Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - September 15, 1976 -2-

- CASE 5758: Application of Global Survey, Inc. for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Global Survey Unit Area comprising 4,781 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 25 South, Ranges 26 and 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5759: Application of Universal Resources Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 26 Fast, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 660 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 36. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- <u>CASE 5760</u>: Application of Morris R. Antweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 33, Township 21 South, Range 26 East, Avalon Field Extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- <u>CASE 5761</u>: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the State Vacuum Unit Area comprising 800 acres, more or less, of State lands in Sections 29, 31, and 32, Township 17 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5762: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project on its State Vacuum Unit Area, Vacuum Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the Grayburg-San Andres formation through 11 injection wells located in Unit M of Section 29, Units A and I of Section 31, and Units C, E, G, I, K, M, N, and O of Section 32, all in Township 17 South, Range 34 East.
- CASE 5763: Application of Roger C. Hanks for the amendment of Order No. R-4691-A, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-4691-A, which order promulgated special pool rules for the North Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks the establishment of a special depth bracket allowable for said pool of 350 barrels per day.
- CASE 5767: Application of American Quasar Petroleum Co. of New Mexico for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Brinninstool Unit Area comprising 5,743 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 23 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5746: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Conley and Associates, Inc., the Travelers Indemnity Company, and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the following wells in Harding County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program:

Township 15 North, Range 33 East: Arthur Cain Well No. 3 located in Unit N of Section 4; Arthur Cain Well No. 2 located in Unit K of Section 10; and State Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 21;

Township 16 North, Range 33 East: State Well No. 1-X located in Unit M of Section 27.

	Page			
1	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION			
2	Santa Fe, New Mexico September 15, 1976			
3	EXAMINER HEARING			
4 5	}			
6	IN THE MATTER OF:			
7	Application of Atlantic Richfield Co. ) for a unit agreement, Lea County, ) New Mexico. )			
8	Application of Atlantic Richfield Co. ) CASE			
9 10	for a waterflood project, Lea County, ) 5762 New Mexico. )			
11	·´			
12	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
14	AFPEARANCES			
15	For the New Mexico Oil William F. Carr, Esq.			
16 17	Conservation Commission: Legal Counsel for the Commission State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico			
18	For the Applicant: Clarence E. Hinkle, Esg. HINKLE, BONDURANT, COX & EATON			
19	Attorneys at Law Hinkle Building			
20	Roswell, New Mexico			
21				
22				
23 24				
25				

	Page	2	
1	<u>i n d e x</u>		
2			Fage
3	JOHN KNEPLER		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle		4
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets		15
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hinkle		20
7			
8	THOMAS R. BARR		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Hinkle		21
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets		24
11			
12			
13	EXHIBIT INDEX		
14		Offered	Admitte
15	Applicant's Exhibit One, Plat	6	15
16	Applicant's Exhibit Two, Plat	7	15
17	Applicant's Exhibit Three, Structure Map	7	15
18	Applicant's Exhibit Four, Cross Section	8	15
19	Applicant's Exhibit Five, Cross Section	8	15
20	Applicant's Exhibits Six through Fifteen,		
21	Schematic Drawings - Injection Wells	8	15
22	Applicant's Exhibit Sixteen, Schematic Draw.	9	15
23	Applicant's Exhibits Seventeen through		
24	Twenty-six, Schematic Drawings -		
25	Producing Wells	10	15

	Page	3	
1	EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED		
2		Offered	Admitted
3	Applicant's Exhibits Twenty-seven and		
4	Twenty-eight, Schematic Drawings -		
5	Plugged and Abandoned Wells	10	15
6	Applicant's Exhibits Twenty-nine through		
7	Sixty-six, Schematic Drawings -		
8	Wells within one-half mile	12	15
9	Applicant's Exhibit Number Sixty-seven, Plat	14	15
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
21			
23			
24			
25			

