1 2	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO					
3	October 4, 1972					
4	EXAMINER HEARING					
5						
6	IN THE MATTER OF:					
7 8	Application of Continental Oil Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Case No. 4839					
9						
10	IN THE MATTER OF:					
11	Application of Continental Oil) Case No. 4840 Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico.)					
13 14	BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.					
15						
16						
17						
18	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Α

25

MR. UTZ: Case 4839. 1 2 Case 4839: Application of Continental MR. HATCH: 3 Oil Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Tom Kellahin, of Kellahin and Fox, 4 MR. KELLAHIN: Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Continental 5 Oil Company. 6 At this point, Mr. Examiner, we would like to move 7 to consolidate Cases 4839 and 4840 for the purpose of 8 testimony today. 9 MR. UTZ: Cases 4839 and 4840 will be consolidated 10 for the purpose of testimony, separate orders, however, will 11 be written on each of the cases. 12 I have one witness to be sworn. 13 MR. KELLAHIN: 14 VICTOR T. LYON, 15 was called as a witness, and after being duly sworn, testified 16 as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 19 Will you please state your name and occupation? 20 I am Victor T. Lyon, L-y-o-n, and I am employed by 21 Continental Oil Company as a conservation coordinator 22 in the Hobbs Division Office, Hobbs, New Mexico. 23 What is your professional capacity, Mr. Lyon? 24

I am a graduate engineer, and have practiced petroleum

Α

25

Yes.

		PAGE 4					
1		engineering for the past twenty-five years.					
2	Q	Have you previously testified before the Commission or					
3		one of its hearing examiners and made your qualification					
4		as an engineer a matter of record?					
5	A	Yes, I have.					
6	Q	Have you examined and are you familiar with the facts					
7		surrounding Cases 4839 and 4840?					
8	A	Yes, I am.					
9	Q	Are you also familiar with the area in Lea County that					
10		is the subject of this application?					
11	A	Yes, sir.					
12	MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, are the witness's						
13	qualifications acceptable?						
14	MR. UTZ: Yes, they are.						
15	Q	(By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Lyon, will you please state					
16	briefly what the Applicant seeks by these two						
17	applications?						
18	A	Cases 4839 and 4840 are applications of Continental Oil					
19		Company for approval of the Langlie-Lynn (Queen) Unit					
20		Agreement and for authority to install and operate a					
21		waterflood project in the Langlie-Mattix Pool within					
22		the boundaries of that unit agreement.					
23	Q	You have prepared what has been marked as Exhibit One,					
24	and which is before the Examiner, is that right?						

Exhibit One is a copy of the unit agreement for

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the Langlie-Lynn (Queen) Unit. What form did you use for this unit agreement? 2 This is a federal form which is rather new to our office, 3 and may be new to the New Mexico Oil Conservation 4 Commission, but it is a form which has been in use in 5 the Rocky Mountain states for several years. 6 agreement has attached to it Exhibits A, B, and C. 7 Exhibit A is a plat showing the unit area, the tracts 8 involved, the numerical designation, the acreage, the 9 location of the wells, and other data pertinent to the 10 unit agreement. 11

Q What is the area involved?

- The area described is the Southeast quarter of Section 22; the Southwest quarter and West half of the Southeast quarter of Section 23; the Northwest quarter and the West half of the Northeast quarter and the North half of the Southwest quarter of Section 26; and the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 27; all in Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- What kind of acreage are we talking about here, state land, federal land?
- A This unit is comprised of federal and state land only.
- Q What is the acreage?
 - A The total area is 760 acres with 360 acres being federal land, this is 47.37 percent of the unit area. There

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		are 400 acres of state land, and this is 52.63 percent
2		of the unit area.
3	Q	Do you have preliminary approval from the U.S.G.S.?

- A Yes, we have preliminary approval from the U.S.G.S. by a letter dated May 5th, 1972. The agreement is approved as performed by the Commissioner of Public Land in a letter dated May 9th, 1972.
- Q What is the unitized formation?
- The unitized formation as described in Section 4 of the Α agreement is the interval between the top of the Penrose, a member of the Queen formation, to a point 100 feet above the top of the Queen formation, which includes the lower 100 feet of the Seven Rivers formation. The top of the Queen formation and the top of the Penrose formation are shown at the depths of 3,548 feet and 3,710 feet; respectively, on the gamma ray sonic log run in the Albert Gackle-Sinclair "A" State Number 6 located 660 feet from the south line and 1,980 feet from the west line of Section 23, Township 23 South, Range This log, or a copy of it, is being presented 36 East. as Exhibit Number 1B, and these tops are shown marked on that log.
- Q All right, sir, in accordance with the agreement, what does the agreement provide in the way of the operator?

