BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Roswell, New Mexico May 15, 1963 FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Newmont Oil Company for an amendment of Order No. R-2178-B. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-2178-B, which order established an Case 2805 administrative procedure for expansion by stages of the Loco Hills Sand Unit Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Said amendment is necessitated Mexico. by unexpected delay in commencing initial injection in the unit area. SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-397' BEFORE: Honorable Jack M. Campbell Mr. A. L. "Pete" Porter Mr. E. S. "Johnny" Walker TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. PORTER: We'll move on to Case 2805. MR. DURRETT: Application of Newmont Oil Company for an amendment of Order No. R-2178-B. MR. RUSSELL: I'm John F. Russell of Roswell appearing ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691 on behalf of Newmont Oil Company, and I have one witness. (Witness sworn.) MR. PORTER: At this time I would like to ask if there will be other appearances in this case. You may proceed. Mr. Ruswell. We'll ask your witness to stand and be sworn.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.



CHARLES C. LANGDON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RUSSELL:

Q Will you state your name, residence and position with the Newmont Oil Company?

A My name is Charles C. Langdon, Fort Worth, Texas. I'm Vice President and Manager of the Land and Legal Departments, Newmont Oil Company.

Q Are you familiar with the application which has been filed in Case 2805?

A I am.

Q Will you please explain to the Commission the purpose and reason for this application?

A Yes. At the hearing under 2178-A, I beg your pardon, 2178-B, the hearing date being had on June 7, 1962, and the order issued June 14, 1962, the Commission authorized Newmont to proceed by stages in waterflooding the West Loco Hills Grayburg No. 4 Sand Unit, the first stage to be commenced on January 1, 1963 and subsequent stages to be commenced at six-month intervals through five stages.

Unfortunately, we were a little optimistic as to understanding



the time it might take to finalize all of the instruments necessary to conclude this unit and secure the signatures. We are presently running approximately eight months behind. At this point we anticipate that, and perhaps optimistically, that our unit will become effective around July 1, or perhaps August 1 of this year, and for this reason we are unable to meet the authorized commencement date of the various stages set out in the Order R-2178-B. We are now seeking a resetting of those commencement dates on the various stages.

Q Are you requesting that the commencement date for Stage 1 be the effective date of your unit agreement?

A No. On the effective date of our unit agreement we anticipate putting on the buffer zone which has been previously authorized by Order No. 2178 issued on 1-30-62, and approximately six months thereafter we will commence our Stage 1.

Q Then, the commencement date of Stage 1 you would like to have six months after the effective date of the unit agreement, is that correct?

A That is correct.

A

Q And then each subsequent stage you intend to keep the same time element as is in Order 2178-B, namely each stage to commence six months after the commencement of the prior stage?

That is correct, yes.



Q And in all other respects do you request that Order R-2178-B remain in effect?

A Yes, I did.

Q Do you have anything further you would like to say in this regard to the Commission?

A If possible I would like for the order to read that our first stage will not be on a certain date, but will read "to commence six months from the effective date of the unit", the effective date being the date that the Director of the Department of Interior finally approved our unit agreement.

I would be certainly willing to have a limitation on that date, preferably not later than September 1, 1963 being the effective date of the unit. Thereafter, the first stage would commence at some time between now and September 1st, 1963, but not later than September 1, 1963.

I feel that with that spread of dates that certainly we should be able to get the unit finally approved, and for the further information of the Commission I would like to say that we now of the agreements in final form, we have approximately 94% of the working interest signed up and we anticipate having a hundred percent within the next two to three weeks. We have well over the 75% of the royalty interest that the United States Geological Survey insists we must have for final approval, and



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. PHONE 983-3971 ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

	FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182	we should h	ave no other p	problem.
		М	R. RUSSELL: 1	hat concludes the applicant's case.
			<u>c</u>	ROSS EXAMINATION
Inc.		BY MR. PORTER:		
		Q A	s I understand	, the only change you are asking for
		in the pres	ent order is t	o extend the date forward?
ĴĒ,	santa fe, n. m. Phone 983-3971	A E	xactly. That'	s all.
DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		Q. A	nd you would b	e willing to put a limitation of
		September 1	st, 1963?	
		A A	s of the effec	tive date of the unit, and thereafter
		we would be required to commence the first stage six months		
		from that d	ate.	
		<u>ନ୍</u> ଟ	ix months afte	er you started the first stage?
		A Y	es.	
		М	R. PORTER: An	y questions?
		BY MR. NUTT	ER:	
	ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691	Q T	hat first six-	months period would be the time in
		which you p	ut the buffer	zone on injection, would it not?
		A 1	hat is true.	We anticipate immediately upon the
		effective d	ate to commend	e the buffer stage, and six months
		after the b	uffe r sta ge ha	s been on we anticipate and plan to
		start our S	tage l.	
		M	R. NUTTER: Th	ank you.
	1			



PAGE 7

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691 MR. PORTER: Anyone have a question of the witness? He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. PORTER: Is there anything further to be offered in this case? The Commission will take the case under advisement

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Roswell, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 4th day of June, 1963.

Public-Court Notary

My commission expires:

June 19, 1963.

