STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 1 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 2 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 19 November 1986 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Cases called on the docket for 19 CASE 8 November 1986 for which no testimony 2031 9032 was presented. 9 10 11 12 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner 13 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEAFING 16 17 APPEARANCES 18 19 For the Division: Jeff Taylor Legal Counsel for the Division 20 Oil Conservation Divisio. State Land Office Bldg. 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 22 For the Applicant: 23 24 25

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

Number 9031.

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case

MR. TAYLOR: Application of

Yates Petroleum Corporation for a Hardship Gas Well

Classification, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The applicant has requested

8 that this case be continued.

MR. CATANACH: Case 9031 will

be continued to the December 3rd hearing examiner docket.

11

12

* * *

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case

Number 9032.

MR. TAYLOR: Application of

John L. Cox for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County,

New Mexico.

The applicant has requested

that this case be dismissed.

MR. CATANACH: Case 9032 is

hereby dismissed.

23

22

(Hearings concluded.)

25

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of this portion of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Snely W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete report of the process lines in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 903/9032 heard by me on Nov 19 1966.

David R. Catanas, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION			
2	STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO			
3	17 December 1986			
4	EXAMINER HEARING			
5 6				
7	IN THE MATTER OF:			
8	Application of Estoril Producing Cor- CASE poration for compulsory pooling, non- 9052			
9	standard oil proration unit, and an unorthodox oil well location, Lea			
10	County, New Mexico.			
11				
12	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner			
13	before: David R. Catallacii, Examinei			
14				
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
16 17				
18	APPEARANCES			
19	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law			
20	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.			
21	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			
22	For the Applicants: George H. Hunker, Jr.			
23	Attorney at Law HUNKER, FEDRIC P.A.			
24	P. O. Box 1837 Roswell, New Mexico 88201			
25				

			_
		2	
1			
2	I N D E X		
3			
4	STATEMENT BY MR. HUNKER	3	
5			
6	JOSEPH WILLIAM FORAN		
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Hunker	5	
8			
9	CLIFF DRESCHER		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Hunker	18	
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	23	
12			
13	STEPHEN L. BLAYLOCK		
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Hunker	25	
15			
16			
17	ЕХНІВІТЅ		
18			
19	Estoril Exhibit One, Plat	7	
20	Estoril Exhibit Two, C-102	9	
21	Estoril Exhibit Three, Unleased Parties	12	
22	Estoril Exhibit Four, AFE	20	
23	Estoril Exhibit Five, Seismic Map	27	
24			
25			

2 MR. CATANACH: Call next Case

3 9052.

MR. TAYLOR: The application of 5 Estoril Producing Corporation for compulsory pooling, non-6 standard oil proration unit, and an unorthodox oil well lo-7 cation, Lea County, New Mexico.

8 CATANACH: Are there ap-MR. 9 pearances in this case?

10 MR. **HUNKER:** There are, Mr. 11 I'm George Hunker, Hunker, Fedric, P. A., Ros-Examiner. 12 well, New Mexico.

13 have three witnesses, five Ι 14 exhibits. I'd like to have all three witnesses sworn 15 this time.

MR. CATANACH: Are there any other apperances in this case?

18

16

17

(Witnesses sworn.)

20

19

21 MR. HUNKER: If the Examiner 22 please, I would like to make a very short opening statement. 23 Commission Orders R-3816 and R-24 3816-A, dated September 1st, 1969, and August 21st, 1970, 25

respectfully, created the Northeast Lovington Pennsylvanian

Pool.

The pool includes acreage in
three townships, including portions of Township 16 South,
Range 37 East.

Our client, Estoril Producing Corporation of Midland, Texas, has obtained a farmout and the right to drill on a 102.82-acre tract described as Lots 1 and 2, Section 7, Township 16 South, Range 37 East.

The pool rules of the Lovington Pennsylvanian Pool prescribe 80-acre standard units for oil production with the well to be located on the north half, the south half, the east half, or the west half of the governmental quarter section.

Lots 1 and 2 of Section 7 are equivalent of the west half of the northwest of Section 7 but due to the excess acreage are described as lots in the governmental survey.