 A It provides that Continental Oil Company will be the

1		unit operator.				
2	Q	Does the agreement provide for tract participation?				
3	A	Yes, it does.				
4	Q	What is that?				
5	A	Section 12 of the agreement provides for participation				
6		based on eighty percent estimated ultimate primary				
7	:	recovery; fifteen percent current revenue; and five				
8		percent current oil production rate.				
9	Q	What is Exhibit C attached to the unit agreement?				
10	A	Exhibit C shows participation of each of the tracts which				
11		are shown and depicted in Exhibit A.				
12	Q	Is there a section of the agreement that provides for				
13		expansion of the unit area?				
14	A	Yes, Section 3 provides for expansion of the unit area				
15		and, as a matter of fact, we do have under consideration				
16		at this time expansion of the unit area to include the				
17		remainder of the Albert Gackle-Sinclair "A" State lease				
18		which is in the East half of the Southeast quarter of				
19		Section 23.				
20	Q	This would expand your unit area to what acreage?				
21	A	An additional eighty acres, and it would bring the unit				
22		area to 840 acres.				
23	Q	You have already referred to what is marked as Exhibit				
24		One. Would you please refer to Exhibit Two, and identify				
25		it and explain what information it contains?				

Α

б

_	
	Exhibit Two is a location plat showing the unit area
	by the dashed line. It shows the area, the location
	of the wells, the ownership of the those wells, and the
	leases for a radius of at least two miles surrounding
	the unit area. The proposed injection wells are shown
	by triangles circumscribed around each of the wells.
	There are two wells located on the outside of the unit
	area, one is the Texas-Pacific State Number 84, and the
	other is the Albert Gackle-Sinclair State Number 5.
	These wells have been discussed with the operators of
	them, and we have worked out a tentative lease-line
	agreement whereby cooperative injection will take place
	to protect correlative rights.

- Q What kind of pattern of development is there here with regard to your injection wells?
- A This is essentially a peripheral pattern particularly to the north with a staggered 80-acre five-spot pattern across the common boundaries on the north, with the offset operators.
- Q I notice there is Wolfson acreage in Section 22 in the Northeast quarter, what kind of effect will the unitized formation and agreement have on this acreage?
- A We have had contact with Wolfson, and they advise their wells are not in mechanical condition so that they can cooperate in a waterflood project.

22

23

24

25

Number Four.

1 And how about the Gackle acreage? The Gackle acreage will either cooperate as we have 2 shown or that acreage will be included in the expansion 3 of the unit so correlative rights will be protected. Do you have anything else you would like to comment on Q 5 with regard to Exhibit Two? 6 I might point out that this unit area is on the western 7 Α flank of the Central Basin Platform, and that the unitized 8 formation goes to gas at about the location of the 9 eastern boundary of that unit. Consequently, the wells 10 east of this unit area are not in a position to cooperate 11 in the waterflood or to be affected by it. 12 I draw your attention to what has been marked Applicant's 13 0 Exhibits 3A through 3I, and ask you to briefly describe 14 what these exhibits contain. 15 Exhibits 3A through 3I are logs of each of the proposed Α 16 Multiple Exhibit 3 and multiple Exhibit injection wells. 17 4 are designated in the same area. A refers to the 18 Number Eight Well; B refers to the Number Nine Well; 19 C to the Number Ten Well; D to the Eleven Well; E to 20

We have shown on each of these logs the top of the

the Twelve Well; F to the Thirteen Well; G to the Gackle-

Sinclair State Number Three; H to the Gackle-Sinclair

State Number Seven; and I to the Shell State "J-K"

Queen formation and the intervals which have been perforated and open to production.

What zones are you going to inject into, Mr. Lyon?

A We will be injecting into the unitized formation which is the lower 100 feet of the Seven Rivers and the Queen formation above the top of the Penrose.

