

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

11 May 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

A nomenclature hearing, all in Lea CASE
County, New Mexico. 9375

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Charles E. Roybal
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1
2 MR. CATANACH: Case 9375, being
3 a nomenclature hearing, all in Lea County, New Mexico. is
4 improperly listed in this docket.

5 Case 9375 will be continued to
6 the Examiner's hearing scheduled for June 8, 1988.

7
8
9 (Hearing concluded.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the examiner hearing of Case No. 9375,
heard by me on May 11, 1988.
David R. Cate, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

8 June 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called
by the Oil conservation Division on
its own motion for an order creating,
assigning a discovery allowable, re-
classifying, and extending certain
pools in Lea County, New Mexico

CASE
9375
9411

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Robert G. Stovall
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico

For the Applicant:

1 MR. CATANACH: We'll call next
2 Case 9375.

3 In the matter of the hearing
4 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion
5 for an order creating, assigning a discovery allowable,
6 reclassifying and extending certain pools in Lea County,
7 New Mexico.

8 And also at this time we'll
9 call Case 9411, in the matter of the hearing called by the
10 Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order
11 creating, assigning a discovery allowable, and extending
12 certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico.

13 Are there appearances in these
14 cases?

15 MR. STOVALL: Robert G.
16 Stovall appearing on behalf of the Oil Conservation Divi-
17 sion.

18 I have one witness.

19 MR. CATANACH: Will the wit-
20 ness please stand and be sworn in?

21
22 (Witness sworn.)
23
24
25

1 ROY JOHNSON,
2 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
3 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
4

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. STOVALL:

7 Q Would you please state your name, by
8 whom you're employed, and in what capacity?

9 A My name is Roy Johnson. I'm employed by
10 the State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Division, as
11 Senior Geologist.

12 Q Have you previously testified before the
13 Commission and had your qualifications accepted?

14 A They have

15 Q Are you prepared to make recommendations
16 to the Examiner concerning the nomenclature of certain
17 pools in Lea County, New Mexico?

18 A I am.

19 MR. STOVALL: I'd first ask
20 that the witness be accepted.

21 MR. CATANACH: He is so
22 qualified.

23 Q Are your recommendations prepared in the
24 form of an exhibit?

25 A They are.

1 Q And how is that exhibit identified?

2 A As Exhibit One. Both of them are as
3 Exhibit One.

4 Q All right, Exhibit One in each -- you
5 have an Exhibit One in each case, is that correct?

6 A In each case.

7 Q Would you please refer to Exhibit One in
8 Case 9375 and tell the Examiner what that exhibit says?
9 Are there any differences between that exhibit and the
10 docket that's been distributed?

11 A There are no differences between the
12 exhibit and the docket in either case.

13 Q In either case? Okay. Was each of the
14 exhibits prepared by you or under your supervision and do
15 you have knowledge of its accuracy?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you have anything further to add in
18 these cases?

19 A Nothing at all.

20 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, we
21 would move the admission of Exhibit One in each of these
22 two cases.

23 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit One in
24 Case 9375 and Exhibit One in Case 9411 will be accepted as
25 evidence in these two cases.

1 One comment. Case 9375 was
2 originally advertised for May 25th, 1988, and had to be
3 readvertised; however, the order making these changes
4 effective will be made effective June 1st, 1988.

5 I just wanted to make that
6 known on the record.

7 And is that all you have in
8 these cases?

9 MR. STOVALL: That's all I
10 have.

11 MR. CATANACH: Cases 9375
12 and 9411 will be taken into consideration.

13 And there being nothing
14 further in these cases they will be taken under advisement.

15
16 (Hearing concluded.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9375-1904
heard by me on June 5 1958.

David R. Cichan, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division