Page\_ 1 MR. STAMETS: We will call next Case 5761. 2 MR. CARR: Case 5761, application of Atlantic Richfield 3 Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. 4 MR. HINKLE: Mr. Examiner, Clarence Hinkle, Hinkle, 5 Bondurant, Cox and Eaton, appearing on behalf of Atlantic 6 Richfield Company. We have two witnesses we would like to have 7 sworn. (THEREUPON, the witnesses were duly sworn.) 8 Mr. Examiner, we have a lot of exhibits, 9 MR. HINKLE: sixty-seven of them, in fact, but most of them are diagrammatic 10 11 sketches of the injection wells and producing wells so the testimony will be in respect to those. They are all under these 12 folders. 13 I presume what you would like to do 14 MR. STAMETS: then is consolidate this case and the next case? 15 MR. HINKLE: Yes, sir, I would. 16 MR. STAMETS: Let me call that next case then. Case 17 5762 being the application of Atlantic Richfield Company for 18 a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. 19 For purposes of the record, Cases 5761 and 5762 will 20 be consolidated. 21 22 JOHN KNEPLER 23 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was 24 examined and testified as follows: 25

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

		Page5	
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	BY MP. HINKLE:		
3	Đ	State your name, residence and by whom you are	
4	employed?		
5	А.	My name is John Knepler, I live in Midland, Texas	
6	and I'm employed by Atlantic Richfield Company.		
7	Q.	What is your position with Atlantic Richfield?	
8	А.	I'm an Operations Engineer.	
9	Q.	Petroleum engineer?	
10	А.	Yes, sir.	
11	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Commission?	
12	A.	No, I have not.	
13	Q.	State briefly your educational background and your	
14	experience as a petroleum engineer?		
15	А.	I graduated from the Missouri School of Mines with	
16	a B.S. in	petroleum engineering in 1967 and I received a M.S.	
17	in petrole	eum engineering from Stanford University in 1968. I	
18	have worke	ed for Atlantic Richfield as an Operations Engineer	
19	for eight	years. I'm a Registered Professional Engineer in	
20		of Louisiana and I've worked in the Permain Basin for	
21		-a-half years.	
22	Q.	Are you familiar with Atlantic Richfield's operations	
23		xico and in particular in this Vacuum area?	
24	А.	Yes, sir.	
25	Q.	Have you made a study of the Vacuum Pool and all of	

Page. 1 the wells that have been drilled in the area? 2 Yes, sir. A. Are his qualifications sufficient? MR. HINKLE: 3 4 MR. STAMETS: They are. (Mr. Hinkle continuing.) What is Atlantic Richfield 5 Q. seeking to accomplish by this application? 6 Approval for --7 Α. There are two applications. Q. 8 A. Approval for unitization and to waterflood the State 9 Vacuum Unit. 10 Have you prepared or has there been prepared under 11 0. your direction certain exhibits for introduction in this case? 12 Yes, sir. 13 A. 0. These are the exhibits that have been marked One 14 through Sixty-seven, I believe? 15 A. Yes, they are. 16 Refer to Exhibit One and explain what this is and 17 Q. what it shows? 18 A. This exhibit shows the outlines of the proposed unit 19 area and all wells that have been drilled on the unit area and 20 wells within two or more miles surrounding the same and the 21 formations which they are producing from. 22 This exhibit also shows the outlines of the West 23 Vacuum Unit which is contiguous to the proposed unit on the 24 25 east and southeast. Also it shows the outline of the EK Queen