The rule also prescribes that each well shall be drilled at a location within 150 feet of the center of a governmental quarter quarter section or lot.

tion is 1200 feet from the north line and 600 feet from the west line of Section 7. Our testimony will show that this location is unorthodox in that it is not within 150 feet of the center of a lot, but that such location is appropriate

1 geologically in that it is situated on a seismic shotpoint, 2 which indicates maximum porosity will be obtained at 3 location. In addition to its request 5 approval of an unorthodox location on a nonstandard unit, 6 Estoril seeks the compulsory pooling against certain inter-7 est owners whose interests are not committed to the drilling 8 of the test well. 9 Estoril will seek an order fix-10 ing the reasonable charge for administrative overhead during 11 drilling and during production. 12 As to those persons who 13 not joined in the drilling of the well Estoril will ask that 14 a risk factor be specified to compensate operator for 15 factor. 16 first witness is Mr. Joe 17 Foran. 18 19 JOSEPH WILLIAM FORAN, 20 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon 21 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. HUNKER:

25

Q And at this time I would ask you, Mr. Foran, to state your name for the record, along with your address and your occupation.

A My name is Joseph William Foran. I'm an independent operator and producer out of Dallas, Texas. I currently serve Foran Oil Company as its president and owner.

Q How long have you been in the oil business, Mr. Foran?

A I grew up in Amarilla, Texas, where my father's in the pipeline construction business and I spent my summers working there.

After school I worked as an attorney for a judge in Austin.

Afterwards I worked for -- as a lawyer, private practice, in Houston with Vincent & Elkins, doing primarily oil and gas litigation.

Subsequent to that time I was Vice President and General Counsel of J. Cleo Thompson in Dallas, Texas, for three and a half years, and for the past four and a half years I've been in business for myself under Foran Oil Company.

Q Have you been doing land work during that four and a half year period?

A Yes, sir, I've really been doing land work since 1980.

1 What is your educational background? Q 2 I have an undergraduate degree with high-Α 3 est honors in accounting from the University of Kentucky. Then I have a Juris Doctorate degree from 5 SMU School of Law. 6 I've attended numerous seminars And 7 continuing education programs. 8 0 you regard yourself as a petroleum Do 9 landman? 10 Yes, sir, I do. Α 11 MR. HUNKER: We tender the wit-12 ness as an expert, Mr. Examiner. 13 MR. CATANACH: The witness is 14 considered qualified. I have one question at this time. 15 Mr. Foran, what is your asso-16 ciation with Estoril Producing Corporation? 17 MR. HUNKER: That was my next 18 question. 19 What is your position regarding this par-20 ticular matter? 21 Α If you will refer to Exhibit Number One, 22 Mr. Hearing Examiner, you'll see the Anderson No. 1-6 shown. 23 If you remember, in a previous hearing here Estoril and I 24 were the applicants to force pool Texaco, and at that time I 25 testified then; the situation still applies, that I secured the farmouts in Section 6 and in Section 7, which I subsequently offered to Estoril and Estoril accepted. Estoril is the operator but I'm continuing to do the land work associated with both prospects.

Q How is this particular prospect going to be handled from an investment standpoint by Foran and Estoril?

A The portion of the farmouts that we have secured from Amerada and Mobil are the leases that we've taken.

Estoril will own -- Estoril or Estoril Group will own 25 percent, Sun will own 50 percent, and I will own 25 percent, subject to certain area of mutual interest agreements with Mesa and Sequoia.

Q Are you familiar with the application that Estoril Producing Corporation has filed in this matter?

A Yes, sir, I am.

Q Have you done most of the land work that -- or practically all of the land work that's been done in connection with this project?

A Yes, sir, I have. The relationship between Estoril and myself is basically Estoril is the operator and tends to the operation matters and I've been doing the land work associated with each prospect.

Q Referring to Exhibit Number One, will you

1 state what that is and what it depicts? 2 Α Exhibit Number One is a plat showing the 3 offset operators to the Estoril No. 1 Christmas. Ιt prepared by Estoril as part of their application, but I can 5 from personal knowledge verify the accuracy of the facts de-6 picted in this exhibit. 7 0 Does that exhibit reflect the location of 8 the No. 1 Christmas? 9 Α Yes, sir, the location, proposed location

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, sir, the location, proposed location that we are seeking for the No. 1 Christmas is shown in a proration unit outlined in yellow and the exact location itself is marked with a circle with a red dot in the middle of it.

