

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - NOVEMBER 8, 1967

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

---

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 3681: Application of Burwinkle and Scanlon for a waterflood project, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Mesaverde formation through one well located 330 feet from the North and East lines of Unit C of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks an administrative procedure for future expansion of said project.
- CASE 3674: Application of Robert N. Enfield for an interpretation of or the amendment of Order No. R-3189, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the interpretation of Order No. R-3189 which pooled all mineral interests in the Chaveroo-San Andres Pool underlying the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 11, Township 8 South, Range 33 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, that paragraph (9) of said order, in fixing \$125.00 per month as the reasonable cost of operating the well to be drilled on the acreage being pooled, should be construed to provide said \$125.00 per month as a reasonable charge for supervision and operational overhead; that applicant should be permitted to withhold from production the proportionate share of said \$125.00 attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and that in addition thereto, applicant should be permitted to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual operating costs of said well attributable to each non-consenting working interest. In the alternative to the aforesaid interpretation of Order No. R-3189, applicant seeks the amendment of paragraph (9) of said order in accordance with the above provisions.
- CASE 3682: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion (conventional) of its J. N. Carson (NCT-A) Well No. 7 located in Unit B of Section 33, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Drinkard and Brunson-Ellenburger Pools through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 3683: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the approval of the Stuart Langlie Mattix Unit Area comprising 1,120 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fee lands in Sections 2,3, 10, and 11, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3684: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in its Stuart Langlie Mattix Unit by the injection of water in the Langlie Mattix Pool through 12 wells located in Sections 2, 3, 10, and 11, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3685: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for an amendment of Order No. R-3290, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-3290, which order authorized an unorthodox oil well location 1075 feet from the South line and 2395 feet from the West line of Section 16, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, for its R. E. Cole (NCT-A) Well No. 9, said well being projected to undesignated Silurian and Montoya oil pools. Applicant now seeks the substitution of the Drinkard Pool for the previously authorized pools.

CASE 3686: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for down-hole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle production from the Allison-Abo and Allison-Pennsylvanian Pools in the wellbore of its Federal Mills Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 11, Township 9 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the provision that no more than one single allowable will be produced from said well.