

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

9 September 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Con- CASE
servation Division on its own motion 9212
for an order creating and extending
certain pools in Chaves and Lea
Counties, New Mexico.

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ROY JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor

4

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit 1, Data

5

1
2
3 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case
4 Number 9212.

5 This is in the matter of the
6 hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division to consider
7 nomenclature in Chaves and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

8 Call for appearances.

9 MR. TAYLOR: May it please the
10 Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor, Counsel for the Division, and I
11 have one witness to be sworn.

12 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
13 other appearances?

14 Being none, will the witness
15 please stand and be sworn.

16 (Witness sworn.)

17 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Taylor,
18 please proceed.

19
20 ROY JOHNSON,
21 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
22 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
23
24
25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAYLOR:

Q Would you please state your name, by whom you're employed and in what capacity?

A Roy Johnson, geologist for the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Q Mr. Johnson, you've previously testified before the Commission or the Examiners and had your credentials accepted, have you not?

A Yes, I have.

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, I tender the witness as an expert.

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Johnson is so qualified.

Q Mr. Johnson are you prepared to make recommendations to the Commission today concerning the nomenclature in Chaves and Lea Counties?

A I am.

Q And are your recommendations prepared in the form of an exhibit?

A They are.

Q And how is that exhibit denominated?

A Exhibit One.

Q Would you please then refer to Exhibit

One and to the docket that's been prepared and distributed at the hearing today and point out any differences between the two?

A There are no differences.

Q So the docket correctly shows the nomenclature as it should be adopted?

A It does.

Q Do you have anything further to add to your testimony?

A No, sir.

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to move the admittance of Exhibit One.

MR. STOGNER: Exhibit One will be admitted into evidence.

I have no questions of this witness. He may be excused.

Mr. Taylor, do you have anything further in Case Number 9212?

MR. TAYLOR: No, sir.

MR. STOGNER: This case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9212,
heard by me on 9 September 1987.

Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division