STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION



December 2, 1987

GARREY CARRUTHERS

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 (505) 827-5800

Mr. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: CASE NO. 9268 ORDER NO. R-8556

Applicant:

Pennzoil Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

Florene Davidson

FLORENE DAVIDSON OC Staff Specialist

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD	x
Artesia OCD	x
Aztec OCD	

Other

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1 STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 2 3 18 November 1986 4 EXAMINER'S HEARING 5 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Pennzoil Company for CASES 8 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New 9266 Mexico. 9267 9 Application of Pennzoil Company for CASE-10 compulsory pooling and an unorthodox ( 9268 oil well location, Lea County, New 11 Mexico. 12 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner 13 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 16 17 APPEARANCES 18 19 For the Division: Jeff Taylor Attorney at Law 20 Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. 21 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 22 23 For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin Attorney at Law 24 KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY P. O. Box 2265 25 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

2501693

DRP.

INDEX LONNIE L. WHITFIELD Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin 6 JIM L. BARR Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin RANDY HODGINS Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach EXHIBITS IN CASES 9266, 9267, and 9268 Pennzoil Exhibit One, Plat 

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FORM 25016P3

NOUV

E X H I B I T S CONT'D IN CASE 9266 Pennzoil Exhibit Two, Certificate of Mailing Pennzoil Exhibit Three, Letter Pennzoil Exhibit Four, Letter Pennzoil Exhibit Five, Correspondence Pennzoil Exhibit Six through Twenty, Correspondence thru Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-One, 1-A Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-Two, Isopach Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-Three, Map Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-Four, Letter & AFE's Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-Five, Display Pennzoil Exhibit Twenty-Six, Costs 

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

EXHIBITS CONT'D IN CASE 9267 Pennzoil Exhibit One, Isopach Pennzoil Exhibit Two, Notices Pennzoil Exhibit Three, Letter Pennzoil Exhibit Four, Correspondence Pennzoil Exhibit Five, Letter IN CASE 9268 Pennzoil Exhibit One, Isopach Pennzoil Exhibit Two, Notices Pennzoil Exhibit Three, Letter Pennzoil Exhibit Four, Letter Pennzoil Exhibit Five, Correspondence Pennzoil Exhibit Six, Correspondence 

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

FORM 25CI6P3

**NONA** 

5 1 CATANACH: We'll call Case MR. 2 9266. 3 The application of MR. TAYLOR: 4 Pennzoil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New 5 Mexico. 6 MR. CATANACH: Are there 7 appearances in this case? 8 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, Mr. Exam-9 iner, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on 10 behalf of the applicant, and I have three witnesses to be 11 sworn. 12 We would, Mr. Examiner, we 13 would like to have you also call Case 9267 and 9268, and for 14 purposes of testimony only have all three cases consolidated 15 together. 16 CATANACH: MR. Okay, at this 17 time we'll call Case 9267 and 9268. 18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Both of those cases are the application of Pennzoil Company for compulsory 20 No, one is -- 9267 is the application of Pennzoil pooling. 21 Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, and 9268 is the 22 application of Pennzoil Company for compulsory pooling an an 23 unorthodox oil well location, Lea County. 24 MR. CATANACH: 25 Okay, you may

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FREE

TOLL

2501693

FORM

```
6
   proceed, Mr. Kellahin.
1
                                 MR.
                                       KELLAHIN:
                                                   Thank you, Mr.
2
   Examiner.
                I'd request that the three witnesses be sworn at
3
    this time.
4
5
                          (Witnesses sworn.)
6
7
                        LONNIE L. WHITFIELD,
8
    being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
9
    oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
10
11
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
12
    BY MR. KELLAHIN:
13
                       Mr. Whitfield, for the record would you
             0
14
    please state your name and occupation?
15
                        My name is Lonnie L. Whitfield.
             Α
                                                            I'm a
16
    petroleum landman with Pennzoil Company.
17
                       Mr. Whitfield, have you previously testi-
             0
18
    fied as a petroleum landman before the Oil Conservation Di-
19
    vision?
20
             Α
                       Yes, I have.
21
             Q
                        Pursuant to your employment by Pennzoil
22
         you and your staff made an effort to obtain voluntary
23
    have
    joinder, acquire leases, and obtain participation either by
24
    way of joinder in an operating agreement or farming out in-
25
```

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

BARON FORM 25CIGP3

7 terests for the drilling of each fo the Strawn wells that 1 are the subjects of each of these three applications? 2 Yes, we have. А 3 MR. **KELLAHIN:** At this time, 4 Mr. Examiner, we tender Mr. Whitfield as an expert petroleum 5 landman. 6 MR. CATANACH: He is so quali-7 fied. 8 Q Mr. Whitfield, I have given to the Exam-9 iner Exhibit Number One from the packet of Exhibits for 10 Case 9266. 11 In addition, I have given him a large 12 display of the entire area. We might take a moment, sir, 13 and have you find a similar copy of the large display so we 14 can first orient the Examiner as to the location of each of 15 the three spacing units involved. 16 Α Okay. Okay, Tom. 17 0 Directing your attention now to Exhibit 18 Number One, which we're utilizing in all three cases, this 19 would be the large copy of Exhibit One, which I would like 20 for you to first identify for us the spacing unit in Section 21 14 that deals with the well to be drilled pursuant to Case 22 9266. 23 А Okay. The spacing unit for Section 24 14 will be the east -- excuse me, will be the south half of the 25

800-227-0120

ONWIDE

NAT.

800-227-2434

FREE IN CALIFORNIA

1011

25C16P3

FORM

NONA

8 northwest quarter of Section 14, Township 16 South, 37 East, 1 Lea County, New Mexico. 2 For that particular well, what are you 0 3 seeking to do, Mr. Whitfield? What formations? 4 We are seeking to -- we're going to drill Α 5 a Strawn test and test the Pennsylvanian formation. 6 When we look at the case for 9267 and the 7 0 well to be drilled in Section 22, would you identify for us 8 what portion of Section 22 is to be the spacing unit? 9 The spacing unit for Section 22 will Α be 10 the east half of the southeast quarter of Section 22, Town-11 ship 16 South, 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. 12 Q And again you're seeking to form a spac-13 ing unit for an undesignated Northeast Lovington Pennsylvan-14 ian Pool? 15 That is correct. Α 16 In addition, for this well you're also 17 0 seeking an undesignated Casey Strawn Pool pooling order? 18 А Yes. 19 0 All right. When we go to 9268, 20 that's the well in Section 3 of Township 17 South, 37 East. 21 Would you locate for us in Section 3 22 the Strawn spacing unit that's proposed for that well? 23 The Strawn spacing unit pro-24 А Yes, sir. 25 posed for that well will be the east half of the southwest

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

800-22

IN CALL

TOLL FREE

2501693

FORM

ARON

9 quarter of Section 3, Township 17 South, Range 37 East, Lea 1 County, New Mexico. 2 In the event the spacing for that well is 3 0 to be on a 40=acre designation, what will be the 40-acre de-4 signation for that well? 5 I'll have to let me geologist answer that 6 Α question for you, Mr. Kellahin. 7 All right, I was just looking at the sur-Q 8 location. I believe it's the southwest of the southface 9 west of that section. 10 11 Α Okay. And if it's Strawn, it's going to be the О 12 east half of the southwest? 13 Α Yes. 14 Directing your attention now back to Case 15 0 let's go through that entire case with regards to the 16 9266, land transactions that were involved by you or eomployees of 17 Pennzoil under your direction and control. 18 If you'll take a moment, Mr. Whitfield, 19 20 and look at Section 14, and within the proposed spacing unit 21 describe for us generally the types of leases involved in that 80-acre tract. 22 23 Α Okay, Section 14, as we have been active in this area for some time, we've bought oil and gas leases 24 25 in this country since 1968, have renewed some of those