Q I'd like for you to refer to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two. Will you tell the Examiner what that exhibit shows?

A This is a New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Well Location and Acreage Dedication plat.

Q Was this filed with the application for permit to drill?

A Yes, sir, it's my understanding that it was and is.

Q And this an accurate plat of the location of the well itself?

A I did not prepare this. It was prepared

by Mr. Drescher, who will testify in a minute, but to the 2 extent of my knowledge of this, everything that I have any 3 knowledge, it is accurate and complete. Well, Mr. Drescher approved it, but it 5 looks like it was -- the surveying was done by the John West 6 firm, is that correct? 7 Α That's what this exhibit shows, yes, sir. 8 All right. What does it show with regard Q 9 to the dimensions of -- of Lots 1 and 2 in Section 7? 10 Α All of the western boundary of this town-11 ship have lots and in this instance in Section 7, in the 12 west half of Section 7, the lots are 1699.5 feet in an east-13 west direction. 14 Q What area is to be compulsorily pooled 15 under the application that has been filed by Estoril? 16 Α We were seeking to force pool Lots 1 and 17 2. Lots 1 and 2 have common ownership. 18 Do you desire to limit that -- that pool-19 ing to all formations completed for oil and spaced on an 80-20 acre spacing pattern? 21 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α 22 Q In connection with the application that 23 was filed in this -- in this case, it was shown at that time 24 that certain companies and individuals were offset opera-

25

tors.

I would also like to hand you a

Since that time we've

Referring to the application, where we

If the Commission

1

2

Z

3

4

5

6

time.

so desire.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

summarize it, if you wish.

that at this time all of these interests are now, as indicated here, intention to participate or have been leased or

All of -- this list was prepared at

other arrangements have been made, with four exceptions o

been able to reach agreement on most of these interests so

MR.

please, I've signed the application on behalf of my client,

Estoril, and I have served all of the offset operators by

certified mail, registered, return receipt requested, and a

copy of my certificaton as to service is handed you at this

certification with regard to the notice to unleased and un-

committed owners, and if you wish, I have copies of the re-

turn receipts that we can furnish to the Commission if they

list certain unleased, uncommitted interests, I'd like for

you to tell the examiner what has transpired since the fil-

ing of the application? What has been learned and what --

what have you done about these various interests?

time the application was submitted.

HUNKER:

this lease, and I can either go one by one or I can try to

Have you prepared an exhibit that re-

Have you prepared an exhibit that re

flects the names and addresses of the parties and their percentage interest who have -- who have not -- are not shown to have leased their interest at this time?

A Yes, sir, I've -- I've prepared an exhibit marked Number Three, which indicates the eight interests for which we've been unable to find a recorded lease.

In a couple of instances we have been advised by one or more of the working interest owners that they have taken a lease but as of Friday we did not see a lease of record.

Those are -- so we -- I prepared Exhbiit

Three for -- because of the confusion to whether a lease has been taken or not been taken and wanted to be sure to point this out.

I notice that the First National Bank of Lubbock, actin as Trustee for J. E. and Beulah Simmons, both deceased, have 6.25 percentage interest in the tract in question. What have been you been advised with respect to that interest?

The bank has advised us that they have granted a lease on this property to a company out of Amarilla called Orion Resources, and we then called Orion and Orion said that they had not received the lease yet, and we gave Orion notice through its -- one of its partners, Mr. Mike Bennett, that this hearing was taking place and to