Page 1 Unit which lies to the southwest of the proposed unit. 2 Exhibit Number One also shows the ownership of all 3 of the leasehold interests within the unit area and in the 4 surrounding area. 5 The proposed injection wells within the unit are 6 shown by triangles and the additional injection well which is the 7 be drilled is shown near the south boundary of Section 32. 8 Q. Refer to Exhibit Two and explain that? 9 Exhibit Number Two is a plat showing the outlines of A. 10 the unit area which is the same as Exhibit A attached to the unit agreement, copies of which have been filed with the 11 12 application for approval of the unit agreement. 13 (· Are all of the lands State lands? 14 Yes, they are. A. 15 Q. How many acres are involved? Eight hundred, approximately. 16 A. 17 Q. Now, refer to Exhibit Three and explain what this is? 18 A. Exhibit Three is a structural map contoured on top 19 of the Grayburg-San Andres formation with a twenty-foot 20 contour interval, which is to be unitized. The Grayburg-21 San Andres formation as defined by the unit is the seven-22 23 hundred-and-seventeen-foot interval, the top of which is 24 shown on the Lane Wells Radioactivity Log dated January 30th, 25 1948 at a subsurface depth of forty-one hundred and ninety-four

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

Page 1 feet in the Cole and Darden Phillips State B No. 1-X Well 2 located six-hundred-and-sixty feet from the south line and 3 six-hundred-and-sixty feet from the west line of Section 29, 4 Township 17 South, Range 34 East, Lea County. 5 0. What does Exhibit Three show in effect? 6 A. It shows that the proposed unitized formation has 7 continuity and is substantially uniform over the entire unit area. 8 9 0. Refer to Exhibit Four and explain this? 10 A. Exhibit Four is a north-south cross section across 11 the unit, utilizing logs of the unit wells and showing the 12 Grayburg-San Andres interval we propose to waterflood. 13 Is the waterflood interval rather uniform throughout 0. 14 the area? 15 A. Yes, sir, this exhibit and the next one indicate that the unitized formation has continuity and is substantially 16 uniform over the entire area. 17 0. The next exhibit is Five and it is an east and west 18 cross section showing the same thing? 19 A. That is correct. 20 0. Now, refer to Exhibits Six through Fifteen and 21 explain what these are and what they show? 22 Exhibits Six through Fifteen are schematic drawings 23 A. of ten of the eleven injection wells which are to be utilized 24 25 in the unit. These ten wells, Six through Fifteen, are wells

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

Page. that are to be converted to injection. 1 Each of these drawings 2 show all casing strings, including diameters and setting depths 3 quantities used and tops of cement, open-hole intervals as 4 well as tubing strings, including diameters and setting depths and location of packers. 5 Logs of each well to be converted to injection were 6 7 filed with the hearing application. Ŋ. In your opinion will the completion of these wells 8 9 in the manner shown by these exhibits confine injection water 10 to the unitized formation? 11 A. Yes, sir, they will. 12 0 Do you intend to use plastic-coated tubing in 13 connection with each injection well? 14 A. Yes, we do. Refer to Sixteen and state what that is. 15 Q. 16 A. This is a schematic drawing of the State Vacuum Unit Well No. 21 which is to be drilled and completed as an 17 injection well on the south edge of the unit. 18 What would be the location of that well? 19 0. Approximately three, thirty from the south line and 20 A. twenty-three, ten from the west line of Section 32, 17 South, 21 34 East. 22 In this connection have you given all of the offset 23 0. owners notice of the application? 24 25 A. Yes, we have.

q

*General Court Reporting Service* 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

1

Have you had any objections? Q, 2 No, we haven't, all of our offset owners are also Α. 3 partners in the proposed unit. 4 Ŋ. Now, refer to Exhibits Seventeen through Twenty-Six 5 and explain what these are. 6 A. These are schematic drawings of the producing wells 7 in the unit. Each of these drawings show all casing strings, including diameters and setting depths, quantities used and top 8 of cement, open-hole intervals and tubing strings, including 9 10 diameters. 11 0. Did you find any particular problem in connection 12 with any of these wells as far as waterflood is concerned? 13 A. No, sir, I did not. 14 Now, refer to Exhibits Twenty-seven and Twenty-eight. О. 15 A. These are schematic drawings of two plugged and abandoned wells within the unit area. Each of these drawings 16 shows all casing strings left in the well, including diameters 17 and setting depths, quantities and tops of cement, sizes and 18 locations of cement plugs placed in the wells and the plugging 19 date as completely as I was able to determine. 20 Why did you include these two wells? Ŋ., 21 Atlantic Richfield is aware of the waterflow 22 Α. 23 problems that have developed in the Vacuum Field and we are participating in the Vacuum Waterflow Committee. 24 Wellbore diagrams and Bradenhead surveys have been 25

