STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION State Land Office Building Santa Pe, New Mexico

2 July 1985

EXAMINER HEARING

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer CASE Drilling Corp. for compulsory pooling 8635 and an unorthodox well location, Rio

Arriba County, New Mexico.

9

10

11

12

BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner

13

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

14

APPEARANCES

16

15

17

18

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Jeff Taylor

Legal Counsel to the Division Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

20

19

For BMG Drilling:

William F. Carr Attorney at Law CAMPBELL & BLACK P. A.

P. O. Box 2208

Santa Pe, New Mexico 87501

22

-

24

25

		2	
1		2	
2	INDEX		
3			
4	ALBERT R. GREER		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Quintana	15	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13 14			
15	Greer Exhibit One, Booklet	5	
16	Greer Exhibit Two, Letter Greer Exhibit Three, Plat	10	
17	oreat exhibit lifter, rist	14	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case 8636.

be sworn.

be sworn in at this time?

MR. QUINTANA: We'll call next

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corp. for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm Campbell and Black, P. A., of Santa Pe, appearing on behalf of Benson-Montin-Greer Corporation.

I have one witness who needs to

MR. QUINTANA: Are there any other appearances in the case?

If not, sir, will you stand and

(Witness sworn.)

ALBERT R. GREER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. CARR: 3 Will you state your full name and place Q 4 of residence? 5 A Albert R. Greer, Parmington, New Mexico. 6 0 Mr. Greer, by whom are you employed and 7 in what capacity? 8 Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corp. A I'm 9 the engineer and also President of the corporation. 10 Q Have you previously testified before this 11 Division and had your credentials accepted and made a matter 12 of record? 13 A Yes, sir. 14 0 Were you qualified at that time as a pet-15 roleum engineer? 16 Yes, sir. A 17 0 Are you familiar with the application 18 filed in this case on behalf of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling 19 Corporation? 20 Yes, sir. A 21 And are you familiar with the subject 0 22 area? 23 Yes, sir. A 24 MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Greer 25 as an expert witness in petroleum engineering.

an expert witness.

MR. QUINTANA: He's considered

You may proceed.

5

3

Mr. Creer, will you briefly state what is Q

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

being sought in this case?

Yes, sir. We desire to drill a well on a standard proration unit in the West Puerto Chiquito Mancos of which the working interests are owned by parties who have committed their interest to the Canada Ojitos Unit Agreements, with the exception of one party, Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation, who we have been unable to successfully communicate, and accordingly we need the forced pooling order in order to proceed with drilling of the well.

Are you also seeking approval of an unor-0 thodox location for the well on the spacing unit?

> Yes, sir. A

Would you please refer to what has been as Benson-Montin-Greer Exhibit Number One and refer to the first map following Tab A in that exhibit, identify this, and review it for Mr. Quintana?

Α This plat is an orientation plat. It shows both the East and West Puerto Chiquito Pools and outline of the Canada Ojitos Unit within the West Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool.

West Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool

outlined in yellow.

The Canada Ojitos Unit is outlined in red.

A north/south green line on the west side of the unit divides the Canada Ojitos Unit between the participating area on the east and the Third Expansion Area which has not yet been brought into participation on the west, and in the west area, which is the Third Expansion Area, is located the proration unit on which we would like to drill a well in Section 29, Township 25 North, Range 1 West. That section is colored in red on this plat.

Q Is the proposed well also indicated on this plat?

A Yes, sir. Shown on Section 29 is the location of the proposed well.

Q And what is the spacing for the Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool?

A 640 acres.

Q Would you now go to the next exhibit in the -- next page in Exhibit One and identify that and review that, please?

A The second plat on -- under Section A is a type log which identifies the -- or on which is identified the vertical limits of the West Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool.

Namely, it's the Niobrara member of the Mancos formation.

that the zones which are unitized within the West Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool in the Canada Ojitos Unit, includes at a minimum the Niobrara member, the Carlile member, and the Greenhorn member of the Mancos formation, so the unitized portion as to the working interest, includes more than the vertical limits of the pool.

Also we show here, or can be seen here,

Q And would you tell the Examiner exactly the interval which you are seeking be force pooled in this case?

A Yes, sir, it's the Niobrara member of the Mancos, which is -- comprises the vertical limits of the West Puerto Chiquito Mancos Pool.

Q And it's depicted on this cross section.

A And it's shown on that cross section.

MR. CARR: Mr. Quintana, when the application was filed, it was filed seeking an order pooling all interests from the surface to the base of the Mancos.

We would like to amend that application to include only the Niobrara member at this time, as shown on this page of Exhibit Number One.