1 please let us know if the lease does -- if they do secure a 2 lease whether they wish to participate, to farmout, or to be 3 force pooled. Mr. Bennett said he would pass on this 5 information to his partners and to his principal or to, you 6 know, that he would act upon it. We've not heard anything 7 yet and we still have not found a lease of record and that's 8 why we've included the bank's name and address upon this 9 list, Exhibit Three. 10 Mobil Oil Corporation was listed. What 11 is their position at this time? 12 Α Mobil has assigned us their leasehold in-13 terest. 14 Q The Harvey E. Yates Company, what about 15 that interest? 16 Α They have indicated their intention to 17 participate. 18 The Estate of Carolton J. Carmichael. 19 Ά He has indicated his intention to partici-20 pate. 21 Q Were you ever able to find Elizabeth 22 Guest, Adolph Schweizer, or Ann Fox? 23 No, sir, we have not. These people, the Α 24 last known address we have for them is forty years 25 They, apparently, were neighbors who bought a one mineral

acre from somebody than then move off, and we have -- we've searched abstracts and records under this section and other sections in an attempt to find some more recent address for them.

You have listed as being unleased at the present time, the Cobb and Jung interests. Have you been in touch with them to offer them a -- offer to buy a lease from them or obtain a farmout or --

A Yes, sir, we have.

Q -- make some other kind of deal?

A We've made both a verbal offer and a written offer to Mr. Coff and Mr. Jung.

We have been advised by HEYCO that they expect to take a lease from them but again I've included it on Exhibit Number Three because I've yet to see anything of record or in writing of what their intention is.

Q Does your Exhibit Three show what percentage of mineral interest is unleased at the present time according to the records in Lea County?

A Yes, sir. According to the records in Lea County there's currently 9.165 percent interest unleased. Two-thirds of that is related to the bank in Lubbock, who has advised us they've granted a lease, so I presume that that lease is in the mail somewhere and will soon be of record.

1 But both the bank and the company that 2 they have purportedly leased to have both actual knowledge 3 of this proceeding and are aware we're continuing to try to reach -- make some sort of arrangement with them. 5 Most of the leases in this partcular area 6 were acquired by Amerada Hess, is that correct? 7 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α 8 Q Have you looked at the Amerada Hess farm-9 out? 10 Yes, sir, I have, and that's one reason Α we're here today on the forced pooling and requesting relief 11 12 as soon as possible. 13 Our farmout with Amerada is due to expire 14 January 1, 1987, and we would like to try to spud the well 15 prior to that time. 16 0 Do you know what Amerada's reason was for 17 requiring you to spud the well so soon? 18 Yes, sir, these leases are starting to 19 expire. Some of their leases have already expired and more 20 are due to expire in the near future. 21 MR. HUNKER: At this time I'd 22 like to tender Exhibits One, Two, and Three, Mr. Examiner. 23 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits One, 24 Two, and Three will be admitted into evidence. 25 Α One other point I would like to make,

the listing that's attached to the application, we have two
names down Barton Brothers Land & Cattle Company and Norma

N. Barton. We have since learned that neither of them actually own interest in Lots 1 and 2.

We have also been advised that Roy G. Barton, Jr., who is listed on this, has indicated his intention to participate.

Three other interests shown on the application, Donald A. Brown, Lynn R. Brown, and Alice B. Brown Huntington, we have been advised by HEYCO that they have obtained leases from them.

Two of those names, we've included, you know, and where we have not seen a lease of record, in an abundance of caution we've included them on Exhibit Three even though HEYCO has advised us they've taken leases. We have no reason to believe that they haven't taken leases or they're about to get them, but we just have -- I've included them on Exhibit three for an abundance of caution.

The last name, two last names, on this list, on the application, Gwen G. Hall has leased her interest to Mr. John T. Echols and Echols has indicated his intention to participate or make other arrangements.

And then we have renewed the Mary Scott Gould Horne lease on behalf of Amerada.

