1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO  9 August 1989		
4			
5			
6	EXAMINER HEARING		
7	IN THE MATTER OF:		
8	Application of Steve Sell for directional CASE		
9	drilling, an unorthodox gas well location, 9720 non-standard gas proration unit, and to		
10	amend Order No. R-8928, Eddy County, New Mexico.		
11			
12			
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner		
14			
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
16			
17	APPEARANCES		
18	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall		
19	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division		
20	State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico		
21	For Steve Sell: W. Thomas Kellahin		
22	Attorney at Law KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY		
23	P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504		
24			
25			

		2
1	INDEX	_
2		
3	STATEMENT BY MR. KELLAHIN	3
4		
5	CURT BOLEY	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	4
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	13
8		
9	LARRY MASSEY	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	20
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	21
12		
13		
14	EXHIBIT	
15		
16	Steve Sell Exhibit One, Structural Map and	
17	Cross Section	5
18	Steve Sell Exhibit Two, Profile	24
19	Steve Sell Exhibit Three, Profile	24
20	Steve Sell Exhibit Four, Certificate of Mailing	12
21		
22		
23 24		
25		

1 Call next Case MR. STOGNER: 2 Number 9720. 3 Application of MR. STOVALL: 4 Steve Sell for directional drilling and unorthodox gas well 5 location, nonstandard gas proration unit, and the amend 6 Order R-8928, Eddy County, New Mexico. 7 MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-8 ances. MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, 10 I'm Tom Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin, Kel-11 lahin & Aubrey, appearing on behalf of the applicant and I 12 have two witnesses to be sworn. 13 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 14 other appearances? 15 Will the witnesses please 16 stand and be sworn? 17 18 (Witnesses sworn.) 19 20 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I 21 have two witnesses this morning, Mr. Curt Boley, who's a 22 petroleum geologist. He spells his last name B-O-L-E-Y. 23 Mr. Boley testified on -- at the original hearing which re-24 sulted in the directional drilling being approved for the 25

drilling of this Shafer Federal Com Well. It was Case 9643. The prior order is R-8928. It was entered by the Division on May of 1989. Mr. Boley is going to talk about the drilling of that well pursuant to the order and then his desire to re-enter the same wellbore and directionally drill now to the southeast area of the spacing unit.

My second witness is Mr. Larry Massey. He spells his last name M-A-S-S-E-Y. Mr. Massey is an engineer with DIG and will talk about the directional directional drilling survey itself.

We've prepared for your consideration a draft order for entry in this case and I'll show it to you as a sample so that as we go through the technical presentation if there are any questions the witnesses are available to answer those questions.

## CURT BOLEY,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q Mr. Boley, for the record would you please state your name and occupation?

A Curt Boley, petroleum geologist.

1 Mr. Boley, where do you reside, sir? Q 2 Midland, Texas. Α 3 What is your relationship with Steve Q 4 Sell, the applicant in Case 9720? 5 I'm a consultant for Steve Sell. Α 6 On a prior occasion have you testified Q 7 as a petroleum geologist on behalf of Mr. Sell for the ap-8 proval of a downhole location and directional drilling of 9 the Shafer Federal Com Well? 10 Yes, I did. Α 11 And pursuant to that employment are you Q 12 again appearing before the Division as a petroleum geolo-13 gist? 14 Α Yes. 15 Pursuant to that employment have you Q 16 made a further geologic study of this particular area? 17 Α I have. 18 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. 19 Boley as an expert petroleum geologist. 20 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Boley is so 21 qualified. 22 Boley, I think it might be helpful, Q Mr. 23 if you would go to what is marked as Exhibit Number sir. 24 which is the display on the wall, and before you be-25 gin describing the details of the display, if you'll simply

explain to us what information you have put on Exhibit Number One.

Mell, on Exhibit One I have a structure map on top of the Cisco (unclear) contour interval. I've got an enlarged one inch equals 500 foot scale of the Section 35, Township 21 South, Range 24 East, showing the surface the location and the bottom hole location from the previous order and showing the proposed bottom hole location (not clearly understood.)