A As shown on this cross section for the log on the lefthand side, identified as Bolack-Greer No. 1 Bolack --

MR. QUINTANA: Prom the depth

of 6968 to the depth of 7865?

A That is correct. Now these vertical limits, of course, are set out in other orders of the Commission in years past. We include them here, of course, just for completeness of the record.

Q And this is the proposed objective in the well which you plan to drill.

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you now go to the first plat after Tab B in Exhibit Number One, identify that, and review it, please?

This plat is a plat of Exhibit A of the Third Expansion Area of the Canada Ojitos Unit. It's a land plat which shows the tract numbers and the lease numbers of the leases under the proposed unit.

The proposed spacing unit, Section 29 in 25 North, I West, is outlined by a red dashed line and colored on that is the one tract which we have been unable to obtain commitment to drilling the well. That's the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 29. That's a Federal lease, NM 03747, shown on the records to be owned by Mountain States Natural Gas Company.

All of the rest of the leases, the numbers of the other leases within the unit, have committed

to

1 2

2

drill a well.

Q

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 A This shows the ownership, working inter-

Mr. Greer, would you now go to the first

est ownership of the well which would be to the Canada Ojitos Unit Committed Working Interests, 96.875 percent, and

page following Tab C in Exhibit One and review the figures

their interest to the unit agreement and have agreed

Mountain States Natural Gas, 3.125 percent.

set forth on that portion of the exhibit?

Q And so the 96.875 percent is voluntarily committed to the proposed well.

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you go to the next page, please?

A The next two pages are a memo from Schutz Abstract Company, which we requested to determine what the Pederal records showed ownership to be of some of the tracts.

This particular tract is shown on the second sheet. It's identified as Page 5 of the Schutz Abstract Company note of March 6. 1984, in which for Lease NM 03747, ownership is shown to be Mountain States Natural Gas Corp., one-half, and other parties, the remainder of the other parties have joined their interest to the unit.

Q Will you go to the next page?

A The next page, or next three pages, four

5

7

8

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 pages, colored in yellow, is a copy of the letter dated May 14th, 1985, which was sent to Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation inviting them for about the tenth time to join the Canada Ojitos Unit, or in this instance in the alternative to join in drilling of the well in Section 29.

Following that you have a copy of the receipt showing the letter was in fact received by Mountain States?

> Yes. sir. A

0 And then the next page, the green page?

A The green page is Mountain States' rewhich, incidentally, this is the only response we have received from Mountain States Natural Gas Corp. in the last four or five years to any of our correspondence, this is a wire which says simply, "Mountain States Natural Gas Corp. accepts your proposal in principal. Signed agreements will follow."

Now this we received a little over month ago and since that time we have received no agreements. We've been unable to contact them by telephone.

Mr. Greer, I'd like to go out of order for a moment and ask you to just identify what has been marked as Benson-Montin-Greer Exhibit Number Two.

A This is a letter from our attorney Mountain States Natural Gas Corp. advising them of the ap-

plication for snorthedox well location and for compulsory pooling, which, of course, is the subject of this hearing today, and they were sent a copy of the docket, advised that the hearing was set for today, Tuesday, July 2nd.

Q Does this also show the return receipt indicating it was received by Mountain States?

A Yes, sir.

Mr. Greer, in your opinion has Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation made a good faith effort to locate and obtain the voluntary joinder in this well of Mountain States Oil and Gas -- of Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation?

 λ Yes, sir, we have.

Q Rould you now go to the first page after Tab D in Exhibit One and review this for Mr. Ouintana?

A This is our Authority for Expanditure which we sent to all the parties of interest under the proposed well.

We sent one copy of this elong with our letter of -- back in May to Mountain States Natural Gas Corp.

It shows estimated costs of about \$553,500.

Q Is it possible that there would be addirional costs over and above this if, in fact. you make a welle

A Yes. sir. If it makes a well, there probably will be -- we'll probably connect it to our gas gathering and gas lift system, our oil gathering system, and it probably would be required to pay its share of road maintenance and improvements, which the Porest Service in which this land is located has -- has requested of us. So there could be some additional charges, but it will be less than \$100,000.

Q Are the costs as depicted on this AFE in line with what's charged for other wells in the area?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you have drilled other Mancos wells in this area?

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you now refer to the next page. which looks like a portion of an operating agreement.

A Yes, sir, this is a part of the operating agreement for the Canada Ojitos Unit, which is dated March 1, 1981, and it sets out the overhead charges as of that date for both drilling and producing wells overhead.

Q And is this the operating agreement between Beason-Montin-Greer and the other interest owners in this well?

A Yes, sir, uh-huh.