And I think that accounts -- is an ex-

planation for each of the names shown on our application. 2 MR. I have no further HUNKER: 3 questions at this time. Do you have anything further to 5 add? 6 Α No, sir. Mr. Hearing Examiner, Your 7 Honor, I'd just like to make a last statement is that in the prior proceeding that I mentioned up there on the Anderson 1-6, the Commission was very responsive in ruling the relief 10 that they granted us, and we were able to get that well 11 drilled and it is now producing over 100 barrels a day 12 appears to be a good well. 13 We're very appreciative of that and 14 wanted to be sure to thank you again for your help and as-15 sistance. 16 MR. CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. 17 Brown. 18 I don't have any questions 19 this time. 20 MR. TAYLOR: We just thought of 21 a notice question here. 22 What notice did you give to 23 your offset operators and unorthodox oil well location? 24 that included in your other Is 25 notice?

```
1
                                               I gave them notice
                                 MR. HUNKER:
2
    by mailing them a copy of the application.
3
                                 MR.
                                      TAYLOR: Okay, so they got
    the same essential notice as everybody else?
5
                                 MR.
                                        HUNKER:
                                                   That's right,
6
    along with the letter inviting them to -- to either be here
7
    or --
8
                                 MR.
                                      TAYLOR:
                                               Are they included
9
    on one of these lists here?
10
                                 MR.
                                      HUNKER:
                                               It is included on
11
    that certification.
12
                                 MR. TAYLOR:
                                               Okay, thank you.
13
14
                       CLIFFORD C. DRESCHER,
15
    being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
16
    oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
17
18
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
    BY MR. HUNKER:
20
             0
                       Mr.
                            Drescher, will you state for the re-
21
    cord, your name, address, and occupation?
22
                       My name is Clifford C. Drescher. I live
             Α
23
    at 2412 Wildwood in Midland, Texas, and I'm Vice President
24
    of Engineering for Estoril Producing Corporation.
25
                       How long have you been employed as a pet-
```

roleum engineer for Estoril?

A For three and one-half years.

Q What is your educational background and work experience, Mr. Drescher?

A I graduated in December, 1974, from the University of Illinois with a Bachelor of Science degree in engineering and a minor in geology.

I went to work in January of '75 for Texaco as a field engineer in West Texas and was promoted to an area engineer and I left to go to work for Texas American Oil Corporation in Midland in 1977, and shortly went to San Antonio as District Manager of their South Texas operation and was there until 1982, and while I was there we drilled approximately 200 wells and installed a 6000-acre waterflood.

In 1982 I went to work with Miranda Energy Corporation as Vice President of Operations and in mid1983 I went to work for Estoril as a Manager of Engineering and was promoted Vice President of Engineering.

I'm responsible for all the production engineering and drilling that's done in Texas and New Mexico.

MR. HUNKER: I tender Mr. Drescher as an expert.

MR. CATANACH: Mr. Drescher is

20 1 so qualified. 2 Are you familiar with Estoril's applica-0 3 tion filed in connection with this matter? Yes, I am. Α Did you prepare an AFE for the drilling 0 6 of Estoril's Christmas No. 1 Well? 7 Α Yes, I did. 8 Are you looking at a copy of that AFE, Q 9 which has been marked Exhibit Four? 10 Α Yes, I am. 11 What are your estimated well costs as shown by that exhibit? 12 13 I estimated the total dry hole costs 14 this well if it's noncommercial to be \$340,150, and a com-15 pleted well cost of \$499,450. 16 What is the basis for your figures 17 this AFE? 18 I prepared this AFE based on primarily 19 two considerations; price bids on contract drilling pipe, 20 third party services, and the experience I gained when we --21 we have just completing drilling a fairly close offset well 22 to this in the Northeast Lovington Pool, which we completed 23 that in October of this year.

Q So you know pretty well what the expenses have been in connection with the drilling of this kind of a

24

25

21 1 test? 2 Yes, sir, I am. Α 3 0 What, in your opinion, is a reasonable for well supervision during the drilling operation? 5 I determined that the reasonable charge 6 would be \$5500 a month while drilling the well and \$550 a 7 month while producing the well. 8 Base on our -- the Commission granted us 9 those rates in August of 1986 on a similar hearing in the 10 Northeast Lovington Penn Pool. 11 You recognize that prices have gone down 12 to a certain extent and the costs are somewhat less, is that 13 correct? 14 A I don't believe they've changed a bit 15 since August. 16 Q I see, all right. Are you qualified to 17 assess the risk involved in the drilling of this test well? 18 Α Yes, sir, I am. 19 We're thinking in terms of a risk assess-20 ment against those persons who have not committed their in-21 terest to the well. 22

What -- what, in your opinion, is the risk that's involved here and upon what is that -- your answer based?

23

24

25

A I believe it is a very high risk and, Mr.