Q Have you also constructed a cross section, structural cross section, that's shown on Exhibit Number One?

A Yes, I have, from west to east showing the separation.

Q Based upon a study of the information shown on the structural cross section, Mr. Boley, what is it that you're trying to accomplish now with the redrilling of the Shafer Well?

A Well, the cross section goes -- starts west to east, A to A', from Section 33 of Township 21 South, Range 24 East, to Section 6 of Township 22 South, Range 25 East.

It shows, basically, from the Indian Basin Pool itself a dip of approximately 250 feet per mile from the Section 33 Well in Township 21 South, Range 24

East, through our bottom hole location on our original Shafer Well in Section 35, Township 21 South, Range 24 East.

It shows a 250 feet per mile dip and our Shafer No. 1, the original well, bottom hole location is -3972.

Then going to the HEYCO Anadarko Federal No. 1 Well in Section 35 of Township 21 South, Range 24 East, from our original well to this well it shows going up dip from -3972 to -3945, as indicated on the cross section, showing an algal mound bioherm somewhat close to us because it's in this section, and then from the HEYCO Anadarko Well on to the Atlantic Canyon Well at Section 6, Township 22 South, Range 25 East, showing he resumption of the 250-foot per mile dip on off the shelf.

So we see a definite reversal of dip here, indicating another structural trap and it's also further separated by the pressures in the wells. The only well still producing on this entire map, Indian Basin or any other, well, actually of thirty wells, the well in Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 24 East, is a Cisco well in another field, McKittrick Hills, and the well in the northeast corner of Section 11, Township 22 South, Range 24 East, is a Morrow well. The only other productive Cisco Well on this entire map is in Section 29 of Township

21 South, Range 24 East, and it's the only well still productive from the Cisco that hasn't watered out.

The last reported bottom hole pressure available on that particular well was, as of September, 1987, is 1829 pounds. Currently the wells -- currently, wells producing just a little further to the east of Section 29, Township 21 South, Range 24 East, excuse me, to the west, currently have a bottom hole pressure of around 1600 pounds.

Our bottom hole pressure in the original Shafer Well is 2110 in two and a half hours.

The HEYCO Anadarko Well, drilled in 1979, had 1600 -- 1824 pounds shutin 60 minutes, and in 5-1/2 hours it had 2347 pounds, so we can see a pressure difference between these two wells, the HEYCO Anadarko and the Steve Sell Shafer Federal No. 1 to the wells still producing in Indian Basin at a much lower pressure. That further indicates we have another trap besides the structural reversal in dip.

Q What's the primary objective of the redrilling of the Shafer well?

A Well, it's to get as high as possible from -- we're keying off the HEYCO Anadarko Federal Well. The well almost made a well and it flowed large volumes of gas, up to 2.9-million cubic feet a day. It flowed up to

3-to-400 barrels of oil a day before it watered out rather quickly within a month, and we feel like this well is (unclear) of an algal mound bioherm. At least that's my opinion.

Q Did the first directional drilling of the Shafer Well result in your ability to produce hydrocarbons out of this formation?

A No, it did not. It's -- initially we thought the high could be west, the high could be east. We didn't know which side, actually, it was on. We needed another point.

At the time it made more sense that the structural buildup was to the west and we drilled the well and it -- the structural high is not to the west and basically now we have quite a bit of control. We believe the structure to be in this vicinity marked with a red dot on the map of Exhibit One.

Q Why can't you drill the proposed well to a standard bottom hole location as opposed to the proposed unorthodox bottom hole location?

A Well, based on compilation of a lot of data, this particular bioherm, I've mapped it several ways.

I've had some other geologists map it and from that information, one, and number two, from our formation microscanner and dipmeter from Schlumberger, interpreted by

their really world-known dipmeter expert, Mr. Mike Grace,
we talked in depth (unclear) formation microscanner and the
dips and everything we see off this reef, he believes this
to be an optimum location and mapping it, no matter how you
map the structural high, this location should be high
enough on all the maps that I've done.

Q This location being the proposed unorthodox bottom hole location for the redrill?