Q And what are the figures as set out for
overhead and administrative costs for a drilling well and
also e producing well if, in fact, this is a producer?
A The current rates are shown on the next
page, which are the rates shown on the operating agreement
adjusted for the annual inflation or deflation factors.
Currently for a drilling well are charge
is \$2200 a month; producing well, \$395.90.
Q And are these figures in line with other
wells, charges for other wells in the area?
A Yes, sir.
Q And do you recommend that these figures
be incorporated into any order which results from today's
hearing?
A Yes, sir, I would.
Q Are you prepared to make a recommendation
to the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be asses-
sed against Mountain States if they do not participate?
A Well, our operating agreement calls for a
300 percent nonconsent provision, which I think equates to
ada a aban bira dan manaidan a 200 arangsa arangsa a 200
what the Division considers a 200 percent penalty, and I
would recommend that.
would recommend that.

ILLEGIBLE

1 is a fractured formation. The well productivities Vary drastically and erratically from point to point. It's im-3 possible to predict ahead of time the kind of a well will result. 5 0 In your opinion is it possible that the 6 proposed well could turn out not to be a commercial success? 7 P. Yes, sir. 8 Does Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corpor-9 ation seek to be designated operator of the proposed well? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Would you please refer to what has been 12 marked as Benson-Montin-Greer Exhibit Number Three and iden-13 tify that, please, for Mr. Quintana? 14 This is a survey plat showing the 15 tion of the -- the proposed location of the well, setting 16 out the footages, 393 feet from the north line and 2367 from 17 the east line, which makes it an unorthodox location. 18 \mathbf{O} And who controls the offsetting acreage? 19 A The offsetting acreage, the working in-20 terest ownership is owned all by the Canada Ojitos Unit own-21 ers and Mr. Blair -- Natural -- Mountain States Natural Gas 22 Corp., let me correct that. 23 Mr. Blair, I believe, is the president. 24 0 Mr. Greer, do you have anything further 25 to add to your testimony?

		1.7			
1	Α	No, sir.			
2	Q	In your opinion will granting this appli-			
3	cation be in the b	est interest of conservation, the preven-			
4	tion of waste, and	the protection of correlative rights?			
5	Α	Yes, sir.			
6	Q	Were Exhibits One through Three prepared			
7	by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?				
8	A	Yes.			
9		MR. CARR: At this time, Mr.			
10	Quintana, we off	er into evidence Benson-Montin-Greer Dril-			
11	ling Corporation Exhibits One through Three.				
12		MR. QUINTANA: Exhibits One			
13	through three will be accepted as evidence.				
14		MR. CARR: That concludes my			
15	direct examination of Mr. Greer.				
16					
17		CROSS EXAMINATION			
18	BY MR. QUINTANA:				
19	Q	Mr. Greer, this proposed well you're			
20	going to drill, a	re there other wells in this vicinity that			
21	are completed in the	he same proposed interval?			
22	λ	Yes, sir.			
23	Q	And if there are, how close are they and			
24	were they a commercial success or not?				
25	A	The mist under Publish & that we ware			

looking at, shows a well to the east about a mile and a half, identified as the C-34.

That well had initial productivity of approximately 400 barrels a day actual deliverability, or actual produced in the line.

Just south of that the L-3, which is an offset location, had a productivity about 40 barrels a day.

Turning to the southwest of that location, the K-8 Well in Section 8, 24 North, 1 West, is a well just recently brought on production this spring. It has a productivity of about 15 to 20 barrels per day.

Going back to the northwest in Section 31, the K-31 in Section 31 of 25 North, I West, is just recently being completed and appears to have a productivity of about 10 barrels a day.

The B-32 in Section 32, 25 North, 1 West, direct south offset to this location, has a high capa-city recovery, producing about 500 barrels per day.

I might say that the fact that the offset location has a high capacity does not necessarily mean that this well on Section 29 would have a high capacity, and to -- to support that, I would point out in Section 11 of 25, 11, the P-11 Well had initial productivity of about 500 barrels a day, whereas the 40-acre offset to the south, the A-14, had initial productivity of about 60 barrels a day.

The A-14 had junk in the hole. It was sidetracked about 100 feet with another -- with a sidetrack hole, and that hole showed nothing natural. After fractreatment it came back to about 50 or 60 barrels a day.

It's a very erratic formation. There's just no way to forecast ahead of time whether you'll have a really high capacity well or an extremely small one.

Q What information did you use to pick that location?

A Oh, the -- unfortunately I didn't bring any geologic maps. The -- this particular unit produces from the fractured Nibrara formation, the middle of the Mancos formation. It dips from the east side of the unit to the west side. We have found, particularly from interference tests run in 1965, that a good part of the reservoir has high capacity and -- and it has a high enough capacity that the reservoir can be produced under gravity drainage with commercial rates of production.