Page\_

1 submitted to the Commission on all wells within the proposed
2 unit and no waterflow problems were found in any of these wells
3 We have submitted schematic diagrams on all wells
4 within the unit area. All of these diagrams on active wells
5 indicate open-hole completions in the Grayburg-San Andres
6 interval with at least six-hundred-and-seventy-five feet of
7 cement above the casing shoe.

11

Page\_

8 The schematic drawing of the proposed injection well
9 to be drilled indicates that we will circulate cement to the
10 surface on the production casing.

The schematic diagram of the two plugged and abandones wells within the unit area indicate that these wells were properly plugged and should not be a source of water migration out of the waterflood zone.

We intend to run periodic injection surveys and step 15 rate tests on our injection wells to monitor waterflood 16 performance and maximize all producing rate and ultimate 17 We will run the first set of the pressure parting recoveries. 18 tests within sixty to a hundred-and-twenty davs after injection 19 starts, if the injection wells have pressure on them. If these 20 wells are still taking water on a vacuum at that time we will 21 be unable to run these tests and it would be unnecessary to do 22 We plan to keep our injection pressures below the formation 23 so. parting pressure as indicated by these step rate tests. This 24 formation parting pressure will continue to increase as 25

reservoir pressure increases with the waterflood operation.
However, we do not at any time plan to exceed a formation base injection pressure in excess of one psi per foot. In addition, we will equip the wellhead of each well within the unit area in such a manner so that periodic Bradenhead monitoring can be done.

7 Q. Now, refer to Exhibits Twenty-nine through Sixty-six
8 and explain what these are.

g A. Exhibits Twenty-nine through Sixty-six are schematic drawings of all wells producing, injection or plugged and 10 11 abandoned within one-half-mile of the unit boundary. Each of these drawings show all casing strings, including the 12 13 diameters and setting depths, quantities used and tops of cement, open-hole intervals and tubing strings, including 14 15 diameters, as completely as I was able to determine from the 16 Commission records.

Q. Why did you include these wells?

We wanted to be as certain as possible that there A. 18 were no problems to be anticipated with waterflows around our 19 proposed unit. There were schematic drawings and Bradenhead 20 surveys made on all wells in the field in accordance with the 21 Waterflow Committee recommendations and there were no problems 22 appeared on any of these wells and we wanted the record to 23 reflect that they were, in our opinion, safe and should not 24 25 present any problem to our waterflood.

17

1 This was simply because they have had the waterflow 0 2 problem in the Vacuum area?

13

Page\_

In some parts of the field there have been problems. 4 MR. STAMETS: While we are right on this subject, 5 do vou know of your own knowledge, if any of the wells offsetting 6 your proposed waterflood had pressure on the Bradenhead? 7 A. Well, the criteria that was determined by the 8 Committee as a problem well would be a well that would flow 9 water under a certain -- had a certain pressure on it and would 10 flow water when the valve was open. Now, if a well actually 11 had pressure and it was just a puff of gas that would blow off 12 immediately this was not considered significant and I do not 13 know well-by-well if any of these had that problem but I do 14 know that none of them had a waterflow within the criteria 15 established by that Committee.

> MR. STAMETS: Thank you.

17 Q. (Mr. Hinkle continuing.) Have you made an estimate 18 of the additional oil you expect to recover by reason of the waterflood? 19

Yes, we expect to recover approximately one million, 20 A. seven hundred thousand barrels of secondary oil that would 21 otherwise be unrecoverable without waterflooding the unit area. 22 23 In your opinion, would it be helpful and advisable 0. 24 if the order approving the waterflood project provides for 25 administrative approval of any changes which might prove

*General Court Reporting Service* 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

16

3

A.