A Yes, 2500 from the east line, 900 from the south, and basically, to get orthodox as to the interior 40 acres, we would have to go almost approximately 190 feet more to the east and based on the size of these, I would be a little uncomfortable deviating the well on more to the east that much further. I just would hate to go off the other side and I want to stay as close as possible to our initial show well and not -- not drill too far away from being as high as possible.

Q What is the basis for re-entering the Shafer well and using it as a well to then directionally drill from as opposed to simply drilling another well overlying the location that you chose as the optimum location in the south half of the section?

A We spent approximately \$30,000 on this location and -- and from our kickoff point to surface we have approximately \$160,000 worth of wellbore in use.

That's a strong incentive.

Number two, we -- there's a very hard -- actually a very difficult problem in setting your intermediate in this area. Most operators have one inch intermediate casing and we flowed and circulated cement to surface on our intermediate casing and that is sort of unusual but it was nice that we circulated because we are in the Capitan Reef area and there are pays in this area (not clearly understood) intermediate casing, so, basically, it's economics that's our reasoning, and we've had an archaeological survey and our (unclear) are ready to go. It's \$160,000 worth of hole and we're ready to go.

Q In what way is your well, as you understand it, to be unorthodox? Why is it an unorthodox bottom hole location?

A It's unorthodox bottom hole location because it's less than 330 feet from the interior 40 acres.

Q You meet the offsetting side boundary requirements for a standard well location?

A Yes.

Q And it's the interior 160 acres in the 320 that is encroached on.

A Yes.

Q The bottom hole location is located, then, in the southwest of the southeast of 35?

1	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	If you 'll return to your seat, now, Mr.
3	Boley.	
4		The advertisement indicates that this
5	section is a nons	tandard size section?
6	A	Yes, it is.
7	Q	Can you describe in a general way why it
8	is unorthodox or	a nonstandard section?
9	A	It's slightly larger than 640 acres.
10	I'm trying to thi	nk of it's it's slightly more than a
11	mile north to so	uth and approximately a mile east to west,
12	so it's slightly	taller, if you will, than it should be and
13	that's why it's a	little bit larger than 640 acres.
14	Q	If the south half of Section 5 is dedi-
15	cated to this	as a wildcat Pennsylvanian gas well, will
16	that south half c	ontain 334.47 acres, approximately?
17	A	Yes, it will.
18	Q	Let me show you what is marked as Exhi-
19	bit Number Four.	We'll skip Exhibit Number Two and Three.
20		MR. KELLAHIN: Exhibit Number
21	Four is a certifi	cate of mailing, Mr. Examiner.
22	Q	In sending out notices for the hearing
23	of this matter,	Mr. Boley, would you describe to the
24	Examiner, first o	of all, what parties you contacted?
25	Δ	We contacted the lease owners in Sec-

1 tion 2, Section 1, 2 and 3, Township 22 South, Range 24 2 East, and also Section 36 of Township 21 South, Range 24 3 East, which was Mitchell Energy; Sections 1 and 2 of Township 22 South, Range 24 East was, excuse me, is Near-5 burg Producing Company; and Section 3, Township 22 South, 6 Range 24 East, is Yates Petroleum, and we noted, either by 7 FAX or by hand delivery, all of these people. 8 And that notification took place on July Q 9 18th for the hearing today? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes 12 my examination of Mr. Boley, Mr. Examiner. 13 We would move the introduction 14 at this time of his Exhibits One and Four. 15 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One and 16 Four will be admitted into evidence. 17 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. STOGNER: 20 Q Mr. 21 the Pennsylvanian formation here. Let's be a little bit

Q Mr. Boley, what -- we're talking about the Pennsylvanian formation here. Let's be a little bit more specific. What portions of the Pennsylvanian formation are you going to be testing and how deep are you actually going to test this new directional well?

