STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 1 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 2 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 7 January 1987 EXAMINER HEARING 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 The hearing called by the Oil Conser-CASE vation Division on its own motion for 9062 for an order creating and extending certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico. 10 11 12 13 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 16 17 APPEARANCES 18 19 20 For the Commission: Jeff Taylor 21 Legal Counsel for the Division Oil Conservation Division 22 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 23 24 For the Applicant: 25

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

to be sworn.

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

BY MR. TAYLOR:

MR. STOGNER: I'm going to call next Case 9062, which is in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating and extending certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico.

Call for appearances.

MR. TAYLOR: May it please the Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor, Counsel for the Division, and we have one witness in this case.

MR. STOGNER: Are there any other appearances in this matter?

Will the witness please stand

(Witness sworn.)

DAVID R. CATANACH,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Would you please state your name, by whom 0

you're employed and in what capacity?

My name is David Catanach. I'm employed Α 1 as an engineer with the OCD in Santa Fe. 2 And have you previously testified before 3 the Commission or its examiners and had your credentials accepted? 5 Yes, sir, I have. A 6 Mr. Catanach, are you prepared today to 7 make recommendations to the Commission -- to the Examiner concerning the nomenclature of certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico? Yes, sir, I am. Α 11 Q And are your recommendations prepared in 12 the form of an exhibit? 13 Α Yes, sir, they are. 14 And how is that denominated, as Exhibit A 0 15 or --16 We'll denominate that as Exhibit A. Α 17 Okay, would you please then refer to Ex-18 Q hibit A and to the docket that's been prepared and distributed at the hearing today and point out any differences between the two? 21 Sir, there is one difference. 22 We would like to at this time dismiss paragraph (g), which is 23 proposed extension of the Mescalero Escarpe-Bone Spring Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. 25

			5
1		Q	Okay, so delete from the docket paragraph
2	(g)?		
3		A	Yes, sir.
4		Q	Okay, and are there any other changes?
5		A	There are no other changes.
6		Q	So with the deletion of exhibit or of
7	paragraph	n (g) the	docket correctly delineates the amend-
8	ments to	the pools	in Lea County.
9		A	Yes, sir.
10		Q	Do you have anything further to add to
11	your testimony?		
12		A	No, sir, I don't.
13			MR. TAYLOR: I would move the
14	admission of Exhibit A.		
15			MR. STOGNER: Exhibit A will be
16	admitted into evidence.		
17			Do you have any further ques-
18	tions?		
19			MR. TAYLOR: No, sir.
20			MR. STOGNER: Are there any
21	other questions of Mr. Catanach?		
22			There being none, he may be ex-
23	cused.		
24			Is there anything further in
25	Case Numb	per 9062?	

```
6
 1
                                   There appear being none Case
2
    9062 will be taken under advisement.
3
                         (Hearing concluded.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ū

-

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of this portion of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly W. Boyd CSR

to here we arry that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9062 treard by the configuration of the Examiner than 1987.

Oll Conservation Division