Page\_\_\_\_\_

11

1 necessary as far as the location of the injection wells are
2 concerned?

A. Yes.

3

4

Q. Are you requesting a project allowable?

A. Yes, we would like to have the benefit of a project
allowable as provided in Rule 701 of the Commission so that
the allowable assigned for the wells may be equal to the
ability of the wells to produce and so that they would not be
subject to the depth bracket allowable for the pool nor the
market demand percentage factor.

11 Q. What quanitity of water do you anticipate you will 12 inject initially?

A. Approximately fifty-five hundred barrels a day into
the eleven wells beginning about January 1st, 1977.

15 Q. What is going to be the source of your water?
16 A. The City of Carlsbad ity water supply system which
17 obtains water from the Ogallala formation in Lea County.

18 Q Do you also contemplate injecting produced water?
19 A. Yes, we do as it becomes available.

20 0. Have all of the wells in the proposed unit reached 21 an advanced stage of production and are classed as stripper 22 wells?

A. Yes, Exhibit Sixty-seven is a plat of the unit area
and shows the proposed injection and producing wells and the
average daily oil and water production for each well during

Page\_ 15 1 May of 1976. 2 In your opinion will approval of this application 0. 3 be in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste and 4 protect correlative rights? 5 Yes, it will. Α. 6 MR. HINKLE: We would like to offer Exhibits One 7 through Sixty-seven. 8 MR. STAMETS: Exhibits One through Sixty-seven will 9 be admitted. 10 (THEREUPON, Applicant's Exhibits One through 11 Sixty-seven were admitted into evidence.) 12 MR. HINKLE: That's all the direct we have. 13 14 CROSS EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. STAMETS: Going back to Exhibit Number Twenty-seven. 16 0 17 Yes, sir. A. 18 О. The well here, located six, sixty north and east of 19 Section 31 has been plugged with a series of five-sack plugs, 20 it appears. Do you think this is adequate by today's 21 standards? 22 Well, certainly if we were going to plug this well A. 23 today we would probably put more than that amount of cement in 24 the well. However, this is the information which I was able 25 to find after diligent search of our records and the lease

owner on whose lease this well is located and the only source 1 of any data from this old well was the Commission's records 2 and the five sacks might or could be sufficient in the proper 3 On the note here in the middle of the diagram there location. 4 is a plug at the base of the salt with no description as to 5 what size it was and also the five-and-a-half casing, the 6 records indicate that it was probably pulled but not definitely. 7 It could possibly be in the well. So certainly with this 8 cement with the casing in the well would be much better than 9 if this amount of cement was used in essentially an open-hole 10 interval of a dry hole that had been drilled with no casing 11 left in the well at all. 12 Nonetheless, this is not the type of plugging program Q. 13 you would recommend today? 14 A. No, sir. 15 Is there a possibility that Atlantic might have to Q. 16 go in this well and re-plug it to assure that water is not 17 going to escape through it? 18 Well, there is certainly a possibility. Α. We do intend 19 to monitor all of the wells, including these plugged wells. 20 0 How would you propose to monitor this well? 21 A. Since this well is cemented about the only thing we 22 could do would be to maybe, and I have not physically been on 23 the site to look at it, we could possibly get into the surface 24 casing and weld a valve on there to see if there was any pressure 25

Page.

16

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico Phone (505) 982-9212

82-9212

Page\_\_\_\_\_

17

on it and continue to monitor that but if a problem develops and when the problem develops, it would just depend on what the problem was and we would begin a search to try to determine the source and correct the problem, yes, sir.

Now, did I understand you to say that you had checked
the Bradenhead on every well within the project area, every
well that has one?