693 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOOZZ7-2434

0210-222-008

NAT

BARON FORM

10 have bought other leases as we generated leases and pros-1 pects. 2 When we first began leasing in this coun-3 try we were able to acquire 5-year leases. Now the terms as 4 we've continued to develop the country have dropped to 3-5 year leases and even in some cases now we're taking 1-year 6 leases. 7 So under this particular proration unit 8 the majority of those leases were 3-year term. 9 Are the majority of leases involved in 0 10 this 80-acre spacing unit fee acreage? 11 Α That is correct. 12 Are you dealing in any way with 0 leases 13 that involve leases from the State of New Mexico or the Fed-14 eral government? 15 А No, sir, we are not. 16 Q Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 17 which is the certificate of mailing of notice Number Two, 18 for today's hearing. 19 Α Okay. 20 0 And if you'll turn to the attachment to 21 that certification, did you cause your employees to prepare 22 that Exhibit A? 23 That is correct. Α 24 Let's use that as a reference document, 25 Q

FORM 25CIOP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATI

800-227-0120

11 then, to identify for the Examiner what the current status 1 is of your efforts to obtain voluntary joinder from the var-2 ious parties that you're now seeking to pool. 3 If you'll start off with the tabulation and begin with the first entry on Exhibit A, I believe that 5 is Rio Pecos? 6 А Yes, sir. 7 All right, would you go through each one 0 8 those and identify for the Examiner what the current of 9 status is of your efforts to obtain joinder? 10 Yes, sir, I will. Α 11 Rio Pecos Corporation was contacted and 12 given the opportunity to either participate with their was 13 interest or farmout. When we initially contacted Rio Pecos 14 they indicated to us that they would probably farmout. At 15 the same time they indicated either farmout or participate, 16 but they expressed a desire to see our seismic. 17 At the time, and still, to this day, we 18 did not want to show our seismic because it's proprietary 19 data. We have other prospects in the area and so we contin-20 ued our negotiations. I advised Scott Wilson with Rio Pecos 21 that we could not show him the seismic to help him decide 22 whether or not he wanted to participate. 23 My next contact with Scott Wilson, 24 he said that since he could not see our seismic that he 25 was

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

25C16P3

FORM

ARON

thinking about farming out.

800-222-0120

NATIONWIDE

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

25C16P3

FORM

The last time I contacted Mr. Wilson he
said that after running economics he felt that they would
elect to be force pooled.

9 Q Based upon your knowledge of the area and your active attempt to obtain additional leases from the unleased mineral owners, have you found yourself in any type of competitive position with Rio Pecos Corporation over acquiring these leases?

10 A Yes, sir, we have. This is a very
11 competitive area. Many of our leases, many, specifically 35
12 net undivided acres under the northwest quarter of Section
13 14, are expiring January 24th of next year.

We have learned, when we attempted to renew those leases to get some more time for developing this prospect, drilling this well, those leases have been topleased by Scott Wilson, Rio Pecos. The leases were not of record but based on -- on what the mineral owners have told us, they have been leased to Rio Pecos.

20 We have ascertained that Rio Pecos has 21 been top-leasing throughout the area, as have other opera-22 tors in the area, so it has been a very competitive situa-23 tion.

24 Q You propose to commence this well 25 approximately when, Mr. Whitfield?

1AWe were proposing to commence this well2as soon as possible, as soon as we could get our -- get a3decision from everyone, but in no event later than January424th, 1988, since that is the expiration date of our leases.5Ideally we would like to commence it earlier than that.

Because of the expiration of certain of Q 6 leases in this area, have -- have you sent notificayour 7 tions to each of these individuals to advise them in the 8 event you're unsuccessful because of the short time con-9 straints, that you'll seek compulsory pooling in order to 10 form the spacing unit required for the drilling of this 11 well? 12

A Yes, we did. Once we discovered that our leases had been top leased and that we had a very serious problem, in that if we did not commence a well on or before January 24th of next year, our working interest would -would reduce dramatically; would reduce from 61.67 percent to 47.08 percent.

NATIONWIDE B00-227-0120

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

TOLL FREE

25C16P3

NEOL

We began to immediately do everything
that we could to proceed with trying to get this well drilled.

We contacted everyone. We gave all working interest owners the option, same options of participating or farming out. We contacted all unleased mineral owners; we gave them the option of leasing to us. Some of

14 these unleased mineral owners had been leased and the leases 1 were not of record. 2 Let's use Exhibit Number Two, the exhi-0 3 bit, as a reference point and go through the items of cor-4 respondence, then, that are identified as subsequent ex-5 hibits, starting with Exhibit Number Three. 6 Α 7 Okay. 0 Did you send formal notification to Rio 8 9 Pecos Corporation by way of Exhibit Number Three, offering them an opportunity to participate or to farm out? 10 That is correct. Ά 11 Q Okay, and this was a letter sent by Mr. 12 Greg Davis of Pennzoil. 13 Α That is correct. 14 0 And is Mr. Davis under your supervision? 15 Α That is correct. 16 Okay. You've already describe for 0 17 us 18 your conversations with Mr. Wilson in response to your efforts to obtain voluntry joinder. Did you also enclose to 19 Mr. Wilson a copy of your proposed AFE for this well? 20 Α Yes, we did. 21 0 All right, Let's turn now to the 22 next 23 company on Exhibit A, which is Inexco. Did you sent Inexco a similar letter? 24 Α Yes, we did. 25

NATI

800-227-2434

CALIFORNIA

TOLL FREE IN

25C16P3

FOR

BARON

15 And how is that identified as an exhibit? 0 1 That is exhibit -- identified as Exhibit Α 2 Number Four. 3 And again did you propose to Inexco terms 0 4 by which they could participate or farmout with regards to 5 the drilling of the well? 6 A That is correct. 7 All right, let's go through each one of Q 8 the entries, then, and have you describe your efforts, con-9 tacts, and correspondence with each of those companies. 10 Continuing now with Inexco, what is the 11 status of your efforts to get Inexco's participation? 12 Α Inexco has elected to participate by 13 signing an AFE and sending the same instrument to us. They 14 have not yet executed a copy of our joint operating agree-15 ment but we are electing to dismiss them from the pooling 16 procedures today since they have assured us that they are 17 going to participate and work with us in this well. 18 0 Okay, we've got Inexco with an executed 19 AFE. 20 Terra Resources, Whitfield, 21 now, Mr. what's the status of their participation? 22 А Terra Resources has indicated that they 23 think that they are going to participate but we still do not 24 have anything in writing from Terra. Mr. Pfister has right 25

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

2501693

FORM

BARON

16 up until yesterday told me that he thought that they would 1 participate but we don't have an AFE or a joint operating 2 agreement back from them, so we've left them under the pool-3 ing for that purpose. 4 And Exhibit Five represents the documents 0 5 sending correspondence to Terra about the well? 6 That is correct. А 7 0 Okay, let's turn now to Sabine Corpora-8 tion. 9 Sabine's interest has now been leased to Α 10 Terra Resources, we've been told. There is still nothing 11 been put of record to that effect so we're simply taking 12 their word for that. Since nothing has been put of record 13 we have left Sabine on the pooling just to make sure. 14 Q and Exhibit Six represents your Okay, 15 correspondence to Sabine in order to obtain voluntary parti-16 cipation? 17 А That is correct. 18 0 Okay. Will you go down to the next entry 19 and describe your contacts and efforts? 20 Α Okay, Exhibit Number Seven is a letter 21 which we wrote -- well, let me move --22 Q Let's follow Exhibit A list. 23 А Okay, very good. The Exhibit A, the next 24 party is Lynn Melton Medlin and Billy Melton, personal rep 25

