mille		Marbob	arteria nm

Self (Brodo Da)

Pag	e	2	

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

	EXAMINER	HEARIN	G	
Ÿ	SANTA	FE ,	NEW	MEXICO

Hearing Date DECEMBER 19, 1984 Time: 8:00 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
V. Kellolin	Kelohin Kellohin	Santate
Romanning	El Poro Mature Gas	& Paso
am Bruce	Hinkle Law Firm	Saita Fe, NM
N.T. Duncan	Exxon Corp. the	MidlAND, T
oel Degenstein	"	′′
an Babcock		- /
Jim O'Brian	GAS Company of New Mexico	ALL
Journy Sanders		м
In Keffer.	Coastal Oxo Corp	medled;
In a Culpepper	Coastal Oto Cor fe Coastal oci & The Congration	Midland T
Ray Beck	Yater	Atteria.
P. CARTER VE	Grangen Per G.	Housen, Tx
M. NIEMEIEK	" "	
vie Busch	NMOCO	Aztec. N M-

ſ	
1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
4	19 December 1984
5	EXAMINER HEARING
6	
7	
	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	
9	Application of McClellan Oil Cor- CASE poration for a unit agreement, 8426
10	Chaves County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	DEBODE CALL TO A CALL
15	BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	
20	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Jaw
21	Legal Counsel to the Commission
22	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
23	For the Applicant: Randolph M. Richardson
24	Attorney At Law Roswell, New Mexico 88201
	MOSWETT, NEW MEXICO 88201
25	

1		2	
2			
3	INDEX		
4			
5	GARNER L. WILDE		
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Richardson		
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Quintana	9	
8			
9			
10			
11	EXHIBITS		
12			
13	McClellan Exhibit One, Plat	7	
14	McClellan Exhibit Two, Map	7	
15	McClellan Exhibit Three, Structure Map	7	
16	McClellan Exhibit Four, Structure Map	7	
17	McClellan Exhibit Five, Cross Section	8	
18	McClellan Exhibit Six, Geologic Report	8	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

\_

8426.

MR. QUINTANA: We'll call Case

MR. QUINTANA, WE IT CAIT CASE

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

McClellan Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico.

MR. RICHARDSON: Randolph M.

Richardson, appearing on behalf of applicant.

I have one witness to be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

MR. QUINTANA: Are there any

other appearances in this case?

MR. RICHARDSON: Mr. Examiner,

this case was advertised in both the <u>Roswell Daily Record</u> and in the published docket as being operated by McClellan Oil Corporation.

We don't know where the mistake was made but it is the Harper Oil Company will be the operator and we would like to request that you complete the hearing today and readvertise with the change in operator, if necessary to republish, and issue your order following the next hearing.

We are kind of in a bind on it timewise for approval in drilling.

MR. QUINTANA: We will go ahead

and listen to your case and you may put on testimony, and we've already made preparations to advertise it for the January 3rd hearing, readvertise it with the correct applicant.

MR. RICHARDSON: Real fine.

Thank you.

MR. QUINTANA: You may proceed.

MR. RICHARDSON: Prior to ques-

tioning the witness, which might throw a little light on it, this case, 8426, as well as Case 8427, which is following, that will be McClellan Oil Corporation, and the way this came about is McClellan and Harper own essentially the same amount of acreage in the area and it became apparent it was necessary for two test wells, so decided upon two units and drill two test wells and avoid duplication of operator duties, well, McClellan is going to operate one unit and Harper, the other.

The geology and the basic work assembling the geology was done as a combination effort between McClellan and Harper, and Harper did prepare the reports and the witness is a Harper employee. He will testify in this case for Harper and in the following case as a geologist, or consultant more or less, for McClellan.