Commissioner, I'd ask for a 200 percent penalty above well costs. Based on research I did while drilling the first well, there's two instances within the Lovington Northeast Penn Pool. There's an 800-acre section which ten wells were drilled in it; only five made producers; and only one of them, in my estimation, will pay out.

And in another area just one mile south of our proposed location there is dry hole drilled between two producing wells that have already produced over 100,000 barrels of oil, and there's three offset wells, two producing wells that were drilled dry.

Q Your recommendation is 200 percent, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Has Estoril had extensive experience drilling and testing wells in this particular area, as well as other areas in Lea County?

A Yes, sir. We operate three -- or excuse me, four wells in the Antelope Ridge area out of the Cherry Canyon-Strawn-Atoka areas, or zones.

We have six wells just east of this area across the Texas border that are 13,000 feet deep, producing from the Devonian formation, which is just below the Strawn, and we just recently completed drilling and completing a well north of this proposed location, our Anderson 6 No. 1.

```
1
                        Do you have anything else to add to your
             Q
2
   testimony at this time?
3
                       No, sir, I don't.
                                 MR.
                                      HUNKER:
                                                I'm through with
5
   my examination of the witness, and I offer in evidence Ap-
6
   plicant's Exhibit Number Four.
7
                                 MR.
                                      CATANACH: Exhibit Number
8
   Four will be admitted into evidence.
9
10
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
11
   BY MR. CATANACH:
12
             Q
                       Mr. Drescher, right?
13
             Α
                      Yes, sir.
14
             0
                        What is the closest producing well to
15
   your proposed well?
16
                        The Anderson 6 No. 1 would be approxi-
            Α
17
   mately 1600 feet north of the proposed location and Blanks
18
   Energy has a well in the lower portion of Section 7, which
19
   is approximately three-quarters of a mile south of it.
20
             0
                       In your Anderson No. 1-6, is that right,
21
   or 6-1?
22
                       It's really 6 No. 1.
            Α
23
                       6 No. 1, do you have production rates on
             Q
24
   that well?
25
            Α
                       Yes, sir, I do. Last rate I has was 108
```

1 barrels of oil per day.

Q Do you have any production figures from the Blanks Energy well?

A My best recollection is that well was completed approximately 1981 or 1982 and had made in the neighborhood of, I think, approximately 24,000 barrels. It is -- was not a --

Q Cumulative -- cumulative production.

A Cumulative production. It is not an economical well.

Q Could we go over once agan and tell me where the dry holes are that you said have been drilled in the area, just giving the approximate location?

A Okay, the area I was speaking of is one mile south begins with what we see on that plat as the Blanks Energy 1-7 and for the next mile south of that there's a conglomeration of maybe 8 to 10 wells, and that is the area that has three dry holes directly offsetting producing wells and has a well drilled dry between two producing wells that have cumulative production to date over 100,000 barrels.

MR. HUNKER: It's your opinion, then, that a substantial risk is involved in the drilling of the Christmas No. 1?

A Yes, sir.

1 MR. CATANACH: I have no further 2 questions of the witness. He may be excused. 3 STEPHEN L. BLAYLOCK, 5 being called as a witness and being duly sworn 6 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 7 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. HUNKER: 10 O Mr. Blaylock, will you please state your 11 name, address, and occupation? 12 Stephen L. Blaylock, 4510 Cardinal Lane, 13 Midland, Texas, and I'm a geophysicist. 14 Q And what is your educational background 15 and work experience? 16 Α I have got a Bachelor's degree in physics 17 with minors in mathematics and geology from Baylor Univer-18 sity. 19 I'm a member of the National Physics 20 Society and a member of the Society of Exploration Geophysi-21 cists, and the local Permian Basin Society of Geophysicists. 22 I've been a geophysicist since 1970, 23 seven years with Pennzoil Company, one year with Hamilton 24 Brothers out of Denver, and I've been an independent since 25 1978.