23C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIC

LORM

800-227-0120

resentatives of the Estate of Billy Melton Medlin, deceased. 1 We are dismissing them from the order be-2 cause they have leased their interest to Rio Pecos. We have 3 seen those leases into Rio Pecos so we are satisfied that --4 that that has occurred. 5 The next entry, Mrs. Minnie Taylor, we 6 are dismissing her from the pooling procedure also because 7 she has leased her interest to Rio Pecos. We have seen that 8 lease and we are satisfied the transaction has occurred, al-9 so. 10 Mr. Lynn R. Brown, we are dismissing Mr. 11 Brown from the process at this time. He has been -- he has 12 leased his resources to Terra Recources. 13 Excuse me, we are not -- we are not going 14 to release Mr. Brown. Let me correct that. It would be Ex-15 hibit Number Eight where correspondence is showing that we 16 have contacted Mr. Brown. We're not -- we're not dismissing 17 that because we have not seen the lease to Terra and there 18 is nothing of record yet to that effect. 19 0 All right. 20 Α The next party, the Heirs or Devisees of 21 Alice G. Brown Huntington, Deceased, to our knowledge that 22 interest has still not been leased, so we are leaving that 23 interest under the pooling process, also. 24 And Exhibit Nine represents the notice to 25 Q

800-227

NAT

900-227

FREE IN

101

25C16P3

NOP

18 them? 1 That is correct. Α 2 0 Okay. 3 We have made attempts to lease that in-Α 4 terest, as have others. 5 The next, Ida Louise Brown McDonald, as 6 far as we know that interest is still unleased. 7 Exhibit Number Ten shows our correspondence to Ida Louise Brown 8 McDonald, wherein we tried to lease that interest. 9 Brady M. Lowe, in Exhibit Number Eleven 10 shows where we tried to -- where we contacted Mr. Lowe and 11 tried to lease that interest and to our knowledge that in-12 terest is still unleased. 13 Pauline B. Lowe, Exhibit Number Twelve 14 shows wherein we tried to lease that interest. Well, 15 we have correspondence to Pauline B. Lowe. To our knowledge 16 that interest is still unleased. 17 And, obviously, all these unleased min-18 eral interests we're leaving under the pooling process. 19 Odell L. 20 Lowe, we are dismissing this party from the pooling procedure because that party has 21 leased to Pennzoil. 22 Nell P. Lowe, we are dismissing that par-23 ty because they have also leased to Pennzoil. 24 25 Kay Lowe Hughes, we are dismissing that

800-227-0120

ONWIDE

TA1

800-227-2434

FREE IN CALIF

1 OLL

2501693

r ORM

19 party because they have leased to Pennzoil. 1 Vivian Lowe Anselmi, we are dismssing 2 them because they, too, have leased to Pennzoil. 3 Debra Lowe Finn, we are dismissing be-4 cause they have leased to Pennzoil. 5 Μ. Dion Lowe is the next party. Exhibit 6 7 Thirteen shows wherein we contacted M. Dion Lowe and tried to lease that interest. To our knowledge that interest is 8 still unleased. 9 Larry K. Lowe is Exhibit Number Seven. 10 Mr. Lowe has indicated to us that he is going to partici-11 He has signed an AFE but he has not yet signed an pate. 12 operating agreement. Since he has not signed the operating 13 agreement we're leaving him under the pooling process. 14 Shana Low Conine, C-O-N-I-N-E, is Exhibit 15 Numbaer Fourteen, which shows where we've contacted this 16 person and to our knowledge that interest is still 17 not leased. 18 Loretta D. Lowe, Exhibit Number Fifteen 19 shows wherein we contacted Loretta D. Lowe. That interest, 20 to our knowledge, is still not leased. 21 Lowe, Exhibit Number Sixteen 22 Ronny Ρ. shows where we contacted Ronny P. Lowe. 23 To our knowledge that interest is still unleased. 24 Denise Lowe, Exhibit 25 Number Seventeen

ONWIDE 800-227-0120

NAT

10 L

20 shows wherein we contacted Denise Lowe. To our knowledge 1 that interest is still unleased. 2 Exhibit Number Eighteen shows Clodette 3 Maner as Gardian of the Estate of Hadley (sic) Lowe, wherein 4 we tried to lease the interest from this party. 5 То our knowledge that interest is still unleased. 6 Kay Salem, as Guardian of the Kelly --7 Kelly Lowe, Exhibit Number Nineteen shows wherein we contac-8 ted Kay Salem and that interest is still unleased. 9 Okay. Okay, let me correct that. It 10 would be Exhibit Number Twenty would be Kay Salem as Guar-11 dian of Kelly Lowe. 12 Exhibit Number Nineteen would be Kay 13 Salem as Guardian of Lauren Lowe. Both of those correspon-14 dences show that they were contacted and that both of those 15 interests are still to our knowledge unleased. 16 Coy S. Lowe, we are dismissing her from 17 18 the pooling process. They have leased to Pennzoil Company. We have called all of these individuals 19 on numerous occasions. I've talked with them personally and 20 they simply are choosing to hold out. We have not been able 21 to make a deal with them at this time. 22 0 Do you think simply having more time 23 to 24 do this is going to make a significant difference in obtain-25 ing any additional leases or obtaining voluntary agreements

NATIONWIDE

800-227-2434

01

2501693

FOR

21 1 in this case? 2 Α No, sir. 3 Do you have a recommendation to the Exa-0 4 miner as to an overhead charge to be applied against any of 5 the noncensenting owners in the event forced pooling is 6 awarded? 7 Α An overhead charge? Are you talking 8 about a COPAS --9 0 Yes, sir, the COPAS overhead charges for 10 a drilling well and a producing well rate? 11 Α Yes, sir, our recommendation will be \$5000 for a drilling well, \$500 for a producing well. 12 13 Q Upon what basis do you make that recom-14 mendation? 15 These are the rates that we've used Ά in 16 the area for other wells which we've drilled, which have 17 been acceptable to the industry. 18 0 Has Pennzoil been active in developing 19 and exploring for Strawn production in this area? 20 А That is correct, we have. 21 0 The AFE that was circulated among the 22 various parties to participate in the well, how was that AFE 23 prepared? 24 That AFE was prepared by our Engineering Α 25 Department at Pennzoil Company. I have an engineer here

FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

BARON FO

present today who prepared the AFE's.

2 Q All right, sir, is there anything else on 3 the land issues involved in Case 9266 before we go on to the 4 land issues in the other wells?

Α Yes, sir, the only thing I would like to 5 say would be the urgency involved with our being able to --6 to get an order in this particular case. As previously men-7 tioned, 35 acres has been top-leased and if we're not able 8 to drill a well by January 24th of next year, then our work-9 interest will automatically decrease and that ing interest 10 will be credited to Rio Pecos or their assigns, and to pre-11 vent that from happening the only -- the only way we can 12 prevent that from happening is to -- is to pool the parties 13 who have not elected to participate, farmout, or lease to us 14 at this time and we're at the mercy at this point of the 15 Examiner to grant us an order as soon as possible to enable 16 us to solve this problem, this time problem. 17

18 Q Has Rio Pecos or anyone else objected to 19 the orientation of the 80-acre spacing unit?

A No, they have not.

800-227-01

NATIONWIDE

100-227

TOLL

25C16P3

FORM

20

23

21 Q Has Rio Pecos or any of the owners in the
22 well objected to the proposed well location?

A No, they have not.

