| 5  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  |   |
| 2  | STATE OF NEW MEXICO<br>ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT                           |
| 3  | OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING                            |
| 4  | SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO  |
| 5  | 30 January 1985   |
| 3  | EXAMINER HEARING  |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | IN THE MATTER OF:   |
| 9  | Application of Gulf Oil Corporation CASE  |
| 10 | for downhole comingling, Lea County, 8467<br>New Mexico.                        |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner  |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | APPEARANCES   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 | For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law                  |
| 20 | Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe New Movice 27501 |
| 21 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  |
| 22 | For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin   |
| 23 | Attorney at Law<br>Kellahin and Kellahin  |
| 24 | P. O. Box 2265<br>Santa Fe, New Mexico 87 <b>5</b> 01                           |
| 25 |   |

| 1  |                                      | 2  |  |
|----|--------------------------------------|----|--|
| 2  |                                      |    |  |
| 3  | I N D E X                            |    |  |
| 4  |                                      |    |  |
| 5  | LES MUNSON                           |    |  |
| 6  | Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin 3 |    |  |
|    | Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner 12  |    |  |
| 7  |                                      |    |  |
| 8  |                                      |    |  |
| 9  |                                      |    |  |
| 10 |                                      |    |  |
| 11 | EXHIBITS                             |    |  |
| 12 |                                      |    |  |
| 13 | Gulf Exhibit One, Application        | 4  |  |
| 14 | Gulf Exhibit Two, Plat               | 6  |  |
| 15 | Gulf Exhibit Three, Two Plats        | 6  |  |
| 16 | Gulf Exhibit Four, Schematic         | 7  |  |
| 17 | Gulf Exhibit Five, History Summary   | 7  |  |
| 18 | Gulf Exhibit Six, Response to Rules  | 8  |  |
| 19 | Gulf Exhibit Seven, C-116            | 9  |  |
| 20 | Gulf Exhibit Eight, Field Data       | 9  |  |
|    | Gulf Exhibit Nine, Tabulation        | 11 |  |
| 21 |                                      |    |  |
| 22 |                                      |    |  |
| 23 |                                      |    |  |
| 24 |                                      |    |  |
| 25 |                                      |    |  |

| 1  | 3   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  | MR. STOGNER: Call next Case   |  |
| 4  | 8467, which is the application of Gulf Oil Corporation for                    |  |
| 5  | downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico.                                 |  |
|    | At this time we'll call for ap-   |  |
| 6  | pearances.  |  |
| 7  | MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner   |  |
| 8  | please, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing                   |  |
| 9  | on behalf of the applicant and I have one witness.                            |  |
| 10 | MR. STOGNER: Will the witness   |  |
| 11 | please stand and raised your right hand?                                      |  |
| 12 |   |  |
| 13 | (Witness sworn.)  |  |
| 14 |   |  |
| 15 | LES MUNSON,   |  |
| 16 | being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his                       |  |
|    | oath, testified as follows, to-wit:   |  |
| 17 | DIDECE EVANTABLEON  |  |
| 18 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KELLAHIN:   |  |
| 19 |   |  |
| 20 | Q Mr. Munson, for the record would you please state your name and occupation? |  |
| 21 | A I'm Les Munson and I'm a petroleum engin-                                   |  |
| 22 | eer with Gulf Oil Corporation, Midland Division Office.                       |  |
| 23 | Q Mr. Munson, have you previously testified                                   |  |
| 24 | as an engineer before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Divi-                   |  |
| 25 | sion?   |  |
|    | ·   |  |

It is my understanding that Jerry Sexton

Α

had -- had a problem with the gas production from the Jalmat zone.

\_

Some of the early forms sent in on that well had estimated that it would be a gas producer with 100,000, or greater, GOR.

After the well was completed that was found not to be the case and the well was -- the Jalmat -- Jalmat portion of this well was subsequently put in the oil -- carried in the oil proration schedule with a GOR much less than 100,000.

Q Okay. When's the last contact you had with Mr. Sexton concerning his concerns about this application?

A Oh, the exact -- I may have the exact date here. It was last week sometime.

Q Were you able, in your conversations with Mr. Sexton last week, were you able to resolve his concern about this application?