There has been submitted and it is in the Commission 8 A. files a sketch and a pressure survey on all wells in this 9 10 field and I have looked at the records on these wells. I have not personally been out to the wells, especially if they weren' 11 12 on our lease but this Committee flagged all wells in which there was any problem that exceeded their criteria and this 13 was with people with the Commission staff in the Committee and 14 with their quidance and none of the wells in this area, 15 including the wells that I have shown all of these sketches 16 on, had any problem that was considered significant. 17

18 Q Will the Bradenheads be periodically tested in this 19 area during the course of your flood?

A. Yes, within the unit area. As I said we intend to equip the wellheads so that we can periodically check the pressure on them. Now, as far as those outside the unit area, that would be dependent upon what Commission rules are eventually issued for this field where a problem has been found.

Q Right. I was concerned primarily with the unit area in this case.

3.6

Page\_

A. Yes, indeed, we will monitor those.

And you have reviewed the well construction on all of
the wells in the unit area and you are fairly confident that
they are in good shape?

A. Yes, very much so.

8 0. Now, you indicated that you planned to limit pressures
9 to one psi per foot. The recent Commission orders have limited
10 pressure generally to seven-tenths of a pound.

A. Well, I said that first and foremost we will limit the pressure to what the step rate tests indicate we should limit it to but under no circumstances would we go over one psi. We fully anticipate that we will limit it to much less than that by those step rate tests and other monitoring techniques which we intend to employ.

Now, these step rate tests would be commenced, what,
sixty to a hundred-and-twenty days after you get some pressure
built up?

A. Well, I said within sixty to a hundred-and-twenty
days after injection starts, depending upon if the wells had
pressure on them and I think the way you have stated it would
probably be more concise that once the wells get enough pressure
on them to enable us to run the tests we will run them and we
anticipate that it would be something like sixty to a hundred-

sid morrish reporting service *General Court Reporting Service* 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 1

2

3

Page\_\_\_\_

1 and-twenty days.

2 0. If you were initially limited to seven-tenths of a
3 pound per foot formula you would not have any problems with
4 that lease as the flood began?

I don't see that we would, we anticipate that the 5 A. wells will take water on a vacuum for awhile and then the 6 7 pressure would gradually increase as we increased the pressure in the reservoir, now, at which time we ran step rate tests 8 which indicated we would not be parting the formation in a 9 pressure in excess of that seven-tenths, we would probably 10 come back to the Commission with that evidence and request 11 that we be allowed to go up to what the step rate test 12 indicated would be a safe operating pressure. 13

14 Q Do you plan to run a synergetic log on the well to
15 be drilled in here? This is a log which can be utilized to
16 calculate the parting pressure of the formations in the area.

A. I'm not familiar with that log.

18 0. It might be something to look into when this well is
19 drilled and I know that Schlumberger out of the Hobbs office
20 has run them because I have seen a couple of them.

A. It sounds like a new application of some existing
logging techniques.

Ω It is.

A. Which probably we will be running those logs anyway
and it wouldn't be any problem to incorporate that calculation

sid morrish reporting service *General Court Reporting Service* 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico Phone (505) 982-9212

17

Page\_ 20 1 from the data. 2 I would encourage you to work with our District 0. 3 Supervisor in Hobbs on this particular problem and if it is run, 4 the Commission would like to have a copy. Would you be agreeable 5 to submitting copies of parting pressure tests as they are run? Yes, sir. 6 A. 7 С. And I presume the annulus on all of these wells would be loaded, gauged or left open or some other method to test 8 9 those? It will be loaded with a treated water to prevent 10 A. 11 corrosion and hooked up for pressure monitoring. 12 MR. HINKLE: One other question. 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HINKLE: 15 Does Atlantic Richfield own the leases upon which the 16 0 two dry holes are located, shown by Exhibits Twenty-seven and 17 Twenty-eight? 18 A. We own the lease where one of them is located. 19 Which one is that? 0. 20 Well No. 28 is located on Atlantic Richfield's lease A. 21 in the south half of Section 32. 22 23 ()Were these wells plugged and abandoned by Atlantic Richfield? 24 25 A. No, sir, they were plugged and abandoned a long time