24 Q Have -- has Rio Pecos or anyone else ob25 jected to Pennzoil being the operator?

23 No, they have not. Α 1 Has Rio Pecos suggested that they drill 0 2 the well? 3 No, they have not. А 4 Has anyone else proposed a well to you 0 5 for drilling in this quarter section? 6 Ά No, they have not. 7 Are you aware of anyone else actively Q 8 planning to drill the well other than Pennzoil for this for-9 mation at this spacing unit? 10 А No, sir. 11 0 All right. Let's turn now, sir, to the 12 next case and discuss the land matters involved in Case 13 9267, which is the well in Section 22. 14 A11 right, let's look at Exhibit One, 15 which for your purposes, Mr. Whitfield, I am simply asking 16 you to ignore the Isopach lines and let's use that as a dis-17 play to show the spacing unit, the proposed location, and 18 the various ownerships involved within the spacing unit. 19 Α Okay, sir, the spacing unit will be the 20 east half of the southeast quarter, Section 22, 16 South, 37 21 22 East, containing 80 acres, more or less, Lea County, New Mexico. 23 Pennzoil's interest prior to proposing 24 25 this well was 82.14 percent, which left 17.86 percent unleased.

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

800-227-2434

IN CALIFORNIA

TOLL FREE

2501693

FORM

At the time the records indicated that no leases had -- had been given and the two mineral interests, two mineral owners of record, which had a 5/28ths mineral interest, showed to be unleased.

We have since learned that these inter-5 ests have been leased to Rio Pecos, who in turn has assigned 6 7 that interest to Yates Petroleum, and we have since contacted Yates, Yates Petroleum Corporation, I should say. 8 We have since contacted Yates, and incidentally, this interest 9 is broken with Yates Petroleum, with Yates Petroleum having 10 4.46 percent, Yates Drilling, another 4.46 percent, Abo Pet-11 roleum 4.46 percent, and MYCO Industries, Inc., with 4.46 12 percent. That group, I'll refer to them as the Yates Group, 13 we contacted them with our proposal to either participate or 14 farmout that interest to us in the drilling of this well and 15 they have advised us that they're planning to participate in 16 that well. They have signed an AFE and returned it to us. 17 We have that in hand but we do not as of yet have a signed 18 operating agreement in hand. 19

20 Since we don't have a signed operating 21 agreement, we would like to leave them under the pooling 22 procedure at this time.

800-227-0120

Ň

800-227-2434

FREE

TOLL

FORM

Q It's our hope that we can complete the
voluntary successful formation of this unit but in the event
that should not come to pass, then we would ask the Examiner

25 to process a pooling order. 1 Absolutely. Α 2 Let's go back to the beginning, then, and 0 3 talk about the Medlin/Taylor interests that were unleased at 4 the time that we filed the application, or at least we 5 thought they were unleased. 6 When we talk about the Lynn Melton Medlin 7 8 Yes. Α 9 And the Billy Medlin, personal represen-Q 10 tatives for the Medlin Estate, what fractional interest were 11 we discussing? 12 Okay, the Lynn Melton Medlin and Billy Α 13 Medlin, personal representatives of the Estate of Buddy Mel-14 ton Medlin, deceased, had a 2/28ths unleased mineral inter-15 est. 16 Is that an undivided interest for the en-17 Q tire 80-acre tract? 18 That's correct. Α 19 Q And how about Minnie Taylor? 20 Minnie Taylor had a 3/28ths unleased min-Α 21 22 eral interest. And both of those interests, 0 then, were 23 acquired by Rio Pecos, as you understand it? 24 25 А As we understand it. Matter of fact, we

800-227-01

NATIONWIDE

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

TOLL FREE

2501693

FORM

26 have seen those -- those leases, so we are satisfied that 1 the leases have been granted to Rio Pecos. 2 We have seen an assignment from Rio Pecos 3 into the Yates Group but that assignment has not yet hit re 4 cord, another reason why we need to -- to keep them under 5 the pooling procedure. 6 7 0 Okay. Let's go through the rest of the land exhibits at this time, Mr. Whitfield. 8 Exhibit Number Two represents the notifi 9 cations to Medlin, Taylor, Rio Pecos and Yates Corporation 10 with the return receipt cards, and if you'll move past the 11 exhibit and direct your attention to Exhibit Number Three, 12 would you identify that letter for us? 13 Α Exhibit Number Three is a letter to Ms. 14 Minnie Taylor, Hope, New Mexico, wherein we contacted her 15 asking her to lease her interest to us or participate in the 16 We sent this letter simply because at that time well. 17 the 18 interest appeared to be an unleased mineral interest. Attached to that is an AFE? 0 19 That is correct. 20 Α And did you send all the rest of 21 0 these individuals or companies the same AFE? 22 That is correct. 23 Α 24 0 And is that also an AFE prepared by Penn-25 zoil's engineering --

800-227-0120

ĬĂM

TOLL

FORM 25C

27 Α That is correct. 1 Let's turn to Exhibit Number Four Q and 2 have you identify that one. 3 Exhibit Number Four is correspondence Α to Δ Lynn Melton Medlin and Billy Medlin, personal representa-5 tives of the Estate of Buddy Melton Medlin, deceased. 6 Again this was the other unleased mineral 7 interest that we thought was unleased at the time, so we --8 we sent them correspondence asking them to participate or 9 lease their interest to us. 10 0 Okay. Let's turn now to Exhibit Number 11 Five and have you identify that for us. 12 А Exhibit Number Five is a letter to Rio 13 Pecos Corporation wherein once we had -- we thought we'd 14 ascertained, we'd been told, although we had no way of know-15 ing since no instruments were of record, but based on the 16 premise that Rio Pecos might have that leased, we went ahead 17 and sent Rio Pecos a letter, also, asking them to either 18 participate or farmout in the drilling of this well. 19 Exhibit Five is that correspondence to 20 Rio Pecos. 21 0 All right, sir. You've described your 22 efforts to form a voluntary unit. In the event we are un-23 able to form -- obtain completion of the paperwork and form 24 a voluntary unit with the Yates Group, do you have a recom-25

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

B00-227-2434

FREE

TOLL

2501693

PORM

NONAN

1 mendation to the Examiner as to overhead charges to be as-2 sessed in the pooling order?

A Yes, sir, I would recommend the same
overhead charges, being \$5000 for a drilling well and \$500
for a producing well.

6 Q Do you have the same urgencies for com7 mencing this well as you had for the prior well we discussed
8 in Case 9266?

As a matter of fact, Α Yes, sir, we do. 0 it's even a greater urgency in this -- well, it's an equally 10 as urgency in this case because in this case a 40 acres, un-11 divided acres in the southeast quarter of Section 22 has 12 been top-leased by Rio Pecos. If we are not able to drill a 13 well by January 24th, 1988, which is the same expiration 14 date as under the other case that we just reviewed, then our 15 working interest will reduce from 80 -- will be reduced from 16 82.14 percent to 57.14 percent, so the same sense of urgency 17 exists there and again we're at the mercy of the Examiner to 18 expedite the order, if possible, to enable us to be able to 19 spud a well without Rio Pecos being able to ride us down. 20

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

101

2501693

FORM

25

21 Q All right, sir. I think that completes
22 the land testimony for Case 9267.

23 Let's turn at this time, Mr. Whitfield,24 to the land testimony in Case 9268.

Again for convenience, Mr. Whitfield, if

you'll simply ignore the Isopach lines on Exhibit One for
Case 9261 (sic) and devote your attention and comments to
simply the arrangement of the spacing unit and the ownership
in the area, let me begin by having you identify for us the
proposed spacing unit for the well.

A Okay, sir. The proposed spacing unit
will be the east half of the southwest quarter of Section 3,
17 South, 37 East, 80 acres, more or less, Lea County, New
Mexico.

10 Q Again you're seeking to form a spacing 11 unit for Strawn and Atoka production. You anticipate the 12 production would be spaced upon 80 acres but if not, you're 13 seeking in the alternative for the 40-acre dedication and 14 spacing.

A That is correct.

15

800-227-0120

Ĩ

NHO I

16 Q This well is a little different from the 17 prior two in that this involves an unorthodox location? 18 A That is correct. It does involve an un-19 orthodox location.