1 And are you an independent consultant Q 2 Estoril in connection with this particular project? 3 Α Yes, sir, I am. MR. HUNKER: tender We Mr. 5 Blaylock as an expert, Mr. Examiner. 6 MR. CATANACH: Mr. Blaylock is 7 considered qualified. 8 Q Are you familiar with the application 9 filed in this matter by Estoril Producing Corporation? 10 Yes, sir, I am. Α 11 Are you familiar with the pool rules pro-12 mulgated by the Oil Conservation Commission pertaining 13 the Northeast Lovington Pennsylvanian Pool? 14 Yes, sir, I am. Α 15 0 What do these field rules prescribe re-16 garding the location and spacing of wells? 17 Α field rules prescribe that a well The 18 should be drilled on an 80-acre unit plus or minus, consis-19 ting either of the north half, east half, south half, or 20 west half of a governmental one-quarter section. 21 Also they prescribe that it be within 150 22 feet of the center of a governmental quarter quarter or a 23 lot. 24 0 Referring to Exhibit Number Two, the plat 25 of the location, will you tell the Examiner where the

```
located and how far it deviates from the location that's
   prescribed in the field rules?
3
                        Our location would be 600 feet from
          line and 1200 feet from the north line of the
5
   7.
6
                       You have a 150-foot leeway, I suppose, in
             O
7
   connection with the field rules, --
8
                       Yes, sir.
             Α
9
             Q
                       -- is that right?
10
                       Yes, sir.
             Α
11
                       Your location from the west line would be
12
   within that limitation is that correct?
13
             Α
                       It would be within that limitation if
14
   were in a standard lot.
15
                       Since we are in an elongated lot east-
16
           then our distance from the west line lacks approxi-
   west,
17
   mately 150 feet of being within the directed limits.
18
                        Did you recommend the location that
                                                               is
19
   shown on the plat and --
20
             Α
                       Yes, sir, I did.
21
                       -- upon Exhibit Number Five?
             0
22
                       Yes, sir, this is Exhibit Number Five.
             Α
23
             0
                       Why did you recommend that particular lo-
24
   cation?
25
                       That's the location based on seismic con-
             Α
```

trol for the area that shows to have the maximum amount of Strawn porosity development and also the most optimistic structural location out of the Strawn --

Q You're looking at Applicant's Exhibit Number Five. Does that plat and survey give you any additional information with -- that would indicate that geologically this particular location is -- is very appropriate?

A The plat that he's talking about is just a map on the top of the Strawn formation, which is the gross producing zone for the Lovington Penn.

It's a plat that I had made off our seismic control based on three different lines of seismic that
we just shot.

It does show a structural nose at Strawn level, which we will be drilling on. Any further movement to the east from our location would result in the loss of structure in the Strawn level.

Q If you moved the location to a standard location, would you also have a loss of structure or a loss of information?

A The primary thing, the primary problem with moving to a standard location to the south and east would be a loss of the porosity characteristics from the seismic.

To try to move north and east, to try to

1 get to a standard location, would result in not drilling on 2 the information that I have on the seismic. I would not be 3 drilling on the seismic line. I would essentially be projecting from the line to a location where I'd really 5 nothing about what the porosity level is. And in your opinion will this recommended 7 location assure the operator of this particular well a more 8 efficient recovery of reserves? 9 Α That is the information that I would gain 10 from the seismic, yes, sir. 11 Is this location, in your opinion, protective of correlative rights? 12 13 Yes, sir. 14 Will waste be prevented by the drilling 0 15 of -- the fact that you don't have to drill any --16 Α Yes, sir, and also I think it should be 17 the optimum location for the porosity development of 18 Strawn (unclear) 19 I have no further questions. Do you have 20 anything to add to your testimony? 21 Α No, sir. 22 MR. HUNKER: We offer into evi-23 dence Applicant's Exhibit Number Five. 24 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number 25 Five will be admitted into evidence.

```
30
1
                                  Do you have anything further,
2
    Mr. Hunker?
3
                                  MR. HUNKER: No, Mr. Examiner.
4
                                  MR.CATANACH: If there is no-
5
    thing further, Case Number 9052 will be taken under advise-
6
    ment.
7
8
                         (Hearing concluded.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record

of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Soely W. Boyd CSTZ

do has the foregoing of a continue for heading of Gase/10. 9052, neard by the on 12/1/ 19 FG.

David R. Catanach, Figure 1.

Oil Conservation Division