Now, referring you to what has been marked Applicant's Exhibits 4A through 4I, I ask you to identify them and explain what information they contain.

Exhibits 4A through 4I are schematic diagrams showing the manner in which each of the proposed injection wells has been completed showing the existing depths and the amount of cement used in setting the casing. These exhibits show the perforations, the approximate locations of the packers which will be tension packers, the tubing, and the statement to the effect that corrosion resistent internal links will be used on the tubing. In addition, although not shown, the space above the packer between the tubing and the casing will be filled with fluid and surface connection will be fitted either with a pressure gauge or a rupture disc so that if there is any entrance of fluid into that chamber, it will be noted without delay.

Q Do these schematic diagrams reflect how the wells are presently completed or how you propose to complete them?

1	A	How the wells will be completed for injection.			
2	Q Are these proposed nine injection wells currently				
3	producing?				
4	4 A Yes, they are in a producible condition. I looked				
5	this morning to see which of the wells were producing				
6		in July, and if I can just find my notes, I will tell you.			
7		There are only two wells in the unit area which were			
8		not producing in July. I don't seem to be able to find			
9		my notes.			
10	Q	Well, you can provide the Examiner with that information			
11		as to the current rate of production on these nine wells.			
12		MR. UTZ: I think that is the usual thing that we			
13	need, and we would appreciate receiving that.				
14	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir.				
15	MR. UTZ: Mr. Lyon, you may find them in the next				
16	few minutes, if not, you will present them?				
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir here's my list of wells.				
18		Okay, Number Eighteen is shut in, Number Twelve is			
19	also	shut in at the present time, or it was in July.			
20	Incid	lentally, during July, the nineteen wells in the unit			
21	area	produced an average of 50.9 barrels of oil per day;			
22	40.6	barrels of water per day; and 817 MCF of gas per day.			
23	This	is an average of 2.7 barrels of oil; 2.1 barrels of			
24	water	; and 43 MCF of gas per well per day.			
25		MR. UTZ: What was the high and the low?			

Α

THE WITNESS: The largest producer produced 179 barrels, or about six barrels per day during July.

MR. UTZ: Okay.

- Q (By Mr. Kellahin) According to your information, Mr.

 Lyon, is this Langlie-Lynn area a feasible one for the use of waterflood?
- A Yes, we have studied the area, and we have concluded that it is economically and physically feasible for a waterflood area.
- Do you have any data with regard to your calculated secondary recovery?
 - Yes, Exhibit Number Five is a data sheet showing pertinent data on the unit area and the calculations which lead us to the conclusion that it was feasible for waterflood. It shows that of the 768 acres in the unit area, 530 acres are considered floodable, with the pattern we have proposed. The reservoir has an average porosity of 18.2 percent and an average net pay of 6.5 feet with a water saturation of 35.7 percent.

 Our calculations have indicated that there is in place a total of 3,646,000 barrels of oil and primary recovery is estimated at 17.4 percent of that. Secondary recovery will be in the nature of 14.6 percent of the total oil in place, which is about 84.4 percent of the primary recovery from this area.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q	I refer	you to	Applicant's	Exhibit	Number	Six	and	ask
	you to i	identify	y it.					

- A Exhibit Six is a copy of an analysis of the water which is proposed to be used in this waterflood project.
- Q What is the volume of water to be injected?
- A I will have to refer to my notes. Yes, we expect injection at a rate of 6,000 barrels per day, with a maximum pressure of 2,000 pounds.
 - Q I was going to ask you how much water do you anticipate being injected in the whole project.
 - A I will refer to my study. We expect to inject 11,600,000 barrels of water, approximately, and of this, we can expect 5,892,000 gallons of water to be water produced in the area, but outside of the unit area.
- Q That then is the source of water in this area?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
 - Q What other sources of water will you use?
 - A The areas to the west, south, and northwest are large water producing areas, and we expect to either gather this water for injection into our injection wells or we will turn around to the water disposal wells into which we have been injecting produced water from the area and pump that water back out to use in the waterflood project.
 - Q Will any other water flood areas be affected by your