20 Q Would you describe for this examiner what 21 efforts Pennzoil has made to obtain approval from the Divi-22 sion for the unorthodox oil well location?

23 A Yes, sir. Back in the first quarter of
24 1986 we had hoped to be able to drill this prospect and at
25 that time began to work on obtaining an unorthodox location.

30 1 We notified all offset operators and advertised. A hearing 2 was held and an order was granted on March 31st, 1987 --3 1986 by the Division Director granting Pennzoil the unortho-4 dox location for a well to be drilled 1310 feet from the 5 south line and 1980 feet from the west line of Section 3, 6 Township 17 South, Range 37 East, for an Undesignated Strawn 7 Pool in Lea County, New Mexico. 8 0 What's the R-number for the order? It's 9 R-what? 10 Α The order number would be R-8199. 11 Q And you're proposing to pool and drill 12 the well also under this unorthodox order? 13 А Yes, sir, we are. 14 This, then, is the same well that 0 you 15 obtained approval for back in March of '86. 16 That is correct. Α 17 Q What was the reason for the delay in 18 getting the well drilled? 19 А Budget constraints. 20 0 At this point you're prepared to qo 21 forward, though, with the drilling of this well? 22 Α Yes, sir, we are. 23 What efforts have you made to form 0 а 24 voluntary unit for participation in the well? 25 Α We have made the same effort for this

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

501663

FORM

well as we -- as we have under the first two wells that we
have discussed.

We have sent correspondence to all
working interest owners of record and all unleased mineral
owners of record.

6 Q Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 7 Number Two, which is the Affidavit of Mailing of notice for 8 the hearing, and turn to the attachment identifying the 9 individuals and companies involved and have you start at the 10 top of the list with the Amerada Hess Corporation and tell 11 us what efforts you have made to obtain their voluntary co-12 operation.

А Okay. Exhibit Number Four shows that we 13 wrote Amerada Hess a letter on October 20th, 1987, trying to 14 get them to participate in the drilling of this well or farm 15 their interest to Pennzoil. To date we have not been out 16 able to -- to reach agreement with Amerada Hess and at 17 this time I have no idea whether they want to participate, farm 18 out or elect to be pooled. I'm assuming they're choosing to 19 be pooled. 20

21QYou've had conversations on the phone22with an individual for Amerada Hess?

A Yes, we have.

800-227

Ĩ.

TOLL

22C16P3

1 No

23

24 Q And what was the substance of that con-25 versation?

A They have indicated that they probably
 will participate but we have not yet received an AFE or an
 operating agreement.

Q Let's turn your attention to the Mobil
Producing Texas & New Mexico, Inc., I believe that's Exhibit
Number Three, and describe what efforts you have made to obtain their voluntary participation.

8 A Okay, Exhibit Number 3 reflects corres9 pondence to Mobil offering them the same proposal as we did
10 to Amerada to either join in the drilling of this well or
11 farming out their interest to us.

Mobil has also indicated that they will probably participate in the drilling of this well but as of -- as to this date they have not yet signed an AFE or an operating agreement.

16 Q If you'll turn now to the third entry on 17 the tabulation of interests, Mr. Bill Seltzer, what contacts 18 and efforts have you made to get Mr. Seltzer's interest com-19 mitted to the well?

A Okay, Mr. Bill Seltzer is representing
Amerind Company and Amerind has elected to participate in
the drilling of this well. They have signed an AFE and they
have signed an operating agreement, so we are releasing them
from -- from the application at this time.

25

Q

ž

6P3 70UI

PORK

The Harvey E. Yates Company?

The Harvey E. Yates Company, and again I Α 1 refer this to the Harvey E. Yates Group because it is the 2 Harvey E. Yates Company, Explorers' Petroleum Corporation, 3 and Spiral, Inc., we contacted them on numerous occasions. 4 As of yesterday they advised me that they will probably par 5 ticipate but we do not have an AFE from them or an operating 6 agreement, signed operating agreement in hand. 7 Exhibit Number Six shows where we contac 8 ted Harvey E. Yates Company, Explorers' Petroleum Corpora-9 tion, and Spiral, Inc., asking them to either participate or 10 farm out their interest in the drilling of this well. 11 0 I turn your attention now to Yates Energy 12 Corporation and ask you to describe your efforts to obtain 13 their voluntary cooperation. 14 A Exhibit Number Five shows that we attemp-15 ted to contact Yates Energy Corporation. Again Yates Energy 16 Corporation has indicated that they will probably partici-17 pate in the drilling of the well but we, as of yet, we do 18 not have an AFE, a signed AFE or operating agreement from 19 them. 20 There's three more entries on the tabula-0 21 22 tion of interests attached to Exhibit Number Two. Would you go through each of those? 23 Yes. The first entry is Miss Sue Anne 24 Α

25 Stolenburg Campbell. We're releasing her from -- from the

800-22

NATION

101

25C16P3

FORM

application at this time because she has elected to partici pate. She has signed an AFE and she has signed an operating
 agreement.

Inexco, Inc., is the next entry. We're
releasing them from the application at this time because
they also have signed an AFE and operating agreement and
have elected to participate.

8 The last entry is Mrs. Lynn Waite Stolen-9 burg Ray. We're releasing her from the pooling procedure at 10 this time because she has also signed an AFE and an operat-11 ing agreement.

12 Q When does Pennzoil propose to commence 13 the drilling of this well, Mr. Whitfield?

А Again we want to drill this well just as 14 soon as possible. We would like to drill the well, if -- if 15 -- we'd hoped if we could have gotten voluntary approval by 16 December 1st, or the middle or no later than December 17 the 15th of this year. We have a lease expiration problem, a 18 lease expiring January 4th of '88, so if we do not get this 19 well down, we've got a similar problem. 20

800-227-0120

ş

TOL

693

No.

NOMAR

25

21 Q Again what is your recommendation to the
22 Examiner for overhead charges for the drilling of this well?
23 A I recommend \$5000 for a drilling well,
24 \$500 for a producing well.

MR. KELLAHIN; That concludes

35 1 examination of Mr. Whitfield with regards to the my land testimony involved in each of these three cases. 2 We would move the introduction 3 of the exhibits in each of these cases and I'll have to rely 4 on Sally to tell me what numbers we ended up with, because 5 I've lost track. 6 7 THE REPORTER: 9266 is Exhibits 8 Two through Twenty. 9 MR. CATANACH: Okay. 10 THE REPORTER: 9267 is Exhibits 11 One through Five. MR. CATANACH: Okay. 12 13 THE REPORTER: 9268 is Exhibits One through Six. 14 15 And then there is that one that is for all of them. 16 17 MR. KELLAHIN: That's the great, 18 big plat. 19 MR. CATANACH: Okay, Exhibits 20 Two through Twenty in 9266 are admitted as evidence. 21 Exhibits One through Five in 22 Case 9267 is hereby admitted as evidence. 23 And Exhibits One through Six in 24 Case 9268 are admitted, and also Exhibit One, which has been 25 designated for all three cases, 9266, 9267, and 9268, will

ION FORM 25CI6P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-01

36 be admitted. 1 MR. KELLAHIN: We're going to 2 mark that big plat One-A so we can find it a little easier. 3 MR. TAYLOR: It has been referred to originally, I think, as One. 5 MR. CATANACH: I don't have any 6 questions of the witness. I would just like to point out 7 the advertisement in Case 9268 states that you would either 8 like to dedicate 40 or 80 acres and the 40 acres would be Q the southwest quarter southwest quarter, and I don't think 10 that's correct. It should be southeast quarter southeast 11 quarter. 12 MR. **KELLAHIN:** Take a moment 13 and see. 14 Examiner, in light of your Mr. 15 comment we have re-examined the situation with regards to 16 the possibility of a 40-acre Atoka dedication for Case 9268. 17 We believe that will be unnecessary and we would withdraw at 18 this time any request for a pooling order for the Atoka 19 portion of the case and would confine our efforts 20 for а pooling order to any 80-acre oil spacing principally invol-21 ved, which is the Strawn formation. 22 MR. CATANACH: Okay, at this 23 time we**'**]] just dismiss that portion of the application 24 25 requesting a 40-acre proration unit.