22

23

24

25

A Well, approximately it's going to be the

```
1
    upper Pennsylvanian. It's going to be Cisco only, basic-
 2
    ally. We're not interested in deep formations.
 3
             Q
                       Okay.
             Α
                       The approximate depth of this should be
 5
    approximately 7780 feet true vertical depth and, of course,
6
    measured depth on this would be 8,175 feet, approximately.
7
                       Now that is the true vertical depth in
             Q
8
    which we went on on your sidetracked well to the east, is
9
    that correct, was 7 -- about 7780?
10
                       Yes, sir.
             Α
11
             Q
                       Okay.
12
             Α
                       Approximately, yes.
13
             Q
                       Did that go into the Morrow or did you
14
    just --
15
             Α
                       No,
                            no, it did not go deep enough for
16
    the Morrow, which -- we didn't elect to go that deep.
17
                                 MR. STOGNER: Let's go off the
18
    record for awhile and let me go get something from my
19
    office.
20
21
                   (Thereupon a recess was taken.)
22
23
                                  MR.
                                       STOGNER: Back on the re-
24
    cord.
25
             Q
                       Mr.
                            Boley,
                                     in your application you've
```

requested that this well be properly classified as a wild-cat well in the Pennsylvanian formation, and the Pennsylvanian formation is because we're talking about everything within the Pennsylvanian down to the base of the Morrow.

And today you tell me that the Morrow is not going to be considered, so I guess I can assume that that portion of the ad that says to a depth sufficient to test the Morrow formation within 200 foot of a point 900 feet from the south line and 2500 feet from the east line could properly be considered from the Cisco formation, in the Cisco formation within those same parameters, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. Now then, whenever I look at the nomenclature in this area, I show that your proposed proration unit is within a mile, or is exactly a mile, from the old Indian Basin Upper Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, which is based on 640.

Let's look at that aspect of this application a little bit and have you explain to me why this particular well should be spaced on 320-acre statewide and why it's not a part of that Indian Basin Upper Pennsylvanian Pool.

A Yes, sir, if I may stand up. Yes. The separation here separates it from the Indian Basin Pool,

1

the structural separation.

The closest well to this location is approximately 2.7 miles. It's in the Indian Basin over here in Section 33, Township 21 South, Range 24 East.

Now that well's been shut in for 17 years because it watered out in 1972.

As you -- as you can see, we're approximately 300 feet down dip from a well that watered out 17 years ago and we have a big reversal in dip on our structure at -- just as the McKittrick Hills small algal mound it's a separate -- it's a separate trap, and we know it's separate, one, because we're dipping 250 feet a mile, then all of a sudden, you know, it gets to the Steve (unclear) Federal Well in Section 35, Township 21 Sell South, Range 24 East, to the HEYCO Anadarko Well in Section 35 of the same township and range, we started going back up dip again, and we have a reversal in regional -- in regional dip there, and also these -- these two wells exhibit a much higher bottom hole pressure than any current producing well in the Indian Basin Pool itself, and that's any well in the whole pool, the whole 56 square mile pool.

Poolwide the pressure is approximately 14-to-1600 pounds, if you look at all the wells still producing in the Indian Basin Pool itself.

So we're separated by -- the closest

25

5

2

3

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

1 well is 2.7 miles and we've the structural reversal and 2 we've got higher pressures, so if we thought this was even 3 a part of this field, we wouldn't drill the well because, obviously, it better be a separate trap or otherwise it 5 would be way too low --6 Now what --Q 7 -- structurally. Α 8 What did you say the -- let's see, Q 9 pressure in the Indian Basin areawide or poolwide is 1400 10 psi? 11 1400 to 1600 psi. Α 12 And you're expecting what kind of a Q 13 pressure? 14 I'm expecting, probably, 2600 pound Α 15 bottom hole pressure. 16 Q Is that what you saw in your original 17 deviated hole in the Cisco? 18 Well, what we saw is -- first of all, we 19 stuck our DST tool and lost circulation in the reef itself, 20 and we had a bottom hole pressure in 2-1/2 hours of 2110 21 pounds. We could read that but extrapolating the curve on 22 that DST was a little difficult because we (unclear) trying 23 to get out of the hole.