1		proposed waterflood project?					
2	A	No.					
3	Q	What is the reservoir drive mechanism during primary					
4		recovery?					
5	A	This is primarily a depletion type drive mechanism of					
6		solution gas.					
7	Q	It is then your opinion that the area can be successfully					
8		and economically waterflooded?					
9	A	We believe it can.					
10	Q	Will waterflooding the unit area result in the recovery					
11		of oil that would not be otherwise recovered, thus					
12		preventing waste?					
13	A	Yes.					
14	Q	Will your application in any way impair the correlative					
15	rights of any other parties?						
16	A	We think the unit will protect the correlative rights					
17		of all parties involved.					
18	Q	Do you have anything else you would like to add at this					
19		time?					
20	A	I don't believe so.					
21		MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, we move for the					
22	intr	oduction of Applicant's Exhibits One through Six.					
23		MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits One through					
24	Six	will be entered into the record of this case.					
25	(Whereupon Applicant's Exhibits One through Six,						

25

inclusive, were entered in evidence.) 2 That concludes our direct examination, MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner. 3 MR. UTZ: Are there questions of the witness? 5 (No response) 6 CROSS EXAMINATION 7 8 BY MR. UTZ: Mr. Lyon, in your exhibits, do we have a complete list 9 of your injection wells showing the locations? 10 I don't think so, Mr. Examiner, but I will be glad to 11 I don't believe that I have that 12 furnish that to you. material with me though. I can give you the unit letter 13 designation, but I don't think I have the footage -- yes, 14 Number Eight is 660 feet from the north--15 I do. Just a minute, let me get my exhibit. 16 Okay. 17 All right. 18 Six hundred sixty feet from the north and east lines of 19 Section 27. Number Nine, which is Exhibit B, is 440 20 feet from the north and 1,980 feet from the east of 21 Number Ten, which is C, is 1,980 feet from Section 26. 22 the north and 660 feet from the west of Section 26. 23

Number Eleven, which is D, is 1,980 feet from the south

and west of Section 26. Number Twelve, which is E,

is 1,980 feet from the north and east of Section 26.

Number Fifteen, which is F, is 1,980 feet from the south and 660 feet from the west of Section 26. The Gackle-Sinclair Number Three Well, which is G, is 1,980 feet from the south and 660 feet from the west in Section 23. The Gackle-Sinclair Number Seven, which is H, is 660 feet from the south and 1,980 feet from the east of Section 23. The Shell State "J-K" Number Four, which is I, is 640 feet from the south and 1,650 feet from the east of Section 22.

Mr. Lyon, does your Exhibit Two include the entire unit, the outline of the entire unit?

- A Yes, as it will be initially and the way it will be if
- Q Is there a description of the entire unit in your agreement?
- A With this new form, I don't think they describe it anymore.
- Q Well, do you have the description anywhere in your other exhibits?
- A I believe it is in the application.

it is not expanded.

- MR. HATCH: Exhibit A, which is attached to the unit agreement.
- MR. KELLAHIN: The acreage is also described in the application.
- Q (By Mr. Utz) If I recall your Exhibit Four, you are going

```
209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87108
```

1	to inject through protected tubing under a packer in
2	every case?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q With either a rupture plate or gas gauge at the surface?
5	A Correct.
6	MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of this
7	witness?
8	(No response)
	(ne zespense,
9	MR. UTZ: If not, you may be excused.
10	(Witness excused.)
11	MR. UTZ: Any statements in this case?
12	(No response)
13	MR. UTZ: Cases 4839 and 4840 will be taken under
14	advisement.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of
New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached
Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation
Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true
and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of
my knowledge, skill and ability.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

7103	
209 SIMMS BLDG. + P.O. BOX 1092 + PHONE 249-6691 + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST . ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1	INDEX						
2	WITNESS	PAGE					
3	VICTOR T. LYON						
4	Direct Exa	amination by Mr. Kellahin	3				
5	Cross Exam	nination by Mr. Utz	15				
6							
7		EXHIBITS					
8	APPLICANT'S		ADMITTED	OFFERED			
9	Exhibit #1	Unit agreement	14	4			
10	Exhibit #1A		14	5			
11	Exhibit #lB		14	6			
12	Exhibit #1C		14	7			
13	Exhibit #2	Location plat	14	8			
14	Exhibits 3A-I	Logs	14	9			
15	Exhibits 4A-I	Schematic diagrams	14	10			
16	Exhibit #5	Data sheet	14	12			
17	Exhibit #6	Analysis	14	13			
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							