That being the case, and the fact that the other method of production to which we would be reduced if we did not take advantage of gravity drainage, would be solution gas drive.

Under solution gas drive the recovery is approximately five to six percent of the oil in place.

Under gravity drainage we're anticipating

 fifty to sixty percent; some ten times as much oil in place, oil that can be recovered if we can take advantage of gravity drainage.

In order to take advantage of gravity drainage, we felt like it was necessary to keep as much of the gas in solution as possible. One way to do that is maintain pressure and so to do that, we instituted a pressure maintenance project in 1963, about 17 years ago.

So from that time until now, and we still are conducting that pressure maintenance project, we gather all the gas from the produced wells; we buy make-up gas; and we keep the pressure very near the bubble point of the oil, and we found that intermediate wells between the gas injection wells up dip and the producing wells down dip really just are kind of unnecessary wells. About all we'd use them for are observation wells.

which is on the down dip side of the unit, we are continuing the development by drilling only these down dip wells, and so our plan when the third expansion area was -- was brought into being a few years ago, was to drill initially four or five wells and then depending on the outcome of those wells to determine the future locations then of the wells that are required and still have commercial production rates, and so we're just kind of groping along on the down dip side trying

5

to -- to locate the best place for the ultimate recovery wells.

Q So from your exploration, I think, and what I got out of your explanation is that you picked up wellsites, you're willing to spend half a million dollars on that well, picked it up, offset production and the fact that you're trying to find exactly where the best position is off that production --

A The best place down dip, and in seeking that, you see, that simultaneously we have drilled, practically simultaneously, the well in Section 8, two miles north of this proposed location. It's currently being completed.

The other three wells in Sections 31, 32, and Section 8, just south of this proposed location, we have decided that the well in Section 31 is too far to the west; the one in Section 8 is too far to the south; the well in Section 8 of 25, 1 West, is still indeterminate, but the logical thing we think to do is now to move north from the B-32 Well towards the J-8 and probably on farther north, and that will be our general plan of development.

It makes sense to go from the B-32 to Section 29, our pipeline, our main pipeline gathering system goes right by that well and the main roadwork which the Forest Service has required is through a north/south canyon which ends close to the B-32, then moves north, and so just

all general, practical things, it's a good way to move.

Yes. sir.

Mr. Greer, is it Mr. Greer?

Α

,

Q Was that as a hearing examiner, you know,

Vis-a-vis, what I was trying to get

it's -- 200 percent, we can consider 200 percent as a maximum risk penalty. That's maximum.

A Uh-huh.

Q Maximum risk and maximum penalty. It seems that you did not bring any type of geological reports that would show how you -- why you positioned that there to explain why that would be maximum risk.

I was trying to get something out of you that would explain, give me a reason why I should assign it maximum risk.

I understand that -- that people, you know, that Mountain States has not answered your calls and they have not returned your letters, and returned that one thing, but it is my duty to assign a risk penalty based on what the actual risk is and not because they haven't cooperated, you know. They may be -- they may be thinking, we'll let them compulsory pool us, but still it's not my duty to give maximum penalty if it's not legitimate.

So that's the reason I was asking that.

A If I might, if I might point out, there

1 have been one, two, three, four, five, there have been six 2 wells drilled in this third expansion area so far. 3 Two of those were under forced pooling orders and in both instances the 200 percent penalty was --5 was ordered. 6 0 Were they commercial successes? 7 One of them still has not been completed. 8 The other is marginal. It's about a 15-barrel a day well. 9 Is that considered as commercial? 10 Well, it's very marginal. It's commer-11 cial from the standpoint that the -- the Bureau of Land 12 Management will allow it to be brought into a participating 13 area. 14 It's not a very encouraging kind of -- of 15 a well to want to drill additional wells nearby. 16 In your professional opinion do you anti-Q 17 cipate making a commercial well with the B-29? 18 Well. I'd have to anticipate that or not A 19 recommend it for anyone to drill it. 20 0 Thank you. 21 MR. OUINTANA: I have no fur-22 ther questions of the witness. 23 MR. CARR: But, Mr. Greer, is 24 there a real chance that that well might not be commercial? 25 λ Yes, sir, there's a real chance.

		22
1		MR. QUINTANA: Any further
2	questions of the witness?	
3		Have we entered the exhibits?
4		MR. CARR: Yes, they've been
5	introduced.	
6		MR. QUINTANA: If not, he may
7	be excused.	
8		Case 8606 will be taken under
9	advisement.	
10		Oh, excuse me, 8636, I'm sorry.
11		
12	(Hearing	concluded.)
14		
15		
16		
17		. v
18		re to Su
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I. SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

May with Core

I do hereo, committee the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8636 heard by me on July 2 1985.

Oil Conservation Division