The HEYCO Well, as you can see, a 60-minute shutin was only 1824; 5-1/2 hour was 2347. If we

24

```
1
    had shut our well in longer, we would have seen a little
2
    higher pressure, more like this HEYCO Anadarko Federal Well
3
    next to the Steve Sell Federal, and the McKittrick Hills,
    this one well Cisco Field, has about -- had originally
5
    about 2600 pounds, and I assume we will have approximately
6
    that pressure if we get in the good porosity and get ap-
7
    proximately just 25 feet high to the HEYCO Anadarko (not
8
    clearly audible).
9
             Q
                       Now the McKittrick Hills, is
                                                        that a
10
    Morrow Pool or a Cisco?
11
             Α
                       Well, sir, the well in Section 11 --
12
             Q
                       Uh-huh.
13
             Α
                       -- Township 22 South, Range 24 East, is
14
    a Morrow well.
15
                       The well in Section 12,
                                                    Township 22
16
    South, Range 24 East, is a Cisco well.
17
                       Has that been designated a part of the
             0
18
    pool at this point?
19
                       It's the McKittrick Hills Upper Penn
20
    Pool and I believe they dedicated the south half of that
21
    Section 12 there, 22, 24, as a (unclear).
22
                       The McKittrick Hills Upper Penn?
             Q
23
                       Yes, sir.
             Α
24
                       And what kind of pressures did they see
             Q
25
    in the Cisco formation in that well in Section 12?
```

19 1 They originally had 2600 pounds and I Α 2 believe currently the pressure is -- I'm not sure and I'll 3 tell you why. They just -- they drilled the well in the 4 late seventies for the Cisco, and it made up to 6.2-billion 5 cubic feet of gas and they had some downhole problems and 6 casing collapsed, and they just redrilled it again. It's 7 been making 8-million a day for the last 4 months or 5 8 months (unclear) no water, and I'm -- I'm not aware of what 9 the pressures currently are in that well. It's about 1700 10 I believe the well's been producing for 12 years, pounds. 11 I'm almost certain. 12 Okay, back to the Indian Basin Penn, 13 what -- do you know what some of the initial pressures that 14 we saw in some of those wells are? 15 Α Yes, sir, it was fieldwide 2900 pounds. 16 2900 pounds. Q 17 1964 and 1965. Almost every well was Α 18 2910 or 2900 pounds, every well. 19 Let's see, on your original deviation 20 where you said you lost the DST tool. 21 Α Well, we -- we were stuck with our DST 22 tool. 23 Oh, you were stuck, you didn't lose it. 24 Q No, we just almost lost it; came within Α

losing it. (Unclear) 5 or 6 hours

25

about 30 minutes of

1 before we (unclear), and that's one of the reasons we did 2 not elect to go to the Morrow at that time, because we 3 didn't feel like we could drill (unclear). THEREPORTER: Do you want 5 that on the record? 6 Α I'm sorry. 7 THE REPORTER: You'll have to 8 say it again. 9 MR. KELLAHIN: Repeat it. 10 That's one of the reasons we elected not Α 11 to drill to the Morrow because we felt we would get stuck 12 in the Cisco formation. 13 MR. STOGNER: I have no other 14 questions of this witness. 15 Are there any further ques-16 tions of Mr. Boley? 17 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. 18 MR. STOGNER: He may be ex-19 cused at this time. 20 21 LARRY MASSEY, 22 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 23 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 24 25

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q Mr. Massey, would you please state your name and occupation?

A I'm Larry Massey. I work for DIG. I'm a directional drilling consultant.

Q And where do you reside, Mr. Massey?

A In Midland.

Q Have you on a prior occasion testified before the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico?

A No, I haven't.

Q Would you take a few moments and describe for us your educational background?

A I went to Texas State, where I studied accounting and worked in the Permian Basin in the directional drilling business for fifteen years, seven for Eastman Whipstock and eight years for DIG.

Describe for us what, if any, involvement you had on behalf of your company with the directional drilling of the Shafer Federal Well pursuant to the original order, R-8928.

A I dealt with Dennis Moore of -- for Miller and Associates on the initial planning of the well, initial engineering, and I did some of the directional survey work on the well initially and on a day to day basis

I took morning drilling reports from our directional drilling operator, Millard Jones, that drilled the well.