A Yes, brought him up to date on some of the facts, especially involving the GOR and the fact that the Jalmat zone was making oil, and I don't know whether he was aware of that or not, but he -- I did ask him at that time if in light of these new facts would he have any objection to us cancelling the hearing and he said, no, he wouldn't have any problem with it but he did suggest that we perform a packer leakage test to confirm the two zones were not in communication.

| 1  |                    | 6   |
|----|--------------------|---|
| 2  | Q                  | And is Gulf willing to conduct that test? |
| 3  | A                  | Yes, we are.                              |
|    | Q                  | Let me direct your attention, Mr. Munson, |
| 4  | to the next exhibi | t, which is a plat, and have you identify |
| 5  | that for us.       |   |
| 6  | A                  | Okay. This Exhibit Number Two is an ac-   |
| 7  | reage plat. It's   | the one sent in by our area outlining the |
| 8  | acreage to be dedi | cated as a proration unit for this well.  |
| 9  | Q                  | The well is a Jalmat oil well and a Lan-  |
| 10 | glie Mattix oil we | ll to which 40 acres has been dedicated?  |
| 11 | A                  | That's correct.                           |
| 12 | Q                  | All right, sir, would you now turn to     |
|    | what we've marked  | as Exhibit Number Three and identify it?  |
| 13 | <b>A</b>           | Exhibit Number Three is well, it's ac-    |
| 14 | tually two plats.  |   |
| 15 |                    | The top plat shows Jalmat and Langlie     |
| 16 | Mattix oil wells   | that are offsetting the Gulf CD Woolworth |
| 17 | Lease.             |   |
| 18 |                    | In the center of this plat you see cir-   |
| 19 | cled in red the CD | Woolworth No. 7 Well, which is the sub-   |
| 20 | ject of this appli | cation.                                   |
|    |                    | The second plat shows essentially the     |
| 21 | same information   | but for the Jalmat gas wells in this in   |
| 22 | this immediate vic | inity.                                    |
| 23 |                    | The purpose of the plat is to show offset |
| 24 | ownership.         |   |
| 25 | Q                  | All right, let's go now to Exhibit Number |

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Four and have you identify that for us.

Exhibit Four is a depiction of present and proposed wellbores.

On the left you see the condition -- the present condition of the wellbore, the Jalmat perfs, Langlie Mattix perfs, and between the two a packer, also tailpipe below that packer running to a point of approximately mid-perfs of the Langlie Mattix zone. If this application is approved the packer will be removed and two installed to a depth shown in the righthand wellbore.

0 Is the proposed downhole commingling one that complies with te Division rules with regards to downhole commingling?

Α I believe so. I have not found any conflict, as yet.

Let's turn to Exhibit Number Five, Mr. Munson, and have you give us some of the historical background about this well.

Α All right. Exhibit Five is a summary of the history of this well to date.

In August -- September '84 the well drilled to total depth 3750 feet; casing was set, surface casing was set at 450, cement circulated to surface. A 7-inch production string was set at 3737, cement was again circulated to surface.

September 22, 1984, excuse me, I believe that's August 22, 1984, the Langlie Mattix zone was

forated and the interval is shown there, and completed with acidizing -- with acid and then fraced.

And September of 1984 electric service was installed and the Langlie Mattix was equipped to pump.

In October -- on October 3rd, 1984, the Jalmat was perforated in the zones shown here. That zone, those zones were acidized and then fractured. The Jalmat was then equipped to pump October 10th, 1984.

Q Are you currently artificially lifting both of those zones?

A Yes, we are.

Q Would you tell Mr. Stogner what the current production rates are from each of the zones?

A The current production rates, a test taken within the last thirty days, and which we'll present on our Exhibit Number Seven, shows the Jalmat producing three barrels -- excuse me -- three barrels of oil and 108 mcf gas per day, 14 barrels of water.

The Langlie Mattix zone is producing six barrels of oil, 15 mcf gas per day, and 18 barrels of water per day.

Q All right, sir, if you'll turn to Exhibit Number Six and describe the information contained on that exhibit.

A Exhibit Number Six is a response to each of the parts of Rule 303 C (2), which in the New Mexico Rules are the requirements for downhole commingle applica-

2 | tion.

3 hhor

these points.

The operator is listed as Gulf Oil Corporation with the correct address.

I'll just go quickly through each of

The lease, well location, is the CD Wool-worth No. 7, Unit J, located 1980 feet from south and east lines, Section 30, Township 24 South, 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

The plat showing the dedicated acreage and offset ownership have already been introduced as Exhibits Number Two and Three.

Exhibit Number Seven, which you should have, is copies of Form C-116, which is a current within 30 days well test of each of these zones.

Part E, historical information, predicts the Jalmat gas is expected to decline at a rate of 20 percent per year and oil will decline at a rate of 20 percent per year after an IP in the Jalmat of 3 barrels of oil per day.

The Langlie Mattix gas is expected to decline 15 percent per year and the oil production from that well at 23 percent per year.

Part E indicates bottom hole pressures for each zone. I direct your attention to Exhibit Eight, which goes along with this part. This is field data. Exhibit Eight if field data for each of the zones that was

gathered by wireline survey.