ş

TOLL

37 MR. KELLAHIN: We're prepared 1 to call our next witness at this time, if that's acceptable. 2 MR. CATANACH: Okay. 3 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, at 4 this time we'd call Mr. James Barr. He's our geologic wit-5 ness for Pennzoil. 6 7 JIM L. BARR, 8 being called as a witness and being duly sworn his upon 9 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 13 Mr. Barr, for the record would you please 0 14 state your name and occupation? 15 Yes. My name is Jim L. Barr. I don't go А 16 by James and it's an illegal name for me. 17 It's Jim, and I am a Senior Explorationist for Pennzoil Company. 18 0 Have you previously testified as an 19 ex-20 pert petroleum geologist before the Oil Conservation Division, Mr. Barr? 21 22 Α Yes, sir, I have. Q And pursuant to your employment as a geo-23 Pennzoil have you made a study of the geologic 24 logist for factors surrounding each of the three applications 25 before

800-227-01

NAT

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE

2501673

38 1 the Examiner today? 2 Yes, I certainly have. Α 3 Included in that study have you made 0 an 4 assessment of what in your opinion ought to be the risk fac-5 tor penalty percentage to be assessed against each of the 6 nonconsenting interest owners involved in each of the three 7 forced pooling cases? 8 Yes, I have. I certainly have. Α 9 KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. MR. 10 Barr as an expert petroleum geologist. 11 MR. CATANACH: He is so quali-12 fied. 13 Barr, for each of the three cases 0 Mr. 14 what is your opinion of the risk factor penalty that ought 15 to be assessed? 16 Well, in the area that we're talking Α 17 about with these mounds, it's a very risk thing and I would 18 say that just straight out you're talking about 800 to 1000 19 percent, but with integration of geological data and geophy-20 sics, we get it worked down to somewhere into the 200 to 400 21 percent range. 22 So Ι think a 200 percent range (not 23 clearly understood) is more than needed in terms of the risk 24 factor for these mounds. 25 Q And putting that in context of the Exam-

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

800-227-2434

FREE IN CALIFORNIA

TOLL

2501673

FORM

NOUVE

39 iner's authority under the statute for a penalty factor 1 which allows him to award Pennzoil out of production any 2 nonconsenting working interest owner's cost of the well plus 3 two more times. That's the maximum. 4 Α Yes. I think that should be levied 5 against them. 6 0 Well, let's talk about the reasons that 7 you have reached that conclusion. 8 Let's start with the Exhibit One-A, which 9 is the large plat, and address yourself generally to the 10 types of geologic risks that you're encountering in your ex-11 ploration for Strawn production. 12 А I think in terms of the history and our 13 track record out here, we can see here that starting up on 14 the north up here we have to our west of the Section 14, and 15 we have one in Section 16, we drilled the Pennzoil No. 2 16 State, which was a dry hole. 17 Subsequent to the data that we gained 18 from that we have drilled the Pennzoil No. 3, and most re-19 cently the Pennzoil No. 4 up in the northwest quarter. 20 Also, during the same period of 21 time Mobil has come in to Section 15, re-entered the Standard of 22 Texas Well there, and has re -- I understand has plugged it. 23 Moving down to the southwest quarter of 24 Section 16 we have a case there where we drilled the Penn-25

NATIONWIDE BOO-22

TOLL

- OR I

zoil State No. 1 and then in Section 21, in the northwest
 quarter we have a dry hole, and then south of that we now
 have a producing well.

Moving further south, down into Township
17, excuse me, Township 17 South, directs our attention to
Section 3. We have the Waldron 1 there, which we drilled.
It was dry. It was a thin section. And to the northeast of
it, based upon geologic data, we have successfully drilled
the Meyers No. 1 and the Waldron No. 2.

Another case that we went before the Commission and asked for an exceptional location was in the very southern part of Section 4. We have the Viersen No. 3, in which case we have it being exactly 300 feet from the bottom hole location of the Exxon Well No. 2 in Section 9.

15 The well in Section 9 by Exxon is meeting 16 its allowable; the Viersen No. 3 should never have had cas-17 ing put in it.

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

800-227-2434

IN CALIFORNIA

TOLL FREE

2501062

PRO

18 Literally, it's a -- almost a dry hole.
19 So here we have a case here that within
20 really, 300 feet we have a well that's made allowable and we
21 have a well that should be a dry hole.

So it is a very risky business. We know
that all the geology that we've put into it, both the well
data and the geophysics, especially the geophysics, is not
infallible.

40

41 We have a high risk factor in here and we 1 can get some dry holes. 2 MR. KELLAHIN: For the record, 3 Mr. Examiner, this display which is marked as Exhibit One-A 4 is also shown in this package of exhibits for Case 9266 5 as Exhibit Twenty-one. 6 Q Let me direct your attention, now, 7 Mr. Barr, to Exhibit 22 for Case 9266, and would you identify 8 that exhibit for us? 9 Α This is an Isopach map of the Lower 10 Strawn Lime. It's in Township 16 South, 37 East, and at its 11 center is the Section 16 with the surrounding parts of those 12 sections surrounding it. 13 In this particular case we've identified 14 the Pennzoil acreage, as well as the proration units that we 15 proposed before the Commission a couple of months ago. 16 At that time we were requesting that --17 to drill the Pennzoil State Four, which is in the southeast 18 19 of the northwest quarter there in that 80-acre proration unit. We have subsequently drilled that well. It is a suc-20 cessful well and is now being -- we're pressure testing 21 right now but it will be put on production momentarily. 22 In looking at this portion of the Strawn 23 0 24 development in the area, it does demonstrate at relatively 25 large number of wells or tests for the Strawn. Can you use

ž

1017

25C16P3

this as an example to demonstrate for us the kinds of inher-1 ent risks that are involved in drilling for Strawn produc-2 tion even when you have wells 80 acres apart? 3 Α Oh, yes. I think it -- of course in Section 16 we drilled the Pennzoil Oil 16-1 down there, but 5 then we drilled the State 16-2 up there, which was on an in-6 tegrated anomaly from seismic and geology, and we ended up 7 with a dry hole and a thin section. 8 Subsequent to that time we drilled the 9 State 16-3 and had a successful well there and it's produc-10 ing today. 11 To the east of us is the Standard of Tex-12 as State B-15. It was a dry hole and Mobil has come back in 13 and attempted to recomplete that and I understand quite an 14 extensive recompletion attempt, and now dry. 15 Down in the southwest corner we do have 16 the State 16-1 and south of it the Pennzoil State 21 No. 17 1. It's a dry hole and it's sitting right between two produc-18 ing wells. 19 So there is a decided risk factor 20 here exploring for these mounds. 21 Let's go down to your specific geologic 22 0 evaluation of the well to be drilled in Section 14 23 pursuant to Case 9266, and in that regard let me direct your atten-24 tion to Exhibit Twenty-three in Case 9266. 25

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-01

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FREE

1011

2501623

FORM

42

43 Did you prepare that exhibit? 1 I certainly did. Α 2 Would you describe for the Examiner 0 the 3 information and data by which you made this interpretation? 4 Α What we've constructed is a series of 5 and most specifically an Isopach map over the whole maps 6 area, with your map up here, One-A. 7 We have a general thickening of the Lower 8 Strawn Lime from the west of less than 100 feet going to the 9 east of where the Strawn Lime is over 270 feet. A use of 10 well data and geophysics in the areas where we see an anoma-11 ly, then we zero in on that additional geophysics and any 12 other information that we gain, then we propose to drill a 13 well on that particular anomaly. 14 An example of this, or the problems that 15 can be inherent to this is an example of the 16-2, we 16 thought we had a good anomaly there and it's a dry hole. 17 0 In developing a location in Section 14, 18 did you have the benefit of having subsurface control within 19 the section? 20 А We have none within the section but we 21 a well in Section 13 to the east that was drilled have 22 by LL&E, had 270 feet of Lower Strawn Lime in it, and in Sec-23 tion 15 to the west, we have two wells there, one of them is 24 25 the NH (unclear) Petroleum Company and had 243 feet of Lower

