Docket No. 4-66

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 26, 1966

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2720: (Reopened and continued from the January 5, 1966 Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case No. 2720 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2397-B which continued the original order for an additional year, establishing special rules governing the production of oil and gas wells in the Double-X Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including classification of wells as gas wells when the gas-liquid hydrocarbon ratio exceeds 30,000 to one.

- CASE 3365: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the North Cedar Hills Unit Area comprising approximately 8,500 acres of Federal, State and Fee lands in Township 20 South, Range 28 East, and Township 21 South, Range 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3366: Application of Coastal States Gas Producing Company for a pilot pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pilot pressure maintenance project by the injection of water into the San Andres formation through three wells in Sections 15, 21 and 33, Township 9 South, Range 33 East, Flying "M" San Andres Pool, Lea County, New Mexico; applicant further seeks rules governing said project including a provision for administrative approval for the conversion of additional wells to water injection.
 - CASE 3367: Application of Penroc Oil Corporation for a non-standard oil proration unit and an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a nonstandard oil proration unit comprising the NE/4 SW/4, N/2 SE/4, and SW/4 SE/4 of Section 7, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk-Strawn Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location for said pool 660 feet from the South line and 1650 feet from the East line of said Section 7.
 - CASE 3368: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Maljamar Waterflood Project, formerly the Leonard Nichols Maljamar Waterflood Project, by the conversion to water injection of nine wells located in Sections 3, 4, 9, 10, 11 and 15, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

- 2 -January 26, 1966 Examiner Hearing

- CASE 3369: Application of Texaco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water in the Devonian formation through perforations from 10,604 to 10,780 feet in its State "BO" Well No. 4 located in Unit M, Section 13, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, Moore-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3370: Application of Shell Oil Company for an exception to Rule 8 of Order No. R-2065 and to Rule 301, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 8 of Order No. R-2065 and to Rule 301 of the Commission rules and regulations to permit discontinuance of individual gasoil ratio tests in its Carson Bisti-Lower Gallup Pressure Maintenance Project, Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes to report gas production and ratios on a unit-wide basis rather than individual well GOR data?
- CASE 3371: Application of Midwest Oil Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Harris State Well No. 1 at an unorthodox location 660 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 13 South, Range 34 East, Nonombre-Pennsylvanian Field, Lea County, New Mexico.
- <u>CASE 3372:</u> In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider the amendment to Rule 104 F of the Commission rules and regulations to provide administrative procedure for the approval of an unorthodox location necessitated by recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon.
- CASE 3373: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider amendment of Rule 301 to provide executive authority for the Secretary-Director to exempt, for good cause, certain pools from the annual gas-oil ratio test requirements; further, the Secretary-Director could, where necessary, order annual oil production tests in lieu of gas-oil ratio tests.
- CASE 3374: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rule 302 of the Commission fules and regulations to eliminate the requirement for calibration of bottom-hole pressure test bombs prior and subsequent to each pressure test.