Q Describe for us what you have done with regards to the drilling program for the directional drilling now, the second directional drilling, if you will, of the Shafer Federal Well?

A With the sidetrack and redrill we -- we intend to have a 250-foot cement sidetrack plug laid at 3900 feet and sidetrack the well, sidetrack the well with -- as an 8-3/4 wellbore with a high speed mud motor and steering tool orientation to -- to the proposed trajectory of south 75 degrees east.

Our intention is to build 4 to 5 degrees of angle with the sidetrack motor assembly; then to trip back into the hole with the conventional fulcrum angle building assembly and build this well up at 2 degrees per 100 foot of measured depth, up to a tangent angle of 28 degrees.

At that time we -- our intention is to go back in the hole with a stiff, fully packed bottom hole assembly and drill the well to TD with correction runs as required along the wellbore.

Problems that we -- that we expect to encounter is that during the building section of the well on the initial drill of the Shafer we had some radical

directional walk back to the southwest and we're anticipating some sort of radical walk on this well. We can't really anticipate the direction at this time, though.

Q How often are you involved on behalf of your company with the directional drilling of wells of this nature?

A The current market for our services lends itself strongly to redrilling of existing wells. I would say that we do 15 to 25 a year out of the DIG Midland office.

Q Is the proposed directional drilling program proposed for the Shafer Federal No. 1 Well, how would you characterize that program in relation to other types of directional drilling?

A I'm not sure if I follow you, Tom.

Q Well, there are all different kinds of directional drilling programs. Some are more difficult, more complicated, more -- more exotic than others. Some are what might be categorized as more routine.

Where within that range does this particular well fall?

A This is fairly straightforward. The -- as far as categorizing the well, the sidetrack features of this well will be simpler than most redrills. We're expecting a considerable directional control problem on this

1 well and this is an extended reach directional well common 2 in -- common to Lea County, where the majority of our work 3 is, we'll 3-to-600 foot kicks. Eddy County tends to see 4 longer directional kicks which presents additional prob-5 lems. 6 Normally, for economic purposes, it re-7 quires larger targets. 8 Other than testifying for today's hear-Q 9 ing, what involvement will you have with regards to the 10 drilling of this well? 11 I will be the directional coordinator in 12 the office taking day to day phone calls from our operator 13 and translating -- translating this information and making 14 explanation to the SDX office. 15 At this time, MR. KELLAHIN: 16 Stogner, we tender Mr. Massey as an expert drilling 17 engineer. 18 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Massev is so 19 qualified as a directional drilling expert --20 Q Mr. Massey --21 MR. STOGNER: -- with his ex-22 perience and what he's testified today. 23 Q Mr. Massey, let me show you Exhibit 24 Number Two, which is the directional drilling profile. 25 It's been reduced on Exhibit Number Three. You may use

either one, and have you reviewed both of those exhibits?

Exhibit Number Two and Exhibit Number Three?

A Yes, I have.

Q And to the best of your opinion and belief are the information shown on those two displays true and accurate?

A The information is correct.

Q Take either one, and perhaps the smaller one is easier to work with, if you'll take Exhibit Number Three, describe for us whether or not in your opinion a 200 foot radius target at the true vertical depth in the Cisco formation is a reasonable target.

A It would be a -- be a reasonable target in that a 50 or 100 foot target would be impractical from the economical standpoint of the operator.

What we've got here at the kickoff point, we've got a perspective of a moderately wide target to hit. If we have angular control, holding our angle, which we'd anticipate towards the lower end of the well will have latitude to still be inside the legal target limits, if we have the radical directional walk tendency that the first drill of the Shafer exhibited, we we maybe can prevent something like a \$16,000 correction motor run.

The way this is laid out, we expect the initial sidetrack motor run and we expect one correction

run somewhere in the interval of the angle building portion, and with the 200 foot target, we should be able to
stiff, stiff pack the assembly to stay within the confines
of the 200 foot restriction.

What's the basis for the kickoff point
shown on the display of approximately 3900 feet?