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

250/673

FORM

BARON

Strawn Lime in it. The Standard of Texas well had 216 feet, 1 if I remember correctly. 2 So we did have some input but not in the 3 immediate section. 4 What is your opinion, then, of the geolo-5 0 gic risk and percentage risk factor penalty that ought to be 6 assessed for this specific well? 7 Α I think at least a minimum of 200 8 per-This has less well control around it and it's a much 9 cent. riskier endeavor. 10 0 Let's turn to the Isopach that you've 11 prepared in Case 9267, which I believe is identified as --12 Barr, referring you to Pennzoil Exhibit Number One Mr. 13 in 14 Case 9267, would you identify and describe that exhibit? A This is an Isopach map of 15 the Lower 16 Strawn Lime underlying the (unclear) location, the location for the well, and we show here that we do have an anomaly of 17 the Lower Strawn Lime thickness. 18 In addition, we do have well control to the southwest down here in the area of the 19 20 plat that's shown here. I might also add that we do have other well control in the area that's west of the location, 21 22 and that's the Magnolia (unclear) Shipp in Section 22, just 23 off the plat. 24 0 What is your opinion about the risk fac-25 tor penalty that ought to be assessed in this case for that

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

2501893

FORM

NONAR

44

well?

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

2501673

FORM

22

25

A I think it should be the 200 percent.
Q Let's turn now to the Isopach that
you're prepared for Case 9268, which is also marked as Exhibit Number One for that case.

Α Okay. This is an Isopach of the Lower 6 Strawn Lime under the Pennzoil No. 1 Simmons location, and 7 in this particular case we've shown a normal thickening of 8 the Lower Strawn Lime. As you can see here in this imme-Q diate area, we have well control here but yet we still have 10 a very high geological risk. 11

We have the Pennzoil No. 1 Waldron there, 12 which was drilled as a dry hole and it was offset to the 13 southwest by a successful well, the No. 1 Viersen. And im-14 mediately to the northeast of the No. 1 Waldron but just off 15 the plat are the Meyers and the Waldron wells, which both 16 were successful in the -- in producing their allowables on 17 their (inaudible.) 18

19 Q Again, Mr. Barr, for this particular well 20 what is your opinion and recommendation for a risk factor 21 penalty to be assessed?

A 200 percent.

23 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,24 that concludes my examination of Mr. Barr.

We would move the introduction

45

46 1 of the following exhibits: In Case 9266, Exhibits Twentyone through Twenty-three. In Case 9267, Exhibit One, 2 the 3 geologic portion of that exhibit. And then in 9268, the 4 geologic portion of Exhibit Number One. 5 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits Number 6 Twenty-one through Twenty-three in Case 9266 will be admit-7 ted as evidence. 8 Exhibit Number One, the geolo-9 gic portion of Exhibit Number One in Case 9267 will be 10 admitted into evidence. 11 And the geologic portion of Ex-12 hibit Number One in Case 9268 will be admitted into evidence. 13 14 And I have no questions of the 15 witness. 16 17 RANDY HODGINS, 18 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 19 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 23 Q All right, sir, would you please state 24 your name and occupation? 25 Α My name is Randy Hodgins and I'm a

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

A00-727-7434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

FORM 25CI6P3

ARON

47 petroleum engineer for Pennzoil Company. 1 Hodgins, have you previously testi-0 Mr. 2 fied as an engineer before the Oil Conservation Division? 3 А Yes, I have. 4 0 And have you made an evaluation and a 5 study of the estimated well costs that Pennzoil proposes for 6 each of these three wells? 7 Yes, I have. Α 8 Q Let me direct your attention to the AFE 9 that was introduced in Case 9266 and we'll take any of the 10 copies of that AFE. I will simply show you the one attached 11 to the Rio Pecos cover letter. Have you reviewed that AFE? 12 А Yes, I have. As a matter of fact, I pre-13 pared this AFE. 14 0 Would you describe generally the process 15 through on behalf of Pennzoil for the obtaining of you go 16 data in order to satisfy yourself that your AFE costs are 17 current and that they represent fair and reasonable costs? 18 Α Yes. I've been involved with this place. 19 20 It's just really gotten heated up, you could say, in the last couple of years, and I've -- I send out the contracts 21 for the drilling, make recommendations on who to use; did 22 the services and contract services and some supplies that we 23 need to drill these wells and I see the invoices when they 24 25 come in, and based on -- based on seeing these numbers on

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

800-227-2434

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

2501693

FORM

NONA

48 each well, that's the numbers that I use for the next AFE I 1 prepared for the next well. 2 How long have you been involved in making 3 the AFE estimates and reviewing actual well costs in the 4 Strawn area? 5 Α I've been involved in the past, either 6 preparing directly or assisting in preparing, all of our 7 AFE's for the past couple years in this area. 8 In approximately how many wells have 9 0 you participated in the preparation of the AFE's on for this 10 area? 11 Well, we've -- in the last two years with Α 12 the discovery of the Shipp Field, we've drilled nine wells. 13 I've been aware of the costs on all those wells and how 14 they've -- how they've been going up or down. And Pennzoil 15 16 has been active in the Northeast Lovington for almost twenty We've drilled -- in the past couple years that I've years. 17 been involved we've drilled three wells in Northeast Loving-18 ton, which I've seen the costs. 19 0 Let's take the proposed well costs 20 for the Case 9266 and have you simply tell the Examiner what the 21 estimated total costs are for that well. 22 23 Α That's the (unclear) Medlin? Yes, sir. 24 0 25 9266? Α

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

25CIEP3

FORM

NONAR

49 Q Yes. 1 The total dry hole cost is \$433,400. А Α 2 completed producer, we have estimated the cost of \$817,300. 3 When we turn to the well to be drilled 0 4 pursuant to Case 9267, it's the Mod Medlin No. 1. Let me 5 show you that AFE. Did you prepare that AFE? 6 Α Yes, I did. 7 And what are the estimated costs for that 0 8 well? 9 The estimated dry hole cost is \$430,900. А 10 The estimated completed cost, \$813,300. 11 All right, and with regards to the well 0 12 to be drilled for Case 9268, that's the Simmons No. 1 Well, 13 I'll show you that AFE which was attached to one of the not-14 ice letters, did you also prepared that AFE? 15 Yes, I did. А 16 And what are the estimated total costs 0 17 for that well? 18 Α The dry hole cost we have estimated 19 \$407,300; a completed cost we have estimated at \$772,050. 20 Q At the time you prepared those AFE's, 21 in your opinion did they represent fair and reasonable costs by 22 which the Examiner could enter forced pooling orders? 23 Yes. 24 Α 25 Have you had an opportunity since prepar-Q

TOLL

6P3

P.

NOAAS

50 ing those AFE's to re-examine the costs involved for each of 1 those wells? 2 Yes. А 3 Let me direct your attention to what 0 is 4 marked as Exhibit Number Twenty-four in Case 9266, which is 5 a letter from Rio Pecos to Pennzoil Company in which Mr. 6 Wilson attaches three different AFE's to that letter. 7 Are you familiar with that letter and 8 those AFE's? 9 А Yes. 10 What review and investigation did you 0 11 make concerning each of those AFE's that are depicted on 12 that Exhibit Twenty-four? 13 In anticipating questions from other Α 14 as wella s questions from the Examiner, I operators, 15 prepared an analysis of current drilling costs in the area. 16 0 And have you made that analysis and put 17 it in the form of a display? 18 19 Α Yes. Is that Exhibit Number 0 An exhibit? 20 Twenty-five? 21 Yes, it is. Α 22 0 Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 23 Number Twenty-five and have you lead us through the display, 24 demonstrating the way you have analyzed your costs versus 25

800-227-0120

NAT

000-227-2434

FREE

TOLL

2501693

EO.