21 Page\_ 1 before we acquired the lease. 2 By other owners? 0. 3 Α. By other owners, yes. 4 MR. HINKLE: That's all. 5 Are there any other questions of this MR. STAMETS: 6 witness? He may be excused. 7 (THEREUPON, the witness was excused.) MR. HINKLE: We have one other witness. 8 9 10 THOMAS R. BARR called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was 11 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. HINKLE: 15 16 0. State your name, your residence and by whom you are employed? 17 Thomas R. Barr, I live in Midland, Texas and I'm A. 18 employed by Atlantic Richfield. 19 What is your position with Atlantic Richfield? Q. 20 Landman. A. 21 0. Have you had considerable experience as a Landman? 22 Yes, sir, I have been employed here in the Permian Α. 23 Basin and New Mexico area for about a year-and-a-half and I 24 25 have had another additional year in other parts of the country.

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

22 Page\_ 1 Are you familiar with the application which Atlantic Ç. 2 Richfield has made for the pool of the unit agreement in this 3 case? 4 Yes, sir, I am. Α. 5 Have you been handling the matter as far as obtaining 0 6 approval of the unit by the working interest owners? 7 A. Yes, sir, I have. 8 Has there been filed with the application in this Ο, 9 case, three copies of the unit agreement? 10 Yes, sir. Α. 11 Has this form been approved by the Commissioner of 0. 12 Public Lands? 13 Yes, sir, it has. A. 14 Is this substantially the same form as has heretofore С. 15 been approved and used where State lands are involved or where a waterflood project is contemplated? 16 Yes, sir, it is. 17 A. Is Atlantic Richfield designated as operator in the 18 Q, 19 unit agreement? Yes, sir. 20 Α. 21 Ç, I believe that the previous witness testified as to 22 the formation which is being unitized, there is only the one 23 formation being unitized by the unit? 24 Yes, sir. A. 25 0. Does the unit agreement specifically provide for the

Page\_\_\_\_\_

23

<sup>1</sup> primary purpose of the unit and what is that?

A. Secondary recovery, sir.

3 Q. Does the unit agreement contain a participating
4 formula?

A. Yes, sir, Section 13 which begins on page twelve
provides that the respective tracts shown on Exhibit B attached
to the unit are to participate in accordance with the percentages as set forth in Exhibits C-One, C-Two during Phase One
and Phase Two of the waterflood.

10 Q. Have you contacted all of the working interest
11 owners and invited them to join the unit?

A Yes, sir.

Q. What is the present status?

A. We currently have signed joinders from all parties
with the exception of Texaco. Texaco has by phone stated that
they will join but it has not been formally approved through
their organization and shortly we expect their signed joinder
as well.

Q. So you contemplate one hundred percent joinder?A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And all of these parties have approved the partici22 pating formula?

23 A. Yes, sir.

MR. HINKLE: That's all we have of this witness.

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

12

13

19

20

24

25

	Page		
1	CROSS EXAMINATION		
2	BY MR. STAMETS:		
3	0 What percent do you have signed up on this unit at		
4	this time?		
5	A. It depends on the basis of Phase one or Phase Two.		
6	If it is on the basis of Phase One we have approximately firty		
7	percent sign up. Texaco owns currently in Phase one fifty poin		
8	six, eight percent.		
9	Q. Do you anticipate a hundred percent sign up?		
10	A. Hopefully within two weeks, yes, sir.		
11	MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness?		
12	He may be excused.		
13	(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)		
14	MR. STAMETS: Anything further in this case?		
15	MR. HINKLE: That's all.		
16	MR. STAMETS: The case will be taken under advise-		
17	ment.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
20			

25 Page\_\_\_ REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Sidney С forrish, S forngoing is 40 сo 3 576 Z 5261the 19 76 hea Examine: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24