GARNER L. WILDE,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

ı	
1	5
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. RICHARDSON:
4	Q Mr. Wilde, would you please state your
5	name, address, and present occupation?
6	A My name is Garner L. Wilde. I live in
	Midland, Texas. I am Exploration Manager for Harper Oil
7	Company in Midland.
8	MR. RICHARDSON: I didn't get
9	all my handouts out.
10	I have handed the Examiner a
11	geological report and I'd like to hand you also revised
12	exhibits for the unit agreement that correctly has all these
13	numbers on it.
14	Q Mr. Wilde, would you please state your
15	education and professional background which will enable you
16	to testify as an expert witness in this case?
	A Yes, I have undergraduate and graduate
17	degrees from Texas Christian University in Ft. Worth, Texas,
18	in geology.
19	I have an honorary Doctor of Science
20	degree from the same institution.
21	I have 29 years of experience with Exxon
22	Corporation and the last three and a half years I have been
23	manager for Harper Oil Company in their Midland office.
24	Q Are you familiar with the Snake Eues Unit
25	Area and the matters contained in the application to the Di-
- X-12	L VISION INC ANUTAVAL OF THE UNIT BUTGOMONT!

witness'

the

ap-

the

Exhibit Four is also a structure map on

top of the Tubb but it is more regional, covers both units;

24

25

also contour interval 100 feet.

Exhibit Five is a structural cross section A-A', which is essentially east/west, and it goes across both prospects.

Exhibit Six is a brief geological report with descriptions of location and the reasons for desiring to drill.

Q Mr. Wilde, could you please the tell the Division your conclusions as to formation likely to be encountered and considered prospective for production?

A The entire Paleozoic section beneath the San Andres formation will be tested for possible hydrocarbon accumulations. This is a rank wildcat, but more specifically we would be looking at Abo Pennsylvanian and Fusselman Montoya possibilities.

Q Could you tell the Division the projected depth and tentative location for the initial test well?

A It would be a 5500-foot test. The location as recorded in the report is 1980 feet from the south line and from the west line of Section 20, 14 South, 12 East.

Because of terrain problems we have moved the west line to 660; therefore at the present time it would read 1980 from the south and 660 from the west line of that same section.

Q Could you please tell the Division the working interest ownership and percentages within the unit

area, and have these owners been contacted?

A Harper Oil Company has 38.95 percent.

McClellan Oil Corporation, 31.41 percent. Maralo, or M.

Ralph Low, Inc. has 20.77 percent. And other combined small owners have 8.87 percent.

All of these working interest owners have been contacted.

Q In your opinion what percentage of the working interest will be committed to the unit and what percentage of the royalty will be committed?

A We anticipate that 94 percent of the working interest will be committed and we believe that approximately 94 percent of the royalty will be committed.

Q In your opinion will the operation of this area under the proposed unit plan of operation be in the interest of conservation and prevention of waste?

A Yes.

Q Will the different institutions of the State, if any, receive their fair share of production, if established?

A Yes, they will.

Q In the event of production will the correlative rights of all parties to this unit agreement be protected?

A Yes.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MR.}}$$  RICHARDSON: I have no further questions, Mr. Examiner.

1	10
2	I would like to move the geolo-
3	gical report be entered in evidence.
4	MR. QUINTANA: Exhibits One
5	through Six will be admitted as evidence in this case.
6	
	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. QUINTANA:
8	Q This is a rank wildcat? The well that
9	you're going to drill is a rank wildcat?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Would you explain to me the specific
12	reasons why you unitized this specific area, briefly how you
13	realized determined that that was the best place to uni-
14	tize the area?
15	A Harper Oil Company and McClellan Oil Cor-
16	poration jointly participated in a survey called an aeromag-
	netic survey, high sensitivity survey.
17	From that a number of maps were produced,
18	one being a depth, a basement map, and as a result of that
19	and subsurface data in the surrounding area, maps were drawn
20	that picked this outline.
21	MR. QUINTANA: I have no fur-
22	ther questions of the witness.
23	Is there anything further in Case 8426?
24	If not, Case 8426 will be taken
25	under advisement.
	WINGE QUYESCHICIE.

## CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Saery W. Boyd COR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No.8426 heard by me on DEC. 19 1984.

Oil Conservation Division