51 the AFE's that Mr. Wilson sent you and what conclusions 1 you've reached about your costs. 2 All right, let's start with the exhibit 3 and first tell us how you've organized the information. 4 А Okay. Exhibit Number Twenty-five is --5 summarizes current drilling costs that we've seen in the 6 7 Lovington -- Northeast Lovington and Shipp Fields. It includes several Pennzoil operated wells, as well as several 8 non-Peenzoil operated wells. 9 The well name and operators is listed in 10 the extreme lefthand column. Each well has listed its pro-11 posed depth, the date at which the AFE was written. We have 12 shown the AFE, the estimated dry hole cost, the estimated 13 producer costs of all the AFE's, as compared with the actual 14 dry hole cost and actual producer cost of drilling these 15 wells. And we also show that -- the over and under cost of 16 the estimates as compared with the actual cost, as well as 17 some remarks out to the extreme righthand column. 18 Does this tabulation or summary include 0 19 the three AFE's that Mr. Wilson sent you? 20 Yes, it does. А 21 22 0 And would you identify those for us on Exhibit Twenty-five? 23 The first well is the Shipp 34-4, Α 24 oper-25 ated by Union of Texas Petroleum.

800-22

NA1

TOLL

Ĩ,

52 What's the next one? 0 1 Α The second well is the "BE" Shipp 28 No. 2 I think the name of that may have been -- Amerind is the 3 1. operator and they have changed that to the "BE" Shipp No. 3. 4 All right, and what's the third AFE? 0 5 A The third non-Pennzoil AFE is the Burton 6 "AER" Com No. 1, operated by Yates Petroleum. 7 Q What is your ultimate conclusion after 8 making a study and review of the AFE's, including those sub-9 mitted to you by Mr. Wilson, in deciding whether or not the 10 three AFE's you have shown the Examiner for each of the 11 three wells for today's pooling case are still fair and 12 reasonable? 13 Α I think -- I think the exhibit shows that 14 we have done a good job on trying to estimate what our ac-15 tual drilling costs are going to be. The exhibit, on some 16 of these outside operated wells, are -- shows that their 17 costs are somewhat lower than Pennzoil's but there's 18 19 there's reasons for that, which I don't know if you want to get into now. 20 We'll discuss them in a minute. 0 21 22 Α And really that Pennzoil is pretty much line with the industry as far as our AFE estimates in 23 and 24 our actual drilling costs. 25 Q In having the opportunity to re-examine

TOLL

2501693

53 your AFE and make comparisons between those three AFE's 1 you've presented today and what other operators are doing, 2 describe for us in what categories or general ways there ap-3 pears to be signifcant differences in dollars. There are several, several things that Α 5 Pennzoil traditionally does that I've noticed some of the 6 other -- other AFE's do not include, particularly the Amer-7 ind AFE. 8 Pennzoil uses new pipe. Amerind, I note, 9 uses -- sometimes uses used B-condition pipe. 10 The cost of tubulars, they're on the rise 11 now and that really makes a significant difference in the 12 AFE cost. 13 We core our wells. Our AFE's include 14 cost for a 60-foot core. 15 We log more, open hole. We do more open 16 logging to evaluate any potential behind pipe that's hole 17 above the Strawn, or primary target. 18 We -- we utilize vapor recovery units on 19 the production -- production facilities, which captures 20 vapors off of our production tanks, which we've found to be 21 economical and we can justify those costs. That's a sub-22 stantial -- that's roughly \$15,000 for a vapor recovery 23 unit. 24 25 We use LAC units to automatically sell

800-22

NAT.

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA

25C16P3

54 our oil, which is economical. We can sell oil twenty-four 1 hours a day. We've had good luck with those and the cost of 2 those are \$1250, \$1000. 3 Those are some major -- major items that I saw. 5 Is there any major difference in the cost 6 0 allocations for completion and stimulation of wells? 7 Did you see any of that? 8 Α No. 9 Have you made a further study with re-0 10 gards to the cost comparisons or estimted cost comparisons 11 between Strawn wells that were drilled last spring and what 12 you might expect to pay for the same kinds of wells in to-13 day's drilling? 14 Yes, I have. 15 Α Q Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 16 Number Twenty-six, Mr. Hodgins, and have you identify and 17 18 describe that exhibit. Α This exhibit is not meant to be used on 19 any particular AFE. It's merely an exhibit to show that 20 21 drilling costs are increasing. The biggest item that we see is increasing at an alarming rate is tubulars. This exhibit 22 23 shows percent increases just over the last -- from May to October, which -- which is -- drilling costs are increasing. 24 25 0 How did you arrive at these estimates?

FORM 25CIAP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

BARON

They are from actual invoices. From the А 1 May I used the 16 -- or the (unclear) 2 Well that we drilled 2 last spring. I used the invoices from that well as compared 3 with the invoices from a recent well, the 16-4, that we Δ drilled just last month. These numbers are either directly 5 off of invoices or directly from bids that we received. 6 In your opinion should any of the three Q 7 AFE's you'v submitted to the Examiner as reasonable costs 8 for the drilling of each of these wells, should any of those 9 be changed? 10 No. Α 11 In your opinion they still represent ac-0 12 curate and reasonably estimates of costs for the wells? 13 Yes. Α 14 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes 15 my examination of Mr. Hodgins. We would move the introduc-16 tion of his exhibits in Case 9266. They're Exhibits TWenty-17 four, Twenty-five, and Twenty-six. 18 MR. CATANACH: I'm sorry, could 19 you go over them again? 20 MR. **KELLAHIN:** Yes, sir. 21 They're intended to apply to all three cases but they 22 are numbered for identification as Exhibits Twenty-four, Twenty-23 five and TWenty-six in Case 9266. 24 MR. CATANACH: Okay, we already 25

55

BARON FORM 25CIEP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORMIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

56 admitted those, didn't we? ١ believe MR. KELLAHIN: I we 2 stopped at TWenty-three. 3 Okay, Exhibits MR. CATANACH: 4 Twenty-four, Twenty-five, and Twenty-Six in Case 9266 will 5 be admitted into evidence. 6 MR. That concludes KELLAHIN: 7 my examination of Mr. Hodgins. 8 9 CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. CATANACH: 11 Q Mr. Hodgins, there's a difference in the 12 -- in the AFE's for the Simmons No. 1 and the (unclear) 13 Medlin No. 1. What do you attribute that difference to? 14 The difference in total, total cost? Α 15 Q Yeah, right. 16 Α There's a difference in depth. That's 17 the main contributing factor. 18 MR. CATANACH: That's -- that's 19 all the questions I have. 20 The witness may be excused. 21 MR. That's KELLAHIN: all we 22 have, Mr. Examiner. 23 MR. CATANACH: Okay. There 24 being nothing further in Case 9266, 9267, and 9268, 25 these cases will be taken under advisement.

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

 $\overline{}$ 

57 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 5 I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY 6 CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the 7 Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; 8 that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record 9 of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability. 10 11 12 13 14 Salley W, Boyd CBR 15 16 17 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 18 a complete record of the proceedings in gast 19 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9246. 9.36 P heard by me on Movember 18 1987 20 atarach . Examiner 21 **Oil Conservation Division** 22 23 24 25

NA7

800-227 2434

TOLL FREE

2501693