It indicates that the Jalmat bottom hole pressure is 3224 -- at 3224 feet is 202 psi, and that the Langlie Mattix bottom hole pressure corrected to the Jalmat depth is 242 psi.

Q You have a corrected bottom hole pressure information to show the pressure differential of about 40 pounds?

A That's correct.

Q In your opinion is that a minimal pressure differential so that there will not be a crossflow of products from one pool to the other?

A Yes, especially in view that both zones will be artificially lifted.

Q All right, sir, let's turn to the second page of that exhibit.

Are the fluid characterisics of the Jal-mat and Langlie Mattix compatible?

A Yes. The Jalmat and Langlie Mattix are currently commingled on the surface by Order PC-683, dated 12th and '84, and to date there's been no evidence of fluid incompatibility or increased scaling tendencies from the commingling of those fluids.

Q Do you have a proposed method of allocation of production between the two pools?

A Yes. We're proposing to -- to allocate the production based on initial production from the zones

| 1  | 11  |
|----|---|
| 2  | and that percentage is split up as indicated on Part I of                                     |
| 3  | this Exhibit Six.   |
|    | I believe that's based on the C-104 pro-  |
| 4  | duction data.   |
| 5  | Q In your opinion is that an appropriate  |
| 6  | allocation between these  |
| 7  | A I believe so.   |
| 8  | Q zones? All right.   |
| 9  | Is the ownership with regards to each of  |
| 10 | those zones in the proration unit common?   |
| 11 | A It is.  |
| 12 | Q And is it economic for Gulf to continue   |
| 13 | to produce the two zones as a dual completion?  |
|    | A As a dual completion each of the zones  |
| 14 | will become economic rather quickly and allowing the com-                                     |
| 15 | mingling of these zones downhole will enable us to produce                                    |
| 16 | the wellbore through a longer for a longer period of time                                     |
| 17 | than would have otherwise have been possible as a dual com-                                   |
| 18 | pletion.  |
| 19 | Q Would you identify for us Exhibit Number  |
| 20 | Nine?   |
| 21 | A Exhibit Number Nine is a tabulation indi-   |
| 22 | cating that the value of the commingled fluids will not be                                    |
| 23 | reduced by this action. This information was presented at                                     |
| 24 | the time the surface commingling permit was applied for and it is it is up to date, even now. |
|    |   |
| 25 | Q Will approval of this application allow   |

| 1  | 12   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | Gulf to continue to produce this well and recover oil re-    |  |  |
| 3  | serves that would not otherwise be recovered?                |  |  |
|    | A It will.   |  |  |
| 4  | Q And will the downhole commingling impede                   |  |  |
| 5  | the possibility of using this wellbore for a waterflood at   |  |  |
| 6  | some time in the future?                                     |  |  |
| 7  | A No; shouldn't be any problem with water-                   |  |  |
| 8  | flooding in the future.                                      |  |  |
| 9  | Q Were Exhibits One through Nine prepared                    |  |  |
| 10 | by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?     |  |  |
| 11 | A They were.   |  |  |
| 12 | MR. KELLAHIN: We move the in-                                |  |  |
| 13 | troduction of Gulf Exhibits One through Nine.                |  |  |
| 14 | MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One                                    |  |  |
|    | through Nine will be admitted into evidence.                 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 | CROSS EXAMINATION  |  |  |
| 17 | BY MR. STOGNER:  Q Mr. Munson, is there currently waterflood |  |  |
| 18 | production waterflood operations in either one of the        |  |  |
| 19 | zones here?  |  |  |
| 20 | A Not that I'm aware of. There's none                        |  |  |
| 21 | there's none indicated in the statistical data or proration  |  |  |
| 22 | schedule.  |  |  |
| 23 | Q But I mean in either one of the zones.                     |  |  |
| 24 | A Anywhere in the pool?                                      |  |  |

No, either the Langlie Mattix or the Jal-

Ç,

```
1
                                                       13
           Certainly you would be familiar if there was any
2
    waterflooding --
3
             Α
                         Well, I know there had been but not
4
    this particular area.
5
             Q
                       Okay.
6
                       Close. I know we've got one, in fact, we
7
    applied for recently.
8
             Q
                        Okay.
9
                                  MR. STOGNER: I have no further
    questions of Mr. Munson.
10
                                  Are there any further questions
11
    of this witness?
12
                                  MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
13
                                  MR. STOGNER: If not, he may be
14
    excused.
15
                                  Anything further in Case 8467?
16
                                  If not, this case will be taken
17
    under advisement.
18
                         (Hearing concluded.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```