Why -- why has that been selected as a kickoff point?

A We initially drilled the Shafer with a kickoff off bottom at 4900 feet, so definitely we wanted to stay above the doglegs employed in the wellbore at that depth.

Casing set at 2500 feet and so we selected the 3900-foot interval not due to any formation requirements but due to the fact that 28 degree trajectory is a -- is comfortable range of angle to work with. We worked with a 15 degree trajectory on the initial drill of the Shafer and feel like that's -- that's a portion of the directional control problems we incurred.

Boosting the angle up will have more directional stability once we get the angle boosted.

Q How often will you survey the direction of the directional drilling? How often will you run a survey of where you are?

A After the initial kickoff, through the

1 build section we'll take single shot wireline surveys on 60 2 to 90 foot intervals because this is what we consider the 3 critical stage of the directional part of this well. 4 After we get -- after we get our angle 5 up, our direction locked in with the appropriate packed 6 hole assembly, we'll stretch our surveys out to the 120 to 7 150 foot interval so as to economically -- as an economic 8 thing to not over-survey the well. Our intention is to go 9 in the hole at around 6500 feet and run a drop multishot 10 survey to correct single shot coordinates to coincide with 11 legal multishot coordinates so that we will have a minimal 12 error and yet have room to make any -- any corrections, and 13 that would be an optional thing if we're not coming in 14 strong to the center of the target. 15 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes 16 my examination of Mr. Massey. 17 We would move the introduction 18 of Exhibits Two and Three. 19 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits Two and 20 Three will be admitted into evidence.

21

22

24

25

## CROSS EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. STOGNER:

> Q Mr. Massey, let's see, the original kickoff point was at 4900 feet?

		20
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	With a maximum angle of 15
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	degrees? Let's see, you're going to
5	be coming out o	on the new kickoff point in what size of
6	casing?	
7	А	9-5/8ths casing is set at 2500 feet.
8	Q	Oh.
9	А	We're drilling 8-3/4 wellbore.
10	Q	And the 9-5/8ths was set at what, again?
11	А	2500.
12	Q	2500 feet. So you're going to be coming
13	out of an open hol	e, not a
14	A	Yeah, we'll have 1400 foot of hole be-
15	tween us and (uncl	ear).
16	Q	On your initial buildup, what kind of
17	a formation is tha	t? Is that a sandstone or a limestone or
18	what are we lookir	ıg at?
19	A	We're looking at a shaly formation.
20	Q	Do you know what that is, by chance?
21	A	No, sir, I don't.
22		MR. STOGNER: Mr. Boley, do
23	you remember?	
24		MR. BOLEY: At what depth are
25	you talking about?	

```
1
                                  MR.
                                        STOGNER:
                                                    During
                                                             the
2
    initial buildup period.
3
                                                  The
                                                        original
                                  MR.
                                        BOLEY:
4
    kickoff at 4900?
5
                                  MR.
                                        STOGNER:
                                                   No,
                                                        on your
6
    proposed --
7
                                  MR. BOLEY: Oh, at 3900.
8
                                  MR. STOGNER: Yes.
9
                                  MR. BOLEY: That would be, oh,
10
    call it Lower Glorieta. It's not (unclear) formation.
11
                       What does DIG stand for?
             Q
12
             Α
                       Directional Investment Guidance.
13
                       Okay.
             Q
14
                       That's why we say DIG.
             Α
15
                                  MR.
                                       STOGNER: I have no fur-
16
    ther questions then.
17
                                  Okay, this witness may be ex-
18
    cused.
19
                                        Kellahin, do you have
                                  Mr.
20
    anything else?
21
                                  MR. KELLAHIN: We have nothing
22
    further, Mr. Examiner.
23
                                  MR. STOGNER: Do you have any-
24
    thing further in this case?
25
                                  MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
```

MR. STOGNER: Does anybody else have anything further in Case Number 9720? This case will be taken under advisement. (Hearing concluded.) 

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Salley W. Boyd CSTZ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a commise record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9730 Travet 1989: heard